

	PAGE NO.	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	TOC-	1
INTRODUCTION	INT-	1
DEPARTMENT SUMMARY		
Total Departmental Summary	DEPT SUM-	1
FUNDING SUMMARY		
Total Available Funds	TOTAL FUNDS SUM-	1
Summary by Fund 2010 - 2011	FY 2013 FUNDING SUM-	2
Summary by Fund 2011 - 2012	FY 2014 FUNDING SUM-	5
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		
Information Technology Project Summary	IT SUM-	1
Data Backup and Recovery System	IT-	1
e-Government Online Technology	IT-	2
City-Wide Network Infrastructure	IT-	3
LAN Telephone	IT-	4
Storage Area Network System (SAN) Routing and Switching Upgrades and Expansions	IT- IT-	5 6
City-Wide Wireless	IT-	7
Video Surveillance	IT-	8
Video Conferencing System	IT-	9
FIRE/RESCUE		
Fire/Rescue Project Summary	FR SUM-	1
Emergency Operations Center (EOC)	FR-	1
Traffic Light for Rollins Drive & Greenville Blvd.	FR-	2
Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Emergency Generator	FR-	3
Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Parking Lot & Driveway Repair	FR-	4
Fire/Rescue Headquarters Office Renovations	FR-	5 4
Fire/Rescue Station No. 7 Emergency Traffic Signal Preemption Program	FR- FR-	6 7
FINANCIAL SERVICES	Г К -	/
Financial Services Project Summary	FS SUM-	1
Enterprise Resource Planning/ Financial Management System	FS-	1

RECREATION/PARKS

PAGE NO.

Recreation Department Project Summary	RP SUM-	1
Eppes Center Improvements	RP-	1
Park Services Maintenance Shop Improvements	RP-	2
Drew Steele Center	RP-	3
South Greenville Center Improvements	RP-	4
Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements	RP-	5
H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements	RP-	6
Dream Park Development	RP-	7
Skid Steer Loader	RP-	8
Highway 43 Park Development	RP-	9
Outdoor Basketball Complex	RP-	10
ADA Access / Parking Control	RP-	11
South Tar River Greenway Parks	RP-	12
Door & Key Standardization	RP-	13
Playground Equipment	RP-	14
Bleacher Replacement	RP-	15
Evans Park Improvements	RP-	16
Open Space Land Acquisition	RP-	17
Guy Smith Stadium & Pool Renovations	RP-	18
Eastside (Hwy 33) Park Development	RP-	19
Town Common	RP-	20
Bucket Truck	RP-	21
Parking Lot Improvements	RP-	22
Greenfield Terrace Improvements	RP-	23
Soccer Complex Improvements	RP-	24
Jaycee Park Improvements	RP-	25
Tennis Court Renovations	RP-	26
Roof Improvements	RP-	27
River Park North Improvements	RP-	28
Off-Leash Dog Area Lighting	RP-	29
New Community Pool	RP-	30
Pine Crest Park Development	RP-	31
Countryside Park Development	RP-	32
West Meadowbrook Park Improvements	RP-	33
Paramore Park Trail Improvements	RP-	34
Peppermint Park Improvements	RP-	35
Natatorium Indoor Pool	RP-	36

PUBLIC WORKS

PAGE NO.

Public Works Department Project Summary	PW SUM-	1
Public Works Yard Fence	PW-	1
Public Works Assembly Room Roof	PW-	2
City Hall First Floor Modifications	PW-	3
South Tar River Greenway, Phase III Pitt to Moye	PW-	4
Skid Steer Loader & Attachments	PW-	5
Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II, Sections 2 & 3	PW-	