

Agenda

Greenville City Council

May 5, 2008 6:00 PM City Council Chambers 200 West Fifth Street

Assistive listening devices are available upon request for meetings held in the Council Chambers. If an interpreter is needed for deaf or hearing impaired citizens, please call 252-329-4422 (voice) or 252-329-4060 (TDD) no later than two business days prior to the meeting.

I. Call Meeting To Order

- II. Invocation Council Member Mercer
- III. Pledge of Allegiance
- IV. Roll Call
- V. Approval of Agenda

VI. Consent Agenda

- 1. Minutes of the April 7 and April 10, 2008 City Council meetings
- 2. Resolution accepting dedication of rights-of-way and easements for Bedford West, Phase 1; Medford Pointe, Lot 8A and Lot 7A, Phase 1; and North Green Commercial Park
- 3. Abandonment of utility easement on West First Street at First Street Place
- 4. Water capital projects budget ordinance and reimbursement resolution for Greenville Utilities Commission's Elevated Storage Tank Painting and Mixing Modifications Project
- 5. Sewer capital projects budget ordinance amendment and reimbursement resolution for Greenville Utilities Commission's Phase II Sanitary Sewer Outfall Rehabilitation Project
- 6. Contract award for the 2007-2008 Street Resurfacing Project
- 7. Contract award for the 2008 Sidewalk Construction Project

- 8. Pitt County Law Enforcement 800 MHZ Interoperability Grant Phase 2
- 9. Authorization to submit final applications for two grants through the North Carolina Governor's Crime Commission
- 10. Budget ordinance amendment #10 to the 2007-2008 City of Greenville budget and an ordinance establishing a capital project budget for New Technology for Public Safety
- 11. Various tax refunds
- 12. Report on bid awarded

VII. New Business

- 13. Presentation by boards and commissions
 - a. Youth Council
- 14. Presentation by Bicycle Safety Advocacy Group
- 15. Presentation on Intermodal (Bus) Transfer Facility
- 16. Presentation of the proposed operating budget for 2008-2009 and financial plan for 2009-2010
 - a. City of Greenville
 - b. Greenville Utilities Commission
 - c. Sheppard Memorial Library
 - d. Greenville-Pitt County Convention and Visitors Authority
- VIII. Review of May 8, 2008 City Council agenda
- IX. Comments from Mayor and City Council
- X. City Manager's Report
- XI. Adjournment



City of Greenville, North Carolina

Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Minutes of the April 7 and April 10, 2008 City Council meetings
Explanation:	The April 7 and April 10, 2008 City Council minutes have been prepared and are ready for consideration by City Council.
Fiscal Note:	No fiscal impact.
Recommendation:	Approval of the April 7 and April 10, 2008 City Council minutes.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

- April 7 2008 City Council Minutes 759177
- April_10_2008_City_Council_Minutes_756122

MINUTES PROPOSED FOR ADOPTION BY CITY COUNCIL

Greenville, NC April 7, 2008

The Greenville City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date at 7:00 PM in the City Council Chambers, third floor of City Hall, with Mayor Patricia C. Dunn presiding. The meeting was called to order, followed by the invocation by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and the pledge of allegiance to the flag. The following were present.

Mayor Patricia C. Dunn Mayor Pro-Tem Mildred A. Council Council Member Rose H. Glover Council Member Max Joyner, Jr. Council Member Bryant Kittrell Council Member Calvin Mercer Council Member Larry Spell Wayne Bowers, City Manager Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk David A. Holec, City Attorney

<u>APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA – APPROVED</u>

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to approve all the items under the consent agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

- 1) Minutes for the March 13, 2008 City Council meeting
- 2) First reading of an ordinance for a limousine franchise for two limousines requested by Frederick Douglas d/b/a H.O.P.E. (Healthy Outreach Promotes Excellence)
- 3) Resolution accepting dedication of rights-of-way and easements for Bedford, Section 11; Lake Ellsworth, Section 7; Medford Pointe, Section One, Phase One; Bedford, Section 9, Phase 3; Westhaven South, Phase 1; Westpointe, Section Ten, Phase 2; and Westpointe, Section Six, Phase Two (Resolution No. 08-08)
- 4) Brownfield Project Management Contract
- 5) Budget ordinance and reimbursement resolution for Greenville Utilities Commission's Sterling Pointe Sewer Pump Station and Force Main Project (Ordinance No. 08-40; Resolution No. 08-09)
- 6) Resolution approving financing team for upcoming Greenville Utilities Commission debt issuance (Resolution No. 08-10)
- 7) Budget ordinance amendment #9 to the 2007-2008 City of Greenville budget (Ordinance No. 08-41)

PRESENTATIONS BY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Housing Authority

Mr. Cordell Avery, Chairperson of the Greenville Housing Authority, explained the process the Housing Authority is going through in transitioning from a centralized management system to a community management system (asset management) and the impact that this would have on the agency. This was a mandate from the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Mr. Avery expressed that it would have been helpful if members of the organizations that have concerns had contacted the Housing Authority to obtain the facts.

Mayor Pro-Tem Council and Council Member Glover expressed concern about the employees that are losing their jobs or receiving pay cuts. Council Member Glover requested that the City Manager be provided a copy of the Asset Management Report that was prepared by a consultant.

Mr. Doug Tyson, Vice-Chairperson of the Greenville Housing Authority, informed the Council that he attended a conference three or four years ago where asset management was introduced to every housing authority in the United States. The Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) explained that the restructuring was a mandate. Mr. Tyson explained that he stood up in Florida and expressed concerns, but it did no good. Residents are not being affected by asset management, as the service will stay the same; management is what will change. No employee has been terminated. The federal government is mandating that more money be provided for housing and less for administration. HUD came up with the mandate telling where the money could come from and how it could be used. People were brought in to train the staff two years ago. Asset Management is here now. Mr. Tyson explained that he tried to explain the process to the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, and they understood it. Others who are concerned should go to the source to express their concerns and get their questions answered.

Mayor Dunn suggested that the Housing Authority arrange sessions with interested Council Members about the requirements and processed involved with asset management so that the Council Members will understand.

Council Member Glover distributed a copy of a letter that was sent to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (Document No. 08-04). She stated that the Department of Housing and Urban Development did not dictate exactly how the process had to be carried out and that the Housing Authority had the opportunity to do it differently.

Council Member Joyner thanked the Housing Authority board for the work that it does.

Council Member Kittrell, City Council liaison to the Housing Authority, stated that the Board worked well together. He asked to be included in the meeting suggested by the Mayor.

Affordable Housing Loan Committee

Mr. Bryant Becker, Chairperson of the Affordable Housing Loan Committee, explained that the main program offered by the Committee is the Down Payment Assistance Program, of which there are four types--Affordable Housing No Interest Program, HOME Down Payment Assistance Program (HUD money that comes to the City), NCHFA (State HUD funds), and University Area Down Payment Assistance Program (City General Fund). These are forgivable loans. From March 1, 2007 to February 29, 2008, there were 295 inquiries for down payment assistance programs. Of those, 84 came in for an initial meeting, 24 applicants returned the required application, 10 submitted full applications, 8 were approved, 7 have been funded, 1 withdrew, 1 was ineligible, and 1 is pending. During that time period, \$143,524 was provided in loans or grants. CDBG subrecipient requests were approved for the Pitt County Council on Aging (\$20,000), Family Violence Center d/b/a New Directions (\$12,500), Flynn Christian Fellowship Home (\$20,000), Greenville Community Shelters (\$14,600), Habitat for Humanity of Pitt County (\$15,000), Martin County Community Action (\$20,000), and Progressive Active & Restoration (\$15,500), for a total of \$117,600 being provided under this program. Under the grant/loan programs, a cumulative amount of \$2,705,803 has been distributed. In addition, 153 new homes have been provided.

Mr. Becker concluded by stating that the Committee has set just compensation for 36 properties within the West Greenville revitalization area at a value of over \$599,060. The four homes built on West Fifth Street have sold. Homes rehabbed at 505, 601, 603 and 605 Contentnea Street are still available for sale as well as the home located at 1101 Douglas Avenue. Three families have completed the Homeownership Academy program and bought homes within the West Greenville revitalization area. Four additional families have completed the homeownership academy and are working toward buying homes within the West Greenville revitalization area. The academy was created to educate residents in the home buying process and to prepare them financially (credit, budgeting, etc.) to purchase a home within the West Greenville revitalization area.

Mid-East Commission

Mr. Tim Ware, Executive Director of the Mid-East Commission, informed the Council that he has a 52 member board and represents 50 city and county boards. He stated that 298 participants from Pitt County have been served through the Home and Community Care Block Grant (inhome aid, adult day care, congregate meals, home delivered meals, transportation, volunteer development, information and assistance). In the workforce development, 167 individuals have been served (adult, youth Title-V (senior employment)). The dollar amount which has benefited Greenville has been \$1,241,750, with dues of \$15,119. This is \$82 in revenue to every \$1 the City pays in dues.

<u>RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF FEDERAL AND STATE ANTI-PREDATORY LENDING</u> <u>LEGISLATION - ADOPTED</u>

Assistant City Manager Thom Moton informed the Council that one of the adopted City Council goals is to enhance citizens' understanding of predatory lending. One of the action items for that requires that staff prepare a resolution supporting anti-predatory federal and state legislation for

City Council consideration. The July 2007 National Community Reinvestment Coalition's (NCRC) report entitled <u>Income is No Shield Against Racial Differences in Lending: A</u> <u>Comparison of High-Cost Lending in America's Metropolitan Areas</u> analyzed mortgage practices and found a noticeable patter of steering low and moderate income homebuyers, especially minorities, into high-cost loans. Further, the NCRC reported that the Greenville metropolitan area scored as one of America's highest ranked communities to have a disproportionate number of African-American homebuyers using high-cost of sub-prime loans.

Mayor Pro-Tem Council thanked the City Council for understanding the seriousness of this issue. Staff has taken the issue and run with it by offering workshops, exhibits, etc. She is appreciative of the work that has been done so far. The goal is to continue to do that until this serious problem is worked through. Council Member Glover and she lobbied about this at the National League of Cities conference.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Glover to adopt a resolution in support of Federal and State Anti-Predatory Lending Legislation. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 08-11)

<u>PITT COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT 800 MHZ INTEROPERABILITY GRANT -</u> <u>APPROVED</u>

Chief of Police William Anderson informed the Council that the Greenville Police Department was invited to apply for a COPSTECH grant by the U. S. Department of Justice. A grant application was submitted for radios and equipment that would allow all county public safety agencies including the Pitt County Sheriff's Office, Pitt County 911, and Greenville Fire/Rescue to operate on an 800 MHZ radio system through the State of North Carolina Viper radio system. This system will allow for true interoperability between public safety agencies in Pitt County. Greenville was one of only two locations in the State of North Carolina selected to receive these grant funds. Greenville was awarded \$2,977,343 of federal funds that must be matched with 25% local funds (\$744,334.70), bringing the total grant project to \$3,721,678.70. The funds will be used to purchase handheld portable radios, vehicle radios, communication center consoles, and technical equipment necessary to achieve true interoperability between local public safety agencies. The equipment will serve as the administrator of the grant and associated funds; however, each local government agency will be responsible for its portion of the matching funds based on the amount of equipment they have been designated to receive through the grant.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Joyner to award the contract to purchase the 800 MHz radio equipment in an amount of \$2,279,051.52 using COPSTECH grant funds and local matching funds to Motorola, Inc. under State Convenience Contract #725G, subject to approval by the U.S. Department of Justice to purchase Motorola, Inc. equipment. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC SAFETY INFORMATION SYSTEMS VENDOR SELECTION AND CONTRACT AWARD - APPROVED

Chief of Police William Anderson stated that the Police, Fire/Rescue, and Information Technology Departments formed a Public Safety Technology project team to study public safety software and technology needs for a new integrated public safety information system that will include replacement of current records management and computer-aided dispatch programs. Based on the criteria and specifications developed by the project team, the City sent out requests for bids. Based on the competitive procurement process that included a complete review of proposals from New World Systems and OSSI/Sungard, City staff is recommending the selection of New World Systems as the vendor that has submitted the best overall proposal and recommending that the contract be awarded, subject to agreement on contract terms, to New World Systems in an amount not to exceed \$1,328,305.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Joyner to approve the selection of New World Systems as the vendor that has submitted the best overall proposal for this project and authorize the City Manager to negotiate and execute a contract with New World Systems in an amount not to exceed \$1,328,305. Motion carried unanimously.

PAINT SCHEME FOR FIRE AND EMS UNITS - DENIED

Chief of Fire/Rescue Mike Burton stated that the purple and gold fire truck has been a significant hit with the community. Two fire trucks have been approved, and he would like to see if Council is interested in having those two and any purchased in the future to be painted purple and gold. There is no difference in the cost or durability of the purple and gold; the paint warranty is the same. There is a bid process going out on EMS units in the next few weeks, and a decision will need to be made on that, also. The department is asking for white with a gold stripe instead of a red stripe for the EMS units. This has no financial implications. With this being a long-term phase-in, it could take up to 10 years for the red ones to end the useful life. There are no plans to bring forward a proposal to paint the existing fleet. Fire/Rescue personnel was surveyed to see if they wanted this, and they favored the change. There were 118 of 130 members who responded to the survey. This is a policy decision for the Council. East Carolina University does not contribute any money to this. The University pays \$350,000 to provide fire protection to the University; however, it is not related to any apparatus.

Discussion among the Council Members ranged from the color of fire trucks across the country, whether people would recognize purple fire trucks as being emergency vehicles, whether it would be a hazard to not have red fire trucks, it not being an East Carolina University fire truck, and ensuring that citizens understand what services are city services,

City Manager Bowers stated that the trucks are getting ready to be painted, so a decision needs to be made.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Spell to keep the fire truck colors red and white. Motion carried unanimously.

LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES FOR THE 2008 SESSION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY – APPROVED

City Attorney Dave Holec stated that the 2008 Session of the North Carolina General Assembly will convene at noon on May 13, 2008. The 2008 Session is the short session, and the matters that may be considered are limited. The following may be considered in the 2008 Session: bills directly and primarily affecting the state budget, constitutional amendments, bills introduced in 2007 that passed one chamber and were received in the other chamber in accordance with the rules, recommendations of study commissions and certain other named committees, certified non-controversial local bills submitted by established deadlines, appointments to state boards and commissions, matters authorized by joint resolution passed by a two-thirds majority of the members present and voting in each chamber, bills primarily affecting any state or local pension or retirement system, and bills to disapprove administrative rules. Local bills must be submitted to Legislative Bill Drafting by May 21, 2008 and introduced in the House or filed for introduction in the Senate by May 28, 2008. "Certified non-controversial" means that no public hearing will be required or requested, and the entire legislative delegation representing the local government has approved the local bill for introduction. Discussion by Council of issues and local acts which it desires to pursue with local legislative initiatives can be developed and identified. Upon Council reaching a consensus, resolutions for Council's consideration will be processed at its Thursday, April 10, 2008 meeting, which will request the City's local legislative delegation to seek enactment of identified initiatives during the Session. The City is not alone in its efforts to secure legislation which will assist it in providing services to its citizens. The North Carolina League of Municipalities, in representing its more than 530 member cities, towns and villages, promises the common interests of municipalities in the General Assembly. The League has core principles and an advocacy agenda which it promotes through staff members advocating these policies with the General Assembly. City Attorney Holec suggested legislation as follows:

1. Gang Prevention Legislation-seek enactment of legislation relating to street gang prevention. Gang violence and associated activities pose a danger to the safety of the citizens of Greenville. Members of street gangs threaten, terrorize and commit crimes against citizens. During the 2007 session, bills were introduced in both the Senate and the House which provided for legislation relating to gang prevention. These bills were not ratified, although HB 274 (Street Gang Prevention Act) passed the House on July 31, 2007 and was referred to the Senate where it remained in committee when the General Assembly adjourned on August 2, 2007. This bill contained provisions which resulted in increased penalties for crimes committed as a result of gang activity and established additional offenses relating to participation in criminal street gang activity. It also provided for \$4.7 million in grant money for public and private gang prevention programs. Since the bill passed the House and was not defeated in the Senate, it remains eligible for consideration during the 2008 short session of the General Assembly. Public safety would be enhanced by the enactment of legislation which will provide additional tools for law enforcement in combating gang activity. This was a City legislative initiative in 2007.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Mercer to have this initiative included in the 2008 legislative initiatives. Motion carried unanimously.

Council Member Glover suggested that the Council needs to talk with legislators regarding any person committing a criminal act against anyone as a hate crime being a felony.

2. Additional Funding for Establishment of a School of Dentistry at East Carolina University-Support East Carolina University in its efforts to receive additional funding for a school of dentistry to be established at East Carolina University. During the 2006 Session, \$3 million of capital planning funds were allocated for the establishment of a school of dentistry at East Carolina University. During the 2007 session, bills were introduced in both the Senate and the House that provided for funding for the School of Dentistry at East Carolina University. However, these bills never progressed out of committee. Instead, the 2007 Appropriations Act (SL 2007-323 ratified on July 30, 2007 and signed by the Governor on July 31, 2007) included in Section 29-2 an appropriation in the amount of \$25 million for capital improvements for East Carolina School of Dentistry facilities. However, since \$90 million is needed for this facility, East Carolina University is seeking, during this session, the remaining \$62 million necessary for this facility. A school of dentistry at East Carolina University would address the rural and underserved populations of North Carolina in a similar manner as the Brody School of Medicine does. Additionally, there is a significant economic impact to the City of Greenville and its citizens which would be generated by the location of a school of dentistry in Greenville. This includes providing increased employment opportunities and building the healthcare infrastructure which will help in attracting jobs and industry. This was a City legislative initiative in 2007.

Motion was made by Council Member Joyner and seconded by Council Member Spell to have this initiative included in the 2008 legislative initiatives. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Additional Funding for PEG Channels--Seek enactment of legislation which will result in increased funding for public, educational and governmental access channels. During the 2006 session, legislation was enacted which established a state franchise for cable service and provided for distribution to cities and counties of a portion of the sales tax on video programming service, telecommunication service, and home satellite television service as a replacement for the franchise fee which the cities and counties formerly received as a result of their being the franchise authority. Part of the formula for the distribution of this sales tax revenue included an amount (not to exceed \$25,000 per year for each qualifying PEG channel (not to exceed three channels per city or county). This amount is required to be used for the operation and support of PEG channels. There was a \$2 million cap on the aggregate annual statewide amount to be distributed for this supplemental PEG channel support; however, the number of qualifying channels was underestimated and, because of this, each qualifying channel is currently yielding \$7,220.20 per year (or less than ½ of the maximum annual per channel \$25,000 amount). During the 2007 session,

Senate Bill 1068 was introduced and included provisions which increased the amount of the sales tax revenue to be distributed to cities and counties and increased the aggregate amount of supplemental PEG channel support from \$2 million to \$7 million. This bill should be supported to the extent that the increased amount of sales tax revenue distribution to cities and counties covers the increased amount to be distributed to supplemental PEG channel support. Since the bill passed the Senate and was not defeated in the House, it remains eligible for consideration during the 2008 short session of the General Assembly. Receipt of additional funding to support the operation of these important informational sources for citizens.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Mercer to have this initiative included in the 2008 legislative initiatives. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Funding for a Family Medicine Center at the Brody School of Medicine--Support East Carolina University in its efforts to receive funding for a Family Medicine Center at the Brody School of Medicine. East Carolina University is seeking \$36.8 million in state appropriations to be added to \$10 million in funds from ECU for this facility. The Department of Family Medicine was a founding department of the School of Medicine when it was originally established in 1975. It has grown from 6 faculty and 18 residents to 42 faculty and 30 residents. The current facility is forced to accommodate over double its capacity. A new facility will assist the Brody School of Medicine in achieving its mission of serving rural and underserved populations in North Carolina and educating the next generation of family physicians who are likely to remain in North Carolina. Additionally, like the establishment of the school of dentistry, this facility would have a significant economic benefit to the City of Greenville and its citizens. This includes providing increased employment opportunities and building the healthcare infrastructure which will help in attracting jobs and industry.

Motion was made by Council Member Kittrell and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to have this initiative included in the 2008 legislative initiatives. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>REVIEW OF CITY'S PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009</u> AND FINANCIAL PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010

City Manager Wayne Bowers presented the FY 2008-2009 proposed budget and the FY 2009-2010 financial plan. He explained that with the recent revaluation by the County, the City will attempt to have a revenue neutral tax rate. Copier and Information Technology needs have been distributed to the departments. The Aquatics and Fitness Center is not an enterprise fund in the upcoming budget; however, Sanitation is. Proposed expenses in FY 2008-09 are \$70,105,921 (16% for Fire/Rescue-\$11.2 million), 4% for Information Technology (\$3 million), 29% for Police (\$19.4 million), 11% for Public Works (\$7.9 million), 9% for Recreation and Parks (\$6 million), 9% for transfers (\$6.6 million), and other at \$15.9 million (22%). As in any business, personnel costs are continuing to rise, being 64% of total budget in FY 2008, 63% in FY 2009,

and 66% in FY 2010. The components of the personnel cost increase are a three percent general increase, 1.5% merit pool increase, 10% health insurance increase, minimum wage increase, and new positions. New positions being requested are four police officers, one police detective, one executive director for the PAL program, one community projects coordinator, a Recreation and Parks Commission parks planner, and a collections technician in Financial Services. Those positions can be offset with increased revenues. The increase in fuel costs is also having an impact on the budget, with the price of gas increasing 22% and diesel 40% from July 2007 to March 2008. Total fuel budget amounts are proposed to increase 18% from FY 2007-08 to FY 2008-09, increasing from \$749,455 in FY 2007-08 to \$887,804 in FY 2008-09 to \$986,800 in FY 2009-2010. A pay study is being proposed, and the funding for that has been included in the Human Resources budget for FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-2010. The funds allocated for Capital Improvements are increasing as vehicle replacement fund payments are increasing, and funding for the Drew Steele Center in the amount of \$1 million is included in the proposed 2008-2009 Capital Improvement Program.

City Manager Bowers suggested that Sanitation be an enterprise fund in the upcoming budget year. The major changes with regarding to that fund are the fleet labor charges for equipment and vehicle maintenance, General Fund overhead cost transfer, and the addition of six employees. The present level of service began in the fall of 1993 when 10,718 single-family and 10,329 multi-family households were served by 67 employees. Today, there are 16,100 single-family and 18,600 units being served by 70 employees. The City Manager presented options for garbage collection, showing the projected fees for maintaining the same level of service and reducing some levels of service. He explained how it is less expensive to pick-up garbage for multi-family dwellings. The rates that are needed to recoup the actual costs were presented as follows:

Proposed Monthly Garbage Collection Fees for Option 1					
(Weekly garbage, recycling, bulky item/vegetation, and white good collection; seasonal weekly					
leaf collection November – March; and citizens' option for backyard or curbside)					
	Current	Alternative #1	Alternative #2 (fee	Alternative #3 (fee	
		(fee increase to	increase for all	increase for all	
		backyard only	e	0 2	
		\$9.84 for FY 08-	family and multi-	backyard and	
		09)	family—18% for FY	curbside—27% for	
			08-09	FY 08-09	
Curbside	\$9.15	\$9.15	\$10.79	\$11.62	
Multi-	\$9.15	\$9.15	\$10.79	\$9.15	
Family					
Backyard	\$19.45	\$29.29	\$22.95	\$24.70	
Proposed Monthly Garbage Collection Fees for Option 2					
(Weekly	(Weekly garbage, recycling and white good collection; Bi-weekly bulky item/vegetation				
collection and seasonal leaf collection (November-March)					
		Current	Pr	Proposed	
Curbside		\$9.15	9	510.48	
Multi-Family		\$9.15	9	\$10.48	
Backyard		\$19.45		\$22.27	

City Manager Bowers stated that there will be a presentation of the proposed balanced budget on May 5, 2008. The budget public hearing is scheduled for June 9, 2008, and consideration of the adoption of the budget is scheduled for June 12, 2008.

Council Member Spell stated that he would like to see an option that reflects a greater fee for backyard.

City Manager Bowers stated that it is difficult to do the actual cost for each area (curbside, multifamily and backyard) with the hybrid system. Savings can be seen with having no backyard service; however, it is difficult to save with the hybrid system that Greenville offers.

After discussion about how savings could be made, the City Manager suggested that he would provide information to Council presenting curbside as the same fee and backyard recipients paying a higher fee.

Council Member Spell requested figures for part-time security at East Branch and Carver Libraries.

REVIEW OF APRIL 10, 2008 CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

The Council did a cursory review of the items on the April 10, 2008 City Council Meeting agenda and reviewed the appointments to Boards and Commissions.

Upon having a presentation by Carl Rees on the wayfinding system, Council Member Glover suggested that the City seal be used instead of the tobacco leaf. Council Member Kittrell suggested that the City work with the Hospital to make sure their signage doesn't conflict. Mr. Rees stated that Hospital representatives have been heavily involved in the process. The City's wayfinding system takes one to the front door, and the Hospital's takes them through the medical area.

COMMENTS FROM MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

The Council Members made general comments about upcoming and past events.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

The City Manager had no business to report.

CLOSED SESSION

Motion was made by Council Member Mercer and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to go into closed session to prevent the disclosure of information that is privileged or confidential pursuant to the law of this State or of the United States, or not considered a public record within the meaning of Chapter 132 of the General Statutes, said law rendering the information as privileged or confidential being the Open Meetings Law and to establish or instruct the public body's staff or negotiating agents concerning the position to be taken by or on behalf of the public body in negotiating the price and other material terms of a contract or proposed contract for the acquisition of real property by purchase, option, exchange, or lease. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURN

Motion was made by Council Member Council and seconded by Council Member Kittrell to adjourn the meeting at 10:15 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Wanda T. Elks, MMC City Clerk

MINUTES PROPOSED FOR ADOPTION BY CITY COUNCIL

Greenville, NC April 10, 2008

The Greenville City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date at 7:00 PM in the City Council Chambers, third floor of City Hall, with Mayor Patricia C. Dunn presiding. The meeting was called to order, followed by the invocation by Mayor Dunn and the pledge of allegiance to the flag. The following were present.

Mayor Patricia C. Dunn Mayor Pro-Tem Mildred A. Council Council Member Max Joyner, Jr. Council Member Bryant Kittrell Council Member Calvin Mercer Council Member Larry Spell Wayne Bowers, City Manager Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk David A. Holec, City Attorney

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: Council Member Rose H. Glover

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Mercer to approve the agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Affordable Housing Loan Committee

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Joyner to appoint Melissa Grimes to a first three-year term expiring February 2011, replacing James Tucker, who did not wish to be reappointed and to continue the replacement for John Tulloss. Motion carried unanimously.

Community Appearance Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to appoint Mark Aboud to replace Diane Kulik, who resigned, for a first three-year term to expire April 2011, and to continue the replacement of Doreen Winstead. Motion carried unanimously.

Environmental Advisory Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Mercer and seconded by Council Member Joyner to appoint Anne Bunnell to a first three-year term expiring April 2011, replacing Ulrich Alsentzer

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

Council Member Spell requested that the replacement for B. Porter Stokes be continued until May.

ORDINANCE REQUESTED BY ALVA W. WORTHINGTON TO REZONE PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF COUNTY HOME ROAD AND ADJACENT TO THE BELLAMY APARTMENTS AND KITTRELL FARMS SUBDIVISION FROM RA20 TO R6 AND R6A - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that the public hearing to consider a request by Alva W. Worthington to rezone 57.297 acres located along the eastern right-of-way of County Home Road and adjacent to The Bellamy Apartments and Kittrell Farms Subdivision from RA20 to R and R6A had previously been held and Council continued the matter to this meeting.

Ms. Chantae Gooby, stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of this request on November 20, 2007. The public hearing was held on December 13, 2007, and then the request was continued to January 10, 2008. At the request of the petitioner, the request was then continued to the April 10, 2008 City Council meeting. The request is being requested to be amended since it was originally presented to City Council. There is a strip of single-family zoning requested in Tract 2, which was originally requested to be multi-family single family. That property abuts Kittrell Farms patio homes. The second change is having a strip of Office along County Home Road in place of High Density Multi-Family. There is still a valid protest petition on this property. Several names were removed; however, they did not invalidate the protest petition.

Mr. Fred Mattox asked that this item be continued to May 8, 2008 in order to allow time for readvertising.

Mr. Dennis Hurley of Brookshire stated that a group of neighbors had been meeting and have come up with the amended request with the help of Wayne Worthington and Mr. Mattox. He asked that the item be continued until the May meeting.

Upon being asked, City Attorney Dave Holec stated that the request from Mr. Worthington is to amend his initial zoning request. Since it has been amended from single-family to office, it has to be readvertised. Signers of a protest petition can withdraw their protest up until the time the request is voted on.

Motion was made by Council Member Joyner and seconded by Council Member Spell to allow the amendment to the rezoning request and to set the public hearing for the May 8, 2008 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

SECOND READING OF AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A TAXICAB FRANCHISE TO FREDERICK DOUGLAS D/B/A H.O.P.E. (HEALTHY OUTREACH PROMOTES EXCELLENCE) - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers stated that notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing and second reading of an ordinance establishing a taxicab franchise for Frederick Douglas d/b/a H.O.P.E. (Healthy Outreach Promotes Excellence). The ordinance was approved on first reading on May 7, 2008. Notices were mailed to all limousine franchisees on March 31, 2008.

Chief of Police William Anderson stated that the applicant has complied with the requirements, and staff recommends approval of the request.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Frederick Douglas stated that he would like to receive a limousine franchise in order to bring students to a facility for an afterschool program to get them more active physically and academically.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Joyner to adopt on second reading the ordinance granting a taxicab franchise to Frederick Douglas d/b/a H.O.P.E. (Healthy Outreach Promotes Excellence). Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-42)

ORDINANCE REQUESTED BY ROSEWOOD FARMS, LLC TO REZONE PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF SIGNATURE DRIVE AND NORTH OF BLUEBILL DRIVE FROM R6A TO R6 - ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31 and April 7, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Rosewood Farms, LLC to rezone 3.399 acres located along the eastern right-of-way of Signature Drive and north of Bluebill Drive from R6A to R6. Approval was recommended by the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Ms. Chantae Gooby, Planner, delineated the property on a map and explained the request. In staff's opinion, the request is in general compliance with <u>Horizons: Greenville's Community</u> <u>Plan</u> and the Future Land Use Plan Map.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Steve Janowski, representing Rosewood Farms, stated that the main reason for requesting the rezoning is to allow them to keep the plat intact and not change the lot configuration to account for the additional three lots if they decide to go to a duplex facility.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Joyner to adopt the ordinance requested by Rosewood Farms, LLC to rezone property located along the eastern right-of-way of Signature Drive and 100<u>+</u> north of Bluebill Drive from R6A to R6. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-43)

ORDINANCE REQUESTED BY LAKE KRISTI PROPERTIES, LLC TO REZONE PROPERTY LOCATED BETWEEN SIGNATURE DRIVE AND BELLS CHAPEL ROAD, AND NORTH OF THE BELLAMY APARTMENTS, FROM OR AND R6 TO CG -ADOPTED

City Manager Wayne Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31 and April 7, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Lake Kristi Properties, LLC to rezone 3.5196 acres located between Signature Drive and Bells Chapel Road, and north of The Bellamy Apartments, from OR and R6 to CG. Approval was recommended by the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Ms. Chantae Gooby, Planner, delineated the property on a map and explained the request. In staff's opinion, the request is in general compliance with <u>Horizons: Greenville's Comprehensive</u> <u>Plan and the Future Land Use Plan Map</u>.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Ken Malpass, representing the applicant, stated that this property was purchased in 2004. This rezoning would square up the property and make it easier to use.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Mercer to adopt the ordinance requested by Lake Kristi Properties, LLC to rezone property located between Signature Drive and Bells Chapel Road, and north of The Bellamy Apartments, from OR and R6 to CG. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-44)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING GROVE POINT LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF ALLEN ROAD AND SOUTH OF ITS INTERSECTION WITH BRIARCLIFF DRIVE – ADOPTED

City Manager Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider an ordinance annexing Grove Point containing 23.142 acres located on the east side of Allen Road and south of its intersection with Briarcliff Drive.

Mr. Seth Laughlin, Planner, explained that this property is contiguous to the existing city limits, is 2.7 miles from Fire Station #5 and is in Voting District 1. The property is currently vacant, and the anticipated use of the property is for 304 multi-family units. The existing and anticipated population at full development is 744, with 229 being minority.

Motion was made by Council Member Kittrell and seconded by Council Member Joyner to adopt the ordinance annexing Grove Point containing 23.142 acres located on the east side of Allen Road and south of its intersection with Briarcliff Drive. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-45)

ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE REPAIR OR THE DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL OF THE DWELLING LOCATED AT 1913 MCCLELLAN STREET – ADOPTED

City Manager Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31 and April 7, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider an ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1913 McClellan Street.

Ms. Rhonda Jordan, Code Enforcement Coordinator, explained that the initial notice of violation was sent by certified mail on July 5, 2006, informing the property owner of the minimum housing violations cited by the Code Enforcement Officer and of the remedies necessary to bring the structure into compliance. Since that date, there have been a total of nine notices mailed to the owner about minimum housing violations. Staff has attempted to work with the owner, but no repairs have been made. The most recent notice mailed to the owner was sent on March 3, 2008, and it provided notice to the owner that the dwelling was considered as an abandoned structure. There have been no calls for service to the Greenville Police Department for this property. The dwelling has been vacated and closed for a period of at least six months. The utilities to the dwelling have been disconnected since September 2005 for Unit A and since April 2003 for Unit B. The February 26, 2008 Pitt County Tax Assessor's report valued the property at \$18,530 (\$13,770 for the building and \$4,760 for the land). Taxes are delinquent for the 2006 and 2007 tax years, and the total amount due is \$281.40. The estimated cost to repair the property is \$43,100. Costs to test and abate asbestos (if present) and demolition costs will be approximately \$10,000 due to the size of the structure.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Joyner and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to adopt the ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1913 McClellan Street. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-46)

ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE REPAIR OR THE DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL OF THE DWELLING LOCATED AT 1915 MCCLELLAN STREET – ADOPTED

City Manager Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on March 31 and April 7, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider an ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1915 McClellan Street. Ms. Rhonda Jordan, Code Enforcement Coordinator, explained that the initial notice of violation was sent by certified mail on September 28, 2004 informing the property owner of the minimum housing violations cited by the Code Enforcement Officer and of the remedies necessary to bring the structure into compliance. Since that date, there have been a total of nine notices mailed to the property owners informing them that the property was considered to be an abandoned structure. Staff has attempted to work with the owner, but no repairs have been made. The most recent notice mailed to the owner was sent on March 3, 2008, and it provided notice to the owner that the dwelling was considered as an abandoned structure. There have been no calls for service to the Greenville Police Department for this property. The dwelling has been vacated and closed for a period of at least six months. The utilities to the dwelling have been disconnected since April 2004 for both units. The February 26, 2008 Pitt County Tax Assessor's report valued the property at \$18,530 (\$13,770 for the building and \$4,760 for the land). Costs to test and abate asbestos (if present) and demolition costs will be approximately \$10,000 due to the size of the structure.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Joyner and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Council to adopt the ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1915 McClellan Street. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-47)

ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE REPAIR OR THE DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL OF THE DWELLING LOCATED AT 1910 SOUTH PITT STREET – ADOPTED

City Manager Bowers reported that a notice of public hearing was published in <u>The Daily</u> <u>Reflector</u> on February 11 and 18, 2008 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider an ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1910 South Pitt Street.

Ms. Rhonda Jordan, Code Enforcement Coordinator, explained that the initial notice of violation was sent by certified mail on October 18, 2007, informing the property owner of the minimum housing violations cited by the Code Enforcement Officer and of the remedies necessary to bring the structure into compliance. Since that date, there have been a total of three mailings to the property owners informing them of minimum housing violations. There have been two calls for service by the Greenville Police Department to the property in the past 12 months. The most recent notice mailed to the owner was sent on March 3, 2008. It provided notice to the owner that the dwelling was considered as an abandoned structure. There have been two calls for service to the Greenville Police Department for this property. The dwelling has been vacated and closed for a period of at least six months. The utilities to the dwelling have been disconnected since June 2002. The February 18, 2008 Pitt County Tax Assessor's report valued the property at \$11,080 (\$9,180 for the building value and 1,980 for the land). The estimated costs to repair the property are \$27,400. Costs to test and abate asbestos (if present) and demolition costs will be approximately \$10,000 due to the size of the structure.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Joyner and seconded by Council Member Spell to adopt the ordinance requiring the repair or the demolition and removal of the dwelling located at 1910 South Pitt Street. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 08-48)

<u>REVISIONS TO HOUSING DIVISION POLICY AND PROCEDURES GUIDELINES –</u> <u>APPROVED</u>

Mrs. Sandra Anderson, Senior Planner, informed the Council that the Housing Division administers and monitors all housing and community redevelopment projects funded by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership programs, North Carolina Housing Financial Agency, general revenues, local bonds, and other public/private institutions to assist low to moderate income citizens. All programs offered have specific guidelines for their implementation as defined by A Policy and Procedures Manual has been written that details the the funding source. implementation guidelines for the programs. The Policy and Procedures Guidelines have been revised to reduce the requirement of not being eligible to reapply for housing rehabilitation assistance from 25 years to 15 years after closeout, add the rule of Life Estates ineligibility as a form of ownership (federal rule), add picture identification and social security cards for all household members as required documentation, and add that all applicants with pending legal action as a result of faulty or default repair work by a non-Housing Division approved contractor must reimburse the City from any proceeds received for the amount of housing rehabilitation cost.

Mayor Dunn declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Joyner to receive the presentation on the Housing Division Policy and Procedures Guidelines and hold a public hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Mr. Frederic Salle of 311 Scottish Court spoke about the economic advantages that have come to the area as a result of tobacco and how "tobacco opened Greenville to the world and the world to Greenville". He asked that the Council not be uncharitable to the tobacco leaf.

Mr. Frank Schenck complimented the Council on supporting the public access channel. He asked the Council to have a legislative initiative asking the legislators to come up with a bill promoting peg channels instead of destroying them.

Mr. Rufus Huggins, President/CEO of the Southern Christian Leadership Coalition of Pitt County expressed concern about the comments made at the April 7, 2008 City Council meeting making the Southern Christian Leadership, Citizens Against Racism and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference look like they were on a witch hunt. A meeting with the Housing Authority did happen. Several experienced workers were replaced by new employees at the Housing Authority. Even though HUD has mandated that public housing authorities adopt asset management, nowhere in the HUD guidelines does it say that existing workers have to reapply for jobs. Restructuring does not require them to open jobs up. According to information provided by HUD, people are being forced to take substantial cuts in pay due to budget constraints. This does not affect upper management. These workers have made a career commitment to the Greenville Housing Authority.

Mr. Jeff Savage, Field Secretary for the Southern Christian Leadership for Pitt County, stated that they have been reviewing complaints by dedicated employees of the Greenville Housing Authority who did their jobs. There is a great inconsistency by the words spoken by the Executive Director and what is written on public documents on what has to be done and what is being done. The employees are credible, and what they are being told is different than what is being documented. It is important that the City of Greenville stay on a good path. These affected people are not only highly credible employees, but they are also citizens of the City. It is important that the City Council, under the leadership of the Mayor, take the lead role in this situation.

Ms. Brenda Highsmith stated that tobacco made possible the creation of money that created schools, hospital, etc. She stated that the tobacco leaf should be continued until the artist presents a more appropriate symbol.

Mr. Don Edwards stated that the wayfinding system is part of a comprehensive plan that will last for years. It will improve the image of the City as people are directed to East Carolina University, the courthouse, City Hall, the Library, Museum of Art, etc. He asked that the Council stay the course and support wayfinding, as it has been well studied and a lot of work and thought has gone into this.

DRAFT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT AND HOME INVESTMENT CONSOLIDATED PLAN FOR 2008-2013

Mrs. Sandra Anderson, Senior Planner, stated that as a requirement of the federal Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership programs, the City is required to submit a five-year consolidated plan that identifies housing needs, proposed programs, and how federal, state and local resources will be used to address those needs. City staff, along with a representative of Training and Development Associates (TDA) held public meetings on March 17 and 18, 2008 to gather input on future housing needs and activities. The entire draft Consolidated Plan will be available for review by citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties to examine its contents and submit comments for a period of 30 days (April 1-30, 2008) at the Housing Division, Sheppard Memorial Library, Lucille W. Gorham Intergenerational Center, and eight Recreation and Parks Community Centers.

Mr. John Kane gave an overview of the draft Community Development Block Grant and Home Investment Consolidated Plan for 2008-2013 and stated that another public hearing will be held in May.

Mr. Merrill Flood, Director of Community Development, stated that TDA has worked with staff to do the priorities, etc. The HOME Consortium was disbanded based on 2007 being the end of the agreement with HUD. HUD headquarters notified staff that it has one more year with the

WAYFINDING SYSTEM DESIGN ALTERNATIVES

Mr. Carl Rees stated that because of the concern about having different icons—one for uptown, one for Greenville and one for the medical district--the consultants recommended keeping the original and that it contain a G with a leaf in it, which is slightly different in each of the signs and is consistent throughout the wayfinding system for the gateways. One scenario had shown a golden leaf in the tobacco district. On April 7, it was recommended that the City seal be used. The consultant does not recommend the use of the City seal as it is more formal. Versions of the signs with the City seal were displayed.

Upon being asked what the next step is, Mr. Rees replied that when Council decides which way it would like to go, they would go to construction documents and would have two prototypes in the uptown district. Then, if funding has been identified through the 2004 Center City Bond Issue, to fund signage in Uptown Greenville, the system will be designed giving directions to the airport, West Greenville, etc.

Mayor Pro-Tem Council stated that Council Member Glover is out sick and would like to be a part of the vote. She asked that it be continued.

Council Member Kittrell stated that he has heard from many sides, has received a lot of e-mails, etc. He does not disagree with the heritage of tobacco; however, he would like to hear from the Redevelopment Commission.

Council Member Joyner stated that the Redevelopment Commission did not originally ask for a tobacco leaf. The Hilliard Group came back with it.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Mercer to continue this request until May 8. Motion carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE CREATING THE GREENVILLE NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COUNCIL - TO BE PLACED ON MAY 8, 2008 CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

Council Member Spell proposed that the Neighborhood Council consist of one representative from each city-recognized resident neighborhood association. The full Council would meet initially to elect a board, which would consist of two members from each voting district. At the first meeting, neighborhood representatives would split up by voting district and elect their board members. In the event that a neighborhood is split between two voting districts, the district with the larger share of homes would claim that neighborhood. Once formed the board would meet monthly to discuss and collaborate on issues that affect single-family neighborhoods. The full council should meet at least twice a year to offer feedback to the board. The first task would be to craft a proposed mission statement and submit it to Council for amendment and approval.

The Council expressed agreement with the proposal submitted by Council Member Spell.

Upon being questioned about how there could be no fiscal impact with the creation of the board, City Manager Bowers stated that the position has already been gotten for that board and other things would be incorporated into the present budget.

City Manager Bowers suggested the neighborhood group be a board or commission instead of a council.

Motion was made by Council Member Spell and seconded by Council Member Kittrell to direct staff to craft an ordinance creating a Neighborhood Commission consistent with the proposal explained by Council Member Spell for consideration at a May 2008 City Council meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTIONS ESTABLISHING STATE LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES - ADOPTED

City Attorney Dave Holec informed Council that resolutions had been prepared for the proposed 2008 legislative initiatives that Council had given direction on at the April 7, 2008 meeting. Those initiatives were as follows:

- (1) Enactment of legislation for additional funding for Public, Educational, and Governmental Access Channels
- (2) Enactment of legislation relating to street gang prevention
- (3) Fully funding the establishment of a School of Dentistry at East Carolina University
- (4) Funding for a family medicine center building at the Brody School of Medicine

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Council and seconded by Council Member Joyner to adopt the resolutions establishing State legislative initiatives. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution Nos. 08-12, 08-13, 08-14, and 08-15)

COMMENTS FROM MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

The Council Members gave general comments.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Upon being told that there are no items to be placed on the agenda for the April 21, 2008 City Council meeting, motion was made by Council Member Mercer and seconded by Council Member Spell to cancel the meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURN

Motion was made by Council Member Mercer and seconded by Council Member Spell to adjourn the meeting at 9:00 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Wanda T. Elks, MMC City Clerk



City of Greenville, North Carolina

Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Resolution accepting dedication of rights-of-way and easements for Bedford West, Phase 1; Medford Pointe, Lot 8A and Lot 7A, Phase 1; and North Green Commercial Park
Explanation:	In accordance with the City's Subdivision regulations, rights-of-way and easements have been dedicated for Bedford West, Phase 1 (Map Book 70 at Pages 16-17); Medford Pointe, Lot 8A and Lot 7A, Phase 1 (Map Book 69 at Page 155); and North Green Commercial Park (Map Book 69 at Pages 162-163). A resolution accepting the dedication of the aforementioned rights-of-way and easements is attached for City Council consideration. The final plats showing the rights-of-way and easements are also attached.
Fiscal Note:	Funds for the maintenance of these rights-of-way and easements are included within the FY 2007-2008 budget.
Recommendation:	Adopt the attached resolution accepting dedication of rights-of-way and easements for Bedford West, Phase 1; Medford Pointe, Lot 8A and Lot 7A, Phase 1; and North Green Commercial Park.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

- Bedford West Phase 1
- Medford Pointe Lots 8A and 7A Phase 1
- North Green Commercial Park
- May 2008 Rights of Way and Easment Resolution 757800

RESOLUTION NO. 08 _____ A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION TO THE PUBLIC OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS ON SUBDIVISION PLATS

WHEREAS, G.S. 160A-374 authorizes any city council to accept by resolution any dedication made to the public of land or facilities for streets, parks, public utility lines, or other public purposes, when the lands or facilities are located within its subdivision-regulation jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the Subdivision Review Board of the City of Greenville has acted to approve the final plats named in this resolution, or the plats or maps that predate the Subdivision Review Process; and

WHEREAS, the final plats named in this resolution contain dedication to the public of lands or facilities for streets, parks, public utility lines, or other public purposes; and

WHEREAS, the Greenville City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the public health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Greenville to accept the offered dedication on the plats named in this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Greenville, North Carolina:

<u>Section 1</u>. The City of Greenville accepts the dedication made to the public of lands or facilities for streets, parks, public utility lines, or other public purposes offered by, shown on, or implied in the following approved subdivision plats:

Bedford West Phase 1	Map Book 70	Pages 16-17
Medford Pointe, Lot 8A and (Lot 7A, Phase 1)	Map Book 69	Page 155
North Green Commercial Park	Map Book 69	Pages 162-163

<u>Section 2</u>. Acceptance of dedication of lands or facilities shall not place on the City any duty to open, operate, repair, or maintain any street, utility line, or other land or facility except as provided by the ordinances, regulations or specific acts of the City, or as provided by the laws of the State of North Carolina.

<u>Section 3</u>. Acceptance of the dedications named in this resolution shall be effective upon adoption of this resolution.

Adopted the 5th day of May, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

NORTH CAROLINA PITT COUNTY

I, Patricia A. Sugg, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk, personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged that she is the City Clerk of the City of Greenville, a municipality, and that by authority duly given and as the act of the municipality, the foregoing instrument was signed in its name by its mayor, sealed with the corporate seal, and attested by herself as its City Clerk.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal this 5th day of May, 2008.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 9/4/2011



CAD FILE: WELLINGHAM 06-029 BEDFORD WEST PH 1 FP FILE /08-029



Podeth

ATTEST



MWB.

SCALE: 1" - 100"

NC REGISTRATION NO. L-3082





FILE #05-205 CAD FILE: NORTH GREEN COMM PARK RW FP C&G FILE: POND



FILE #05-205 CAD FILE: NORTH GREEN COMM PARK RW FP C&G FILE: POND



GENERAL NOTES

CLARENCE FRANKLIN SMITH, JR. DB 1720, PG 851

408.3

STOW

MANAGEMENT, INC.

DB 2039, PG 551

- ALL AREAS CALCULATED BY COORDINATE GEOMETRY.
 THIS MAP IS OF A SURVEY THAT CREATES A SUBDIVISION OF LAND WITHIN A COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY THAT HAS AN ORDINANCE THAT REGULATES PARCELS OF LAND.
 THIS PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A SPECIAL FLOOD
- 3. THIS PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARDOUS AREA AS DETERMINED BY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY. REF: FIRM 3720468800 J, DATED JANUARY 2, 2004...
- THE DESIGNATION NOTED OVER WATER, SANITARY SEWER, GAS OR ELECTRICAL LINES IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING THE WIDTH OF SAID EASEMENT. THE EASEMENTS ARE NOT EXCLUSIVE AND WILL PERMIT THE INSTALLATION OF WATER, SANITARY SEWER, GAS AND ELECTRIC LINES WITHIN THOSE DESIGNATED WIDTHS.
- 5. NO BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES OR OTHER IMPROVEMENTS, MATERIALS AND SURFACES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO PRINCIPLE AND ACCESSORY STRUCTURES AND ADDITIONS OR APPURTENANCES THERETO, SIGNAGE, FENCES, WALLS, MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT, CANOPIES, ANTENNAS, MASTS, AERIALS, MONUMENTS, LANDSCAPE PLANTINGS, FILL MATERIALS, DEBRIS, SOLID WASTE COLLECTION CONTAINERS, MAIL RECEPTACLES AND IMPERVIOUS SURFACES SHALL ENCROACH WITHIN ANY DEDICATED EASEMENT WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE.
- 6. THIS PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO THE CITY OF GREENVILLE'S STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

		SEAL L-3082 WEST MALININ WEST MALININ	CERTIFICATION , MICHAEL WEST BALDWIN CERTIFY THAT UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION FROM AN ACTUAL FIELD SURVEY MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION (DEED DESCRIPTION RECORDED IN BOOK, PAGE, ETC.)(OTHER); THAT THE BOUNDARIES NOT SURVEYED ARE CLEARLY INDICATED AS DRAWN FROM INFORMATION FOUND IN BOOK, PAGE; THAT THE RATIO OF PRECISION AS CALCULATED BY LATITUDES AND DEPARTURES IS 1:10,000; THAT THIS MAP WAS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CS 47-30 AS AMENDED. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 2nd DAY OF
EMENT S SUBDIVISION IS	APPROVAL THIS FINAL PLAT NO. <u>07–109</u> WAS APPROVED BY THE SUBDIVISION REVIEW BOARD IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 9, CHAPTER 5 OF THE GREENVILLE CITY CODE THE <u>14th</u> DAY OF <u>NOVEMBER</u> .	DEDICATION THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES THIS PLAT AND ALLOTMENT TO BE FREE ACT AND DEED, AND HEREBY DEDICATES TO PUBLIC USE AS STREETS, PARKS, PLAYGROUNDS, OPEN SPACES AND EASEMENTS FOREVER ALL AREAS AS	CCTOBER , AD 2006. SIGNED REGISTRATION NO. L-3082 REVIEW OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE ANDRE THOMAS, A. REVIEW OFFICER OF PITT COUNTY, CERTIFY THAT THE MAP OR PLAT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATION IS AFFIXED MEETS ALL STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR RECORDING, ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR RECORDING, ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL
EFORE ME _, 200 % 	2007. SIGNEDCITY_PLANNER	SHOWN OR SO INDICATED ON SAID PLAT. SIGNED	NORTH CAROLINA PITT COUNTY FILED FOR REGISTRATION THISDAY OF 20, JUDY TART, REGISTER OF DEEDS BY:



City of Greenville, North Carolina

Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Abandonment of utility easement on West First Street at First Street Place
Explanation:	GUC has received a request from a developer to abandon a 30' wide existing utility easement at First Street Place. Staff has reviewed the request and has no need for the easement.
	The GUC Board, at their meeting on April 15, 2008, adopted a resolution to abandon the utility easement and recommended a similar resolution and deed of release be executed by the City Council.
Fiscal Note:	No cost to the City of Greenville.
Recommendation:	Adopt the attached resolution authorizing the execution of a deed of release.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

- **D** <u>Map</u>
- Resolution for Easement Abandonment

٩

Exhibit "A"



RESOLUTION _____

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, ABANDONING AN EXISTING 30' UTILITY EASEMENT ON WEST FIRST STREET ACROSS PARCEL #13500, AS SHOWN ON MB 15, PG 49, PITT COUNTY PUBLIC REGISTRY, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF DEED OF RELEASE

WHEREAS, Greenville Utilities Commission of the City of Greenville, North eageoliora (hereinafter referred to as "Commission") heretofore obtained a 30' wide utility easement across Parcel #13500, on West First Street, all as is shown on Map Book 15, at Page 49, Pitt County Public Registry; and

WHEREAS, such 30' wide Utility Easement heretofore granted to Commission is no longer needed by the Commission; and

WHEREAS, Commission anticipates no use or need now or in the future for the such 30' wide Utility Easement hereinafter described as to be abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Commission desires to abandon such 30' wide Utility Easement, all as more particularly shown on that certain plat entitled "Greenville Utilities Commission 30' Utility Easement to be Abandoned" prepared by Rivers & Associates, Inc., Engineers-Planners-Surveyors, 107 East Second Street, Greenville, NC 27858, telephone (252) 752-4135, dated March 27, 2008, a copy of which is marked Exhibit "A," and attached hereto and made a part hereof, and to which reference is hereby made for a more particular and accurate description of the 30' wide utility easement to be abandoned; and

WHEREAS, the current owner of such property, BVP First Street Place, LLC, has requested the City of Greenville and Greenville Utilities Commission to abandon such 30' wide Utility Easement, all as shown on Map Book 15, at Page 49, and more particularly shown on Exhibit "A," as to be abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Greenville Utilities Commission deems such abandonment to be reasonable and in the best interests of the Commission and all parties, and has requested the City of Greenville to acknowledge such abandonment and release of such 30' wide Utility Easement, all as shown on Exhibit "A," as to be abandoned as herein described.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Greenville, in Regular Session held in the Council Chambers of City Hall in the City of Greenville, North Carolina, on the _____ day of ______, 2008, as follows:

1. That the City Council of the City of Greenville does hereby abandon that 30' wide
Utility Easement shown on Map Book 15, at Page 49, Pitt County Public Registry, and more particularly described on that certain plat marked Exhibit "A" entitled "Greenville Utilities Commission 30' wide Utility Easement to be Abandoned" prepared by Rivers & Associates, Inc., Engineers-Planners-Surveyors, 107 East Second Street, Greenville, NC 27858, telephone (252) 752-4135, dated March 27, 2008, and to which reference is hereby made for a more particular and accurate description of the 30' wide utility easement to be abandoned.

2. That the appropriate City officials be and they hereby are empowered to make Attachment number 2 Page 2 of 2 Page 2 of 2 execute, and deliver to BVP First Street Place, LLC, the current owner of the property encumbered by such 30' wide Utility Easement to be abandoned, an instrument in a form suitable for recording and releasing whatever interests the City might have in and to such 30' wide Utility Easement to be abandoned, as hereinabove described.

Adopted this the _____ day of _____, 2008.

ATTEST:

PATRICIA C. DUNN, MAYOR

WANDA T. ELKS, CITY CLERK [SEAL]

F:\WP\PRD\GUC\BVP-CTY(RES)



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Water capital projects budget ordinance and reimbursement resolution for Greenville Utilities Commission's Elevated Storage Tank Painting and Mixing Modifications Project
Explanation:	GUC's water distribution system has three elevated storage tanks that provide reserve water storage for emergency supply, peak demand periods, fire flow requirements and to maintain adequate pressure in the system. The 1.5 million gallon capacity Southside (Wal-Mart) tank and the 1 million gallon capacity Eastside tank were constructed in 1990 and have not been painted since construction. The 0.5 million gallon capacity Northside tank was built in 1965 and was last painted in 1991.
	The results of a recent analysis of the interior and exterior tank coatings by the certified corrosion specialist at Soil & Materials Engineers (S&ME) indicates that the coatings have deteriorated and no longer adequately protect the structural steel from corrosion. S&ME recommends that GUC proceed with repainting the tanks in accordance with the Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan.
	The project consists of surface preparation and coating application on the Sothside tank exterior, valve vaults, dry interior, wet interior, and tank logos. The project also includes the installation of a mixing system in each tank that will improve uniform disinfectant residuals throughout the tank and assist in meeting regulatory requirements for minimum disinfectant residuals in the distribution system.
	The proposed Water Capital Projects Budget includes funding for (1) painting and mixing modifications (\$385,384.00), (2) associated fees for contract administration and inspection (\$98,000.00), and (3) project contingency (\$41,616.00). The tanks will be taken out of service and painted on a schedule beginning with Southside in the spring of 2008, followed by Eastside in the fall of 2008, and Northside in the spring of 2009.
	At their meeting on April 15, 2008, the GUC Board adopted the Water Capital
	Item # 4

Projects Budget and a reimbursement resolution and recommended similar action by the City Council.

Fiscal Note: No cost to the City of Greenville.

Recommendation: 1) Adopt the attached Water Capital Projects Budget Ordinance and 2) adopt the attached reimbursement resolution to allow GUC to reimburse itself from bond proceeds.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

- Water Capital Projects Budget Ordinance for Tank Painting
- Image: Provide the second se

ORDINANCE NO. 08-_____

FOR WATER CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET ELEVATED STORAGE TANK PAINTING AND MIXING MODIFICATIONS

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. Revenues. Revenues of Water Capital Project Budget, Elevated Storage Tank Painting and Mixing Modifications, is hereby established to read as follows:

Revenue:

Debt Financing Total Revenue \$525,000

\$525,000

\$525,000

\$525,000

Section 2. Expenditures. Expenditures of the Water Capital Project Budget, Elevated Storage Tank Painting and Mixing Modifications, is hereby established to read as follows:

Expenditures:

Project Costs Total Expenditures

Section 3. All ordinances and clauses of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption.

Adopted this the _____ day of _____, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 08-___

RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE TO REIMBURSE THE CITY FROM THE PROCEEDS OF A DEBT FINANCING FOR CERTAIN EXPENDITURES MADE AND TO BE MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

WHEREAS, the City of Greenville, North Carolina (the "City") has paid, beginning, May 5, 2008, which date is no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, certain expenditures in connection with the acquisition and construction of certain improvements (the "Improvements") more fully described in Exhibit A attached hereto, consisting of improvements to its electric, gas, sanitary sewer and water systems (collectively, the "System"); and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City (the "City Council") has determined that those moneys previously advanced no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof to pay such expenditures in connection with the acquisition and construction of the Improvements (the "Expenditures") are available only on a temporary period and that it is necessary to reimburse the City for the Expenditures from the proceeds of an issue of debt (the "Debt");

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL as follows:

Section 1. The City Council hereby declares its intent to reimburse the City from the proceeds of the Debt for the Expenditures made on and after May 5, 2008, which date is no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof. The City Council reasonably expects on the date hereof that it will reimburse the City for the Expenditures from the proceeds of a like amount of the Debt.

<u>Section 2</u>. Each Expenditure was or will be either (a) of a type chargeable to capital account under general federal income tax principles (determined as of the date of the Expenditures), (b) the cost of issuance with respect to the Debt, (c) a non-recurring item that is not customarily payable from current revenues of the System, or (d) a grant to a party that is not related to or an agent of the City so long as such grant does not impose any obligation or condition (directly or indirectly) to repay any amount to or for the benefit of the City.

Section 3. The principal amount of the Bonds estimated to be issued to reimburse the City for Expenditures for the Improvements is estimated to be \$525,000.

<u>Section 4</u>. The City will make a reimbursement allocation, which is a written allocation by the City that evidences the City's use of proceeds of the Debt to reimburse an Expenditure no later than 18 months after the later of the date on which such Expenditure is paid or the Improvements are placed in service or abandoned, but in no event more than three years after the date on which the Expenditure is paid. The City recognizes that exceptions are available for certain "preliminary expenditures," costs of issuance, certain <u>de minimis</u> amounts, (expenditures by "small issuers" based on the year of issuance and not the year of expenditure), and expenditures for construction projects of at least 5 years.

<u>Section 5</u>. The resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Adopted this the _____ day of ______, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

THE IMPROVEMENTS

The Improvements referenced in the resolution include, but are not limited to, the inspection, painting, and mixing modification system of the Southside, Northside, and Eastside elevated water tanks.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Sewer capital projects budget ordinance amendment and reimbursement resolution for Greenville Utilities Commission's Phase II Sanitary Sewer Outfall Rehabilitation Project
Explanation:	The rehabilitation of the Green Mill Run, Tar River, and Parker's Creek outfall sewers is a multi-phased effort to rehabilitate the deteriorated and failure-prone portions of GUC's existing trunk sewer system. GUC staff has been pursuing a multi-year, multi-phase approach to rehabilitate the aging concrete sewers since the completion of an engineering evaluation in December 1997. Thus far, two major capital projects totaling approximately \$3 million have been completed. The current five-year capital improvements plan includes \$2,000,000 for outfall sewer rehabilitation for FY 08 and FY 09.
	The attached capital projects budget amendment for \$900,000 will provide funding for (1) the Phase II Sewer Rehabilitation (\$799,070), (2) engineering services for the Phase III Sewer Rehabilitation (\$60,000), and (3) project contingency (\$40,930). The current budget of \$126,479 is for engineering services for the project. The next portion of the planned sewer rehabilitation program (Phase III) is planned to be bid for construction in the December- February time frame.
	At their meeting on April 15, 2008, the GUC Board amended the Sewer Capital Projects Budget and adopted a reimbursement resolution and recommended similar action by the City Council.
Fiscal Note:	No cost to the City of Greenville
Recommendation:	 Adopt the attached Sewer Capital Projects Budget ordinance amendment and adopt the attached reimbursement resolution to allow GUC to reimburse itself from future debt financing.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. <u>Click here</u> to download.

- Sewer Capital Projects Budget Ordinance
- <u>Reimbursement Resolution</u>

ORDINANCE NO _____ AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 07-102 FOR SEWER CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET SANITARY SEWER OUTFALL REHABILIATION PROJECT - PHASE II

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, DOES ORDAIN:

Section 1. The Sewer Capital Projects Budget is amended, so that as amended, it shall read as follows:

	Current		Proposed
	Budget	Change	Revised
Revenue:			
Debt Financing	\$126,479	\$900,000	\$1,026,479
	\$126,479	\$900,000	\$1,026,479
Expenditures: Project Costs	\$126,479 \$126,479	\$900,000 \$900,000	\$1,026,479 \$1,026,479

Section 2. All ordinances and clauses of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption.

Adopted this the ______day of ______, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 08-___

RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE TO REIMBURSE THE CITY FROM THE PROCEEDS OF A DEBT FINANCING FOR CERTAIN EXPENDITURES MADE AND TO BE MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

WHEREAS, the City of Greenville, North Carolina (the "City") has paid, beginning, May 5, 2008, which date is no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, certain expenditures in connection with the acquisition and construction of certain improvements (the "Improvements") more fully described in Exhibit A attached hereto, consisting of improvements to its electric, gas, sanitary sewer and water systems (collectively, the "System"); and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City (the "City Council") has determined that those moneys previously advanced no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof to pay such expenditures in connection with the acquisition and construction of the Improvements (the "Expenditures") are available only on a temporary period and that it is necessary to reimburse the City for the Expenditures from the proceeds of an issue of debt (the "Debt");

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL as follows:

Section 1. The City Council hereby declares its intent to reimburse the City from the proceeds of the Debt for the Expenditures made on and after May 5, 2008, which date is no more than 60 days prior to the date hereof. The City Council reasonably expects on the date hereof that it will reimburse the City for the Expenditures from the proceeds of a like amount of the Debt.

<u>Section 2</u>. Each Expenditure was or will be either (a) of a type chargeable to capital account under general federal income tax principles (determined as of the date of the Expenditures), (b) the cost of issuance with respect to the Debt, (c) a non-recurring item that is not customarily payable from current revenues of the System, or (d) a grant to a party that is not related to or an agent of the City so long as such grant does not impose any obligation or condition (directly or indirectly) to repay any amount to or for the benefit of the City.

Section 3. The principal amount of the Bonds estimated to be issued to reimburse the City for Expenditures for the Improvements is \$900,000.

Section 4. The City will make a reimbursement allocation, which is a written allocation by the City that evidences the City's use of proceeds of the Debt to reimburse an Expenditure no later than 18 months after the later of the date on which such Expenditure is paid or the Improvements are placed in service or abandoned, but in no event more than three years after the date on which the Expenditure is paid. The City recognizes that exceptions are

available for certain "preliminary expenditures," costs of issuance, certain <u>de minimis</u> amounts, (expenditures by "small issuers" based on the year of issuance and not the year of expenditure), and expenditures for construction projects of at least 5 years.

<u>Section 5</u>. The resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Adopted this the _____ day of ______, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

THE IMPROVEMENTS

The Improvements referenced in the resolution include, but are not limited to, the rehabilitation of the remaining portions of the Green Mill Run, Tar River, and Parker's Creek sanitary sewer outfalls.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Contract award for the 2007-2008 Street Resurfacing Project		
Explanation:	Attached is the bid tabulation. The Barnhill Contracting Company of This project will involve resurface	2008 Resurfacing Project on April 10, 2008. he lowest responsive bid was submitted by f Kinston, NC, in the amount of \$697,896.85. ing approximately 7.6 miles of City streets. reets to be resurfaced under this year's project.	
Fiscal Note:	part of this project, Greenville Ut	<pre>rovided through the City's Powell Bill Fund. As ilities Commission (GUC) will reimburse the ffected by the resurfacing (\$67,874.10). The \$697,896.85 \$ 34,894.85 <u>\$ 15,000.00</u> \$747,791.70 \$679,917.60 \$ 67,874.10</pre>	
	Total Project Revenues	\$747,791.70	
Recommendation:	Award a construction contract for Contracting Company in the amo	r the 2007-2008 Street Resurfacing to Barnhill unt of \$697,896.85.	

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

Bid Tab

2007_to_2008_Resurfacing_List_for_Agenda_757286

Streets	to	be	Resurfaced

Asbury Road

Kings Road

Alice Drive

10. Calvin Way

12. Pittman Drive

14. Lake Road

17. Pearl Drive

22. Airlee Drive

23. Orton Drive

26. Fairview Way

27. Tiffany Drive

31. Juniper Lane

32. David Drive

34. Fletcher Place

35. Cotton Street

38. Scott Street

41. Jackson Drive

42. Polk Avenue

33 Lisa Lane

18. Red Oak Lane

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. 8.

9.

From

Clubway Drive Country Club Drive Martinsborough Road **Greenway Street** Dead End Huntington Road **Daventry Drive Oueen Annes Road** Cul-de-sac **Chesterfield Court** Frontgate Drive St Andrews Drive Fairlane Drive Chadwick Lane Sir Walter Drive Arlington Boulevard 11. Pendleton Street Hooker Road Pendleton Street 13. S. Sylvan Drive Sylvan Drive Sussex Street Bowman Grav Drive 15. Bethesda Drive 16. Moye Boulevard Stantonsburg Road Allendale Drive Allendale Drive 19. Washington Street First Street 20. Roanoke Place Sir Walter Drive 21. West Wright Road East Wright Road Fourteenth Street E. Longmeadow Drive 24. Brookgreen Drive Elm Street 25. East Berkley Drive W Overlook Drive Red Banks Road White Hollow Drive 28. Sweetbriar Drive **Baytree** Drive 29. Oakmont Drive Charles Boulevard 30. Mulberry Lane Arlington Boulevard **Red Banks Road** Lauara Lane **Fletcher Place** Laura Lane East Wright Road 36. Chippendale Drive Quail Hollow Road 37. Beachwood drive Greenfield Boulevard Fourteenth Street 39. Hamilton Street Tenth Street 40. Jefferson Drive Cedar Lane Jefferson Drive Jackson Drive **Crockett Drive** 43. Jefferson Drive W. 5th Street 44. Moye Boulevard

To Holloman Street Jamestown Road Dead End Wyneston Road Paving Change Dead End Cul-de-sac End of C&G Lancaster Drive Pittman Drive Pittman Drive Sylvan Drive Sylvan Drive Ellsworth Drive Cul-de-sac Memorial Drive Oakhurst Circle Pearl Drive Third Street Cul-de-sac Austin Place Longmeadow Drive W. Longmeadow Road W. Longmeadow Drive Greenville Boulevard Greenville Boulevard Cul-de-sac Paving Change Charles Boulevard 400' West Cul-de-sac Greenville Boulevard Laura Lane Cul-de-sac Slay Drive Dead End Woodside Drive **Barnes Street** Jefferson Avenue Jackson Drive Jefferson Drive **Crockett Drive** NW 160' PCMH Project

Attachment number 1 Page 2 of 2

2007/2008 Resurfacing Project (continued)

<u>Str</u>	eets to be Milled	From	То
1.	Greenway Street	Dead End	Dead End
2.	Pendleton Street	Hooker Road	Pittman Drive
3.	Pittman Drive	Pendleton Street	Sylvan Drive
4.	S. Sylvan Drive	Sylvan Drive	Sylvan Drive
5.	Pearl Drive	Allendale Drive	Oakhurst Drive
6.	Red Oak Lane	Allendale Drive	Pearl Drive
7.	Washington Street	First Street	Third Street
8.	Fairview Way	Red Banks Road	Greenville Boulevard
9.	Mulberry Lane	Arlington Boulevard	400' West
10.	Jefferson Drive	Cedar Lane	Jackson Drive
11.	Jackson Drive	Jefferson Drive	Jefferson Drive
12.	Polk Avenue	Jackson Drive	Crockett Drive
13.	Jefferson Drive	Crockett Drive	NW 160'

2007- 2008 Street Resurfacing Project

BID TABULATION SHEET

City of Greenville, North Carolina

Engineering Division

Bid Opening: April 10, 2008 11:00am

Contractor	Address		Bid Bond		:v'd d #1	Base Bid	MWBE	
		Yes	No	Yes	No		Yes	No
Barnhill Contracting Company	PO Box 399 Kinston, NC 28502	5%		x		\$697,896.85		
Roberson Contracting Inc	PO Box 1596 Williamston, NC 27892	5%		x	· · · ·		<u>x</u>	
Greenville Paving & Contracting, Inc	PO Box 7088 Greenville, NC 27835	5%		x		\$955,163.56	X	
						\$767,131.75	X	
			·				-	
	·			-				
								··· <u>·</u>
		┉──॒॒॒┻┈┈╷ _┙	I	<u>_</u>	N av 4-	vid T. Brown, PE, City Enginee 10 - 08	er	<u>.</u>
				_		Date	<u></u>	



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Contract award for the 2008 Sidew	alk Construction Project
Explanation:	2008. Attached is the bid tabulation NC, submitted the lowest responsive of this project, approximately 7,55 segments of Arlington Boulevard,	dewalk Construction Project on April 10, on. Charles Hughes Construction of LaGrange, we bid in the amount of \$248,394.35. As part 1 linear feet of sidewalk will be installed along Greenville Boulevard, E. Arlington various other locations. A list of the sidewalk
Fiscal Note:	Funding for this project will be pro Bill Fund. The proposed budget for <u>Expenditures</u> Sidewalk Construction Contract Contingency (3%) Total Project Cost <u>Revenue</u> FY 2007-2008 (Powell Bill)	<pre>vided through funds from the City's Powell r this project is as follows: \$248,394.35 \$ 7,571.83 \$259,966.18 \$259,966.18</pre>
Recommendation:	Award a construction contract for the Charles Hughes Construction in the	the 2008 Sidewalk Construction Project to e amount of \$248,394.35.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

2008 Sidewalk Project Bid Tab Sheet

2008 Sidewalk Project

Sidewalk Location

- 1. SE Greenville Blvd
- Arlington Blvd 2.
- 3. Evans St
- 4. Skinner St
- Arlington Blvd W. 14th St 5.
- 6.
- Davis Street 7.
- 8. S. Pitt St
- W 4th St 9.

From

Arlington Blvd Red Banks Rd Railroad tracks (North) Howell St Memorial Dr Dickinson Ave W 4th St W 4th St S Pitt St

<u>To</u>

West of Charles Blvd Mulberry Ln. Existing sidewalk south of 14th St Glendale Dr Dickinson Ave Broad St W 5th St W 5th St N Greene St

2008 Sidewalk Project

BID TABULATION SHEET

City of Greenville, North Carolina

Engineering Division

Bid Opening: April 10, 2008 10:00 a.m.

Contractor	Address	Bid Bond		Received Addendum 1		Base Bid	MWBE	
		Yes	No	Yes	No		Yes	No
Charles Hughes Construction	4675 Ben Dail Rd							
Charles Hughes Construction	LaGrange, NC 28551	5%		X		\$248,394.35	X	
Carolina Earthmovers	2252 Allpine Taylor Rd							
Carolina Eartimovers	Greenville, NC 27834	5%		Х		\$287,796.20	Х	
Empire Construction	1015 Branch Rd							
Empire Construction	Winterville, NC 28590	5%		X		\$289,312.00	X	
Tripp Bros	PO Box 128							
прр вюз	Ayden, NC 28513	5%		Х		\$300,348.00	X	
Brown Construction Co. Inc.	PO Box 1499							
Browe Construction Co, Inc	Clayton, NC 27528	5%		X		\$327,681.50	X	
Hine Sitework	PO Box 1275							
Hille Silework	Goldsboro, NC 27417	5%		Х		\$333,407.00	X	
Lanier Construction	1505 Browntown Rd							
Lanier Construction	Snow Hill, NC 28580	5%		X		\$336,401.00	Х	
HML Corp	2109 Wilson Farm Ln							
ние сор	Greenville, NC 27858	5%		Х		\$339,984.20	Х	
Global Construction	806 W. Vernon Ave							
Global Construction	Kinston, NC 28503	5%		X		\$339,984.20	X	
Burney & Burney Construction	PO Box 340							
Burney & Burney Construction	Greenville, NC 27834	5%		Х		\$383,638.68	Х	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				k	David T. Brown, PE, City Engli	neer	
					4	1-10-08		
								-

Item # 7 Date



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Pitt County Law Enforcement 800 MHZ Interoperability Grant Phase 2
Explanation:	The Greenville Police Department was invited to apply for a COPSTECH grant by the U.S. Department of Justice. A grant application was submitted for radios and equipment that would allow all county public safety agencies including the Pitt County Sheriff's Office, Pitt County 911, and Greenville Fire-Rescue to operate on an 800 MHZ radio system through the State of North Carolina Viper radio system. This system will allow for true interoperability between public safety agencies in Pitt County.
	Greenville was one of only two locations in the state of North Carolina selected to receive these grant funds. Greenville was awarded \$2,977,343 of federal funds that must be matched with 25% local funds, bringing the total grant project to \$3,721,678.70. The funds will be used to purchase hand-held portable radios, vehicle radios, communication center consoles, and technical equipment necessary to achieve true interoperability between local public safety agencies. The equipment will be purchased from Motorola, Inc. under a state contract.
	The Greenville Police Department will serve as the administrator of the grant and associated funds. However, each local government agency will be responsible for its portion of the matching funds based on the amount of equipment they have been designated to receive through the grant.
	The City Council previously approved the contract to purchase equipment to include the portable radios, mobile radios, and control stations. This request is to approve the second phase of the project that includes the purchase of 20 radio console positions.
Fiscal Note:	The entire project totals \$2,977,343.00 of federal funds with \$744,334.70 in local matching funds. The estimated match required for the City of Greenville is \$463,357.50 for both Police and Fire/Rescue equipment.

The second phase portion of the total listed above is \$1,439,051.41

Recommendation: Award a City contract to purchase 20 console positions in the amount of \$1,439,051.41 based on a State of North Carolina contract using COPSTECH grant funds and local matching funds to Motorola, Inc subject to approval by the U.S. Department of Justice to purchase Motorola, Inc. equipment.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Authorization to submit final applications for two grants through the North Carolina Governor's Crime Commission
Explanation:	The Greenville Police Department submitted two pre-applications to the North Carolina Governor's Crime Commission. The Commission has approved the pre-applications and funding levels. In order to receive the grants, the Police Department will be required to submit final applications and commit to providing matching funds.
	The Integrated Community Anti-Gang Response grant consists of collaborative prevention, intervention, and suppression strategies designed to address gang violence. The Police Department will be involved in community mobilization through the Citizens United Against Violence, a youth apprenticeship and summer school program, and intelligence sharing with other law enforcement agencies as well as participation in a multi-jurisdiction task force.
	The Multi-Disciplinary Response Continuum grant will provide training for a 12- member multi-agency criminal justice investigative team to address domestic violence issues and expand victim advocacy. The grant will also provide 10 Pitt County law enforcement agencies with computer forensics capabilities.
Fiscal Note:	The Gang grant total cost is \$115,194.00. The grant award is \$86,395.50. The City of Greenville must provide a 12.5% in-kind match and a 12.5% cash match. The cash match will be \$14,399.25.
	The Domestic Violence grant total cost is \$100,000. The grant award is \$75,000. The City of Greenville must provide a \$25,000 match.
	The matching funds will be made available as needed from contingency funds in the 2007-2008 or 2008-2009 budgets.

Recommendation:

Authorize the Police Department to submit the final grant applications and commit to providing the designated matching funds.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	Budget ordinance amendment #10 to the 2007-2008 City of Greenville budget and an ordinance establishing a capital project budget for New Technology for Public Safety
Explanation:	1)Attached is an amendment to the 2007-2008 budget ordinance for consideration at the May 5, 2008 City Council meeting. For ease of reference, a footnote has been added to each line item of the budget ordinance amendment, which corresponds to the explanation below:
	$\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ To appropriate funds pledged by Pitt Community College to partner with the City on the expansion of the City's fiber network. This expansion will include Pitt Community College's main campus in Winterville. The City will contract this work to Greenville Utilities Commission for completion. (Total - \$32,000)
	<u>B</u> To appropriate Controlled Substance tax funds to install a steel fence at the new Police Substation to secure property. (Total - $$12,556$)
	C To allocate Federal Forfeiture funds to pay a vendor for a credit that was granted prematurely. The credit was granted as a result of trade-ins for new guns. The Police Department received a credit for 100 shotgun trade-ins, but only turned in 65. Therefore, the City has to refund the company for 35 guns that were not traded. (\$2,975)
	D To appropriate funds received for the 2007 Justice Assistance Grant Program. The total award was paid to the City of Greenville, to be split equally between the City of Greenville and Pitt County. These funds can be used to prevent and control crime based on needs and conditions. The City will use its portion, \$50,615, to purchase nine in-car cameras. (\$101,330)
	$\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ To appropriate proceeds received from the sale of scrap metal from the Public Works yard to use for continued cleaning of the yard. (\$5,665)
	$\underline{\mathbf{F}}$ To transfer Capital Reserve Funds to the New Technology for Public Safety Capital Project Fund. The Capital Reserve has accumulated \$3,484,000 for this project.

$\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ To transfer undesignated funds from the Capital Reserve for renovations to the Lucille W. Gorham Intergenerational Center (\$33,000), to purchase two police cars to be added to the fleet (\$60,375), and to purchase equipment items to outfit two fire trucks purchased this year (\$110,000).
$\underline{\mathbf{H}}$ To allocate funds to co-sponsor the seminar, "Latino Immigration: Myths and Realities," with the Pitt-Greenville Chamber of Commerce, East Carolina University, and Pitt Community College(\$1,500).
2) Attached is a 2007-2008 project budget ordinance to establish a capital project fund for New Technology for Public Safety. These funds have been on reserve within the Capital Reserve Fund and will be used to support the purchase of a Public Safety Software System. The City is entering a contract to purchase New World Systems Aegis/MSP public safety system software as approved by City Council. Additional third-party software and hardware from multiple vendors will also be required to support this endeavor. Additionally, the City will contract the work for project management and consulting as part of the implementation plan.

Fiscal Note:The budget ordinance amendment increases the General Fund by \$247,801; the Capital
Reserve Fund by \$317,174; Vehicle Replacement Fund by \$110,000; and the New
Technology for Public Safety Capital Project Fund by \$3,484,000:

<u>Fund Name</u>	Adjusted <u>Budget</u>	Proposed <u>Amendment</u>	Revised <u>Adjusted Budget</u>
General Fund	\$70,143,994	\$ 247,801	\$ 70,391,795
Capital Reserve	\$ 6,130,887	\$ 317,174	\$ 6,448,061
Vehicle Replacement Fund	\$ 2 552,245	\$ 110,000	\$ 2,662,245
New Technology for Public Safety	\$ -	\$ 3,484,000	\$ 3,484,000

Recommendation: Approve budget ordinance amendment #10 to the 2007-2008 City of Greenville budget and the ordinance establishing the capital project for New Technology for Public Safety.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. <u>Click here</u> to download.

- Budget Amendments 2007 2008 FY 707984
- Project_for_Public_Safety_Project_758884

ORDINANCE NO. 08-____ CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA ORDINANCE (#10) AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 07-93

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, DOES ORDAIN:

<u>Section I.</u> Estimated Revenues. General Fund, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing estimated revenues in the amount indicated:

-	Origina	<u>ul/</u>		Proposed		Revised
Account	Adjuste	ed Budget	An	<u>nendment</u>	<u>Adjust</u>	ted Budget
Spec State/Fed/Local Grants ^A	\$	553,425	\$	32,000	\$	585,425
Controlled Substance ^B		27,415		12,556		39,971
Federal Forfeiture ^C		34,666		2,975		37,641
Police Grants ^D		176,841		101,230		278,071
Recycling ^E		1,300		5,665		6,965
Transfer from Capital Reserve ^G		189,000		93,375		282,375
Total Estimated Revenue		-	\$	247,801		

<u>Section II.</u> Appropriations. General Fund, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing appropriations in the amount indicated:

	Original/	Proposed	Revised
<u>Department</u>	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Mayor and City Council ^H	\$ 393,740	\$ 1,500	\$ 395,240
Information Technology ^A	3,637,035	32,000	3,669,035
Police ^{B,C,D,G}	18,098,143	177,136	18,275,279
Community Development ^G	2,147,803	33,000	2,180,803
Public Works ^E	11,387,804	5,665	11,393,469
Total Appropriations		\$ 249,301	

<u>Section III.</u> Appropriations. General Fund, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by decreasing appropriations in the amount indicated:

	<u>Original/</u>	Proposed	Revised
<u>Account</u>	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Contingency ¹	\$ 18,818	\$ 1,500	\$ 17,318

<u>Section IV.</u> Estimated Revenues. Capital Reserve, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing estimated revenues in the amount indicated:

	<u>Original/</u>	Proposed	Revised
Account	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Appropriated Fund Balance ^F	\$ 1,829,055	\$ 317,174	\$ 2,146,229

<u>Section V.</u> Appropriations. Capital Reserve, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing appropriations in the amount indicated:

	<u>Origina</u>	<u>l/</u>	Proposed		Revised
<u>Department</u>	<u>Adjuste</u>	d Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	<u>Adjus</u>	sted Budget
Transfer to New Technology for					
Public Safety ^F	\$	-	\$ 3,484,000	\$	3,484,000
Transfer to General Fund ^G		189,000	93,375		282,375
Transfer to Vehicle Replacement					
Fund ^G		-	110,000		110,000
Total Appropriations			\$ 3,687,375		

<u>Section VI.</u> Appropriations. Capital Reserve, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by decreasing appropriations in the amount indicated:

	<u>Original/</u>	Proposed	Revised
<u>Department</u>	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Increase in Reserve ^F	\$ 3,370,201	\$ 3,370,201	\$ -

<u>Section VII.</u> Estimated Revenues. Vehicle Replacement Fund, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing estimated revenues in the amount indicated:

	<u>Original/</u>	Proposed	Revised
Account	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Transfer from Other Funds ^G	\$ 2,026,260	\$ 110,000	\$ 2,136,260

<u>Section VIII.</u> Appropriations. Vehicle Replacement Fund, of Ordinance 07-93, is hereby amended by increasing appropriations in the amount indicated:

	<u>Original/</u>	Proposed	Revised
<u>Department</u>	Adjusted Budget	<u>Amendment</u>	Adjusted Budget
Vehicle Replacement Fund ^G	\$ 2,552,245	\$ 110,000	\$ 2,662,245

<u>Section IX</u>. All ordinances and clauses of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

<u>Section X</u>. This ordinance will become effective upon its adoption.

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk

ORDINANCE NO. 08-___ CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA NEW TECHNOLOGY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY CAPITAL PROJECT FUND BUDGET ORDINANCE

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA, DOES ORDAIN:

<u>Section I</u>. Estimated Revenues. It is estimated that the following revenues will be available for the New Technology for Public Safety Capital Project Fund:

127-0000-370.04.00	Transfer from Capital Reserve	\$3,484,000
--------------------	-------------------------------	-------------

<u>Section II.</u> Appropriations. The following amount is hereby appropriated for the New Technology for Public Safety Capital Project Fund:

127-2500-402.07-00	Supplies and Materials	\$ 8,000
127-2500-402.08-01	Contracted Services	412,000
127-2500-423.03-01	Non-Contractual	310,043
127-2500-423.11-24	Computer Software	1,629,857
127-2500-423.11-27	Computer Hardware	582,100
127-2500-423.11-05	System Testing / Implementation	542,000
		\$3,484,000

<u>Section III.</u> All ordinances and clauses of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section IV. This ordinance will become effective upon its adoption.

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2008.

Patricia C. Dunn, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Fitle of Item:</u>	Various tax refunds		
Explanation:	The Director of Financial Services rec	commends a refund of the foll	owing taxes:
	Payee	Description	Amount
	P & D Real Estate LLC	Refund of City Taxes	\$105.34
	Lee Crawford	Refund of City Taxes	\$184.19
	Barbara Kata	Refund of City Taxes	\$287.06
	Charleston Development Co. Inc.	Refund of City Taxes	\$645.15
	Horne & Horne, PLLC	Refund of City Taxes	\$289.95
	Albert & Adia Johnson	Refund of City Taxes	\$166.47
Fiscal Note:	The total amount to be refunded is \$1,		\$100.4
Recommendation:	Approval of tax refunds.		
Recommendation:	Approval of tax refunds.		

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Report on bid awarded
----------------	-----------------------

Explanation: The Director of Financial Services reports that the following bid was awarded during the month of March 2008, and is to be included on the City Council agenda for information.

Date Awarded	Description	Vendor	Amount	M/WBE Yes/No
3/25/08	Redundant Core Switch	Microage	\$59,947	No

Fiscal Note: An expenditure of \$59,947 was appropriated in the 2007-2008 budget.

Recommendation: That the bid award information be reflected in the City Council minutes.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

Bid_Tabulation_IT_Dept._Redundant_Core_Switch_757836

BID TABULATION SHEET City of Greenville, North Carolina Financial Services Department

Description: Informal Bid# 2007/08-35 Redundant Core Switch for IT Dept.

Bid Due Date: March 18, 2008

Contractor	Address	Bid Bond	Addendum #1	Base Bid	Comments
Ronco		e/u		\$65,200.50	
Microage		n/a		\$59,947.00	
		e/u			
		n/a			

Highlight indicates Low bidder that received the award

Angelene E. Brinkley, CLGPO, MPA Purchasing Manager

Date:



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Presentation by boards and commissions
	a. Youth Council
Explanation:	The Youth Council will make its annual presentation to City Council at the May 5, 2008 City Council meeting. At that time, the senior members of the Youth Council will also be recognized.
Fiscal Note:	No fiscal impact.
Recommendation:	Receive the annual report from the Youth Council and recognize the senior members.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. <u>Click here</u> to download.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Presentation by Bicycle Safety Advocacy Group
Explanation:	Members of the Bicycle Safety Advocacy Group will present a proposal to create a Greenville Bicycle Friendly Task Force. The task force's goals include:
	 Review the implementation of the <u>2002 Greenville Urban Area Bicycle</u> <u>Master Plan;</u> Inventory and assess the current status of bikeways in Greenville; Examine model bicycle-friendly communities' best practices; Develop a plan to seek and obtain designation of Greenville as a Bicycle- Friendly Community from the League of American Bicyclists; Facilitate application for North Carolina Department of Transportation Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning grant; Explore other opportunities to fund bikeway infrastructure improvements; Develop a five-year plan for advancing the culture of Greenville to one in which its citizens are proud to support and advocate for bicyclists and pedestrians; and Develop a ten-year plan to achieve 100 miles of bikeways. The group proposes establishing the task force as a time-limited activity with the objective of completing all of its goals within two years of being created. The Assistant City Manager has assisted the group in preparing its proposal, and the proposals will be reviewed at the City Council meeting.
Fiscal Note:	No direct cost. Only allocation of staff time and minor office supplies.
<u>Recommendation:</u>	Consider proposed creation of a Greenville Bicycle Friendly Task Force.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.

Attachments / click to download

Bicycle_Task_Force_Proposal_761392

PROPOSAL FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A GREENVILLE BICYCLE FRIENDLY TASK FORCE

Bicycling is one of the oldest forms of human transportation, yet the modern-day cyclist faces problems related to suburban living and motor vehicle speed and traffic volume, among others. The various kinds of facilities needed to maintain bicycling as a viable transportation mode have been frequently overlooked in the building of modern transportation systems. This situation has been changing in recent years, and now people want more ways to get around their communities and elsewhere via bicycle. And they want to be able to make these bicycling trips in a safe and enjoyable manner.

From Bikesafe (www.bicycling.org/bikesafe)

ON THE NEED FOR A BICYCLE FRIENDLY GREENVILLE

As described below, there are many reasons why Greenville should be a bicycle friendly town. The paramount reason is keeping bicyclists safe from injury. Other important reasons include the need to provide the citizens of a growing Greenville the means to exercise and enjoy their town and the additional need to have the type of assets that help retain and recruit diverse businesses and organizations and their employees. The good news is that there is a solid foundation on which to make Greenville a model bicycle friendly community.

Too Many Injuries

Greenville and the greater Greenville community have been touched with tragic bicycle crashes in recent years. The stories are all too familiar. Larissa Molles was a 22-year-old ECU graduate student when she died in September 2004 due to injuries sustained when the bicycle she was riding was struck by a motor vehicle. Jitka Virag is a research assistant professor at ECU's Brody School of Medicine. Dr. Virag was struck by a motorist in October 2007 while riding her bike home from work; she remains paralyzed and recovering from other injuries.

Both Ms. Molles and Dr. Virag were experienced cyclists who followed the rules of the road and took safety precautions. These stories are not unique and are only two of the many that reflect bicycle-related injuries and deaths in our community.

Injuries from bicycle crashes are common in North Carolina. In a typical year in our state, 20 bicyclists will die and 620 will receive serious injuries. In Pitt County, six bicyclists have died from injuries in a bicycle crash in the past 10 years and 10-20 bicyclists are seriously injured on average annually.

Safety A Priority

The Greenville City Council has recognized the paramount importance of safety. At its January 26, 2008, planning retreat, the Greenville City Council put "safe community" on its priority list. A safe community encompasses many realms, one of which is transportation.

People in Greenville deserve to feel and be safe no matter the mode of their transportation including bicycling and walking.

Greenville Is Growing Great

Greenville is growing significantly in population. The population of Greenville in 1980 was 35,740. Since then it has nearly doubled to a current population of 72,233. A major reason for the growth of Greenville is that it is an educational and medical hub for eastern North Carolina and the state. Approximately 27,000 students attend the greater Greenville area's institutions of higher education, East Carolina University and Pitt Community College.

Greenville's population is not only diverse but well educated. Nearly 40% of Greenville adults have a bachelor's degree (compared to less than 25% for North Carolina as a whole.) Because of the emphasis on education and Greenville's growing role as a medical mecca, many of the people now being recruited and moving to Greenville are exercise enthusiasts. As Greenville continues to grow, it is imperative that planning include significant areas for exercise, including bikeways.

Exercise...Exercise...Exercise

The need to exercise is for more than just fun and recreation. There is an obesity epidemic in North Carolina. Two-thirds of adults in our state are overweight or obese and nearly half of adults fail to get any regular physical activity. The obesity problem in North Carolina includes our children. One third of children in our state are overweight or obese and North Carolina ranks fifth highest in the nation in the number of obese children.

As a solution to the obesity epidemic, experts recommend vigorous physical activity. The recommendation is 30 minutes for adults and 60 minutes for children on most days of the week. People are more likely to exercise if they have user-friendly places to do it.

Less Congestion, Less Pollution

A major advantage to a bicycle friendly community is that it gives people an alternative to a motorized vehicle for transportation. This reduces traffic congestion and air pollution. As gas prices continue to rise, people are more likely to use alternative modes of transportation.

There Is A Good Foundation

A bicycle friendly Greenville builds on many successful previous efforts geared toward bicycle safely: Greenville had one of the first bicycle helmet ordinances in the state; improvements spurred by the Greenville Urban Area Bicycle Master Plan 2002; the annual bicycle safety initiative in Pitt County Schools in which most 4th graders receive bicycle safety training and a free helmet; the East Carolina Velo Club, which has a large and active membership; the annual Criterion bicycle race in uptown Greenville; and initiatives to provide helmets for indigent families.

THE VISION

We have a three-part vision that involves official sanction of Greenville as bicycle friendly, a positive cultural change toward bicycling, and implementation of a bikeway infrastructure that will make Greenville the envy of the state. The picture of the future we want to create is:

1. Greenville will be designated as a "Bicycle Friendly Community" by the League of American Bicyclists; and

2. The culture of Greenville will be one where bicycling and pedestrian activities are fostered and cherished; and

3. As the model community for bicycle transportation in North Carolina, Greenville will have 100 miles of bikeways including marked bicycle lanes on roadways and off-road paved and unpaved paths that are linked and are widely-used for commuting and recreation.

NEXT STEP: A TASK FORCE

Task Force

To achieve the vision described above, a grass roots group concerned with bike safety proposes that the Greenville City Council establish a "Greenville Bicycle Friendly Task Force." Proposed Task Force membership, goals and timeline are outlined below.

Task Force Membership

We propose that representatives of the following groups be appointed to the Task Force: each City Council member and the Mayor, the Brody School of Medicine Women's Faculty Group, the Chancellor's Office of ECU, the Eastern Carolina Injury Prevention Program, the East Carolina Velo Club, Friends of Greenville Greenways, North Carolina Rails-Trails, Pitt County Memorial Hospital, Pitt County Board of Commissioners, the Student Government Association of ECU, and Uptown Greenville.

We propose that representatives of the following organizations be appointed to the Task Force as ex-officio members: Greenville Assistant City Manager, Greenville Department of Public Works, Greenville Department of Recreation and Parks, North Carolina Department of Transportation, Pitt County Planning Department, and Pitt County Schools.

We propose that leadership and staffing of the Task Force be assigned to the Eastern Carolina Injury Prevention Program.

We further propose that all meetings be open and that members of the community be encouraged to participate in the work of the Task Force.

Task Force Goals

We propose the following goals for the Task Force:

- Inventory and assess the current status of bikeways in greater Greenville
- Review and assess the 2002 Greenville Urban Area Bicycle Master Plan for accomplishments and opportunities
- Examine model bicycle friendly communities (such as Davis, California, and Boulder, Colorado) to learn from their experiences
- Develop a plan to obtain designation from the League of American Bicyclists as a Bicycle Friendly Community
- Facilitate application for a NCDOT Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning grant for the City of Greenville
- Explore other external funding opportunities
- Develop a five-year plan for advancing the culture of Greenville to one in which its citizens are proud to support and advocate for bicyclists and pedestrians
- Develop a ten-year plan to achieve the vision of 100 miles of bikeways for greater Greenville

Task Force Timeline

We propose that the Task Force be a time-limited activity with an objective of having all goals achieved within two years of the Task Force being officially established. The Task Force will report to the Greenville City Manager and the Greenville City Council periodically on its accomplishments.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

Title of Item:	Presentation on Intermodal (Bus) Transfer Facility
Explanation:	Presentation by staff and Ken Mayer, Moser Mayer Phoenix Associates, on the intermodal (bus) transfer facility, including review of 2007- 08 planning activities, stakeholder feedback, site selection criteria, consultant's report, and Steering Committee site selection recommendation.
	This agenda item is related to the City Council's 2008-09 adopted Goal 4 Develop Transportation Initiatives, Objective C Improve Public Transit, Action Item #2 Continue to address enhanced coordination and potential merger of local transit systems in conjunction with construction of the Intermodal Transportation Center.
Fiscal Note:	Estimated cost for the entire facility is \$9.2 million, with federal and state governments paying 90% and the City paying a 10% match.
Recommendation:	Receive report on proposed intermodal (bus) transfer facility. Item will be on May 8 agenda for City Council action.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. Click here to download.



Meeting Date: 5/5/2008 Time: 6:00 PM

<u>Title of Item:</u>	 Presentation of the proposed operating budget for 2008-2009 and financial plan for 2009-2010 a. City of Greenville b. Greenville Utilities Commission c. Sheppard Memorial Library d. Greenville-Pitt County Convention and Visitors Authority
Explanation:	As provided in the approved budget schedule, City staff will present the proposed operating budget for fiscal year 2008-2009 and the financial plan for fiscal year 2009-2010 during the May 5, 2008, City Council meeting. Also as provided in the budget schedule, representatives from Greenville Utilities Commission, Sheppard Memorial Library, and the Pitt-Greenville Convention & Visitors Authority will also make presentations at the meeting.
Fiscal Note:	The final amount for each of the budgets will be determined by City Council action on June 12, 2008.
Recommendation:	The City Council receive staff presentations on the proposed operating budget for 2008-2009 and financial plan for 2009-2010.

Viewing Attachments Requires Adobe Acrobat. <u>Click here</u> to download.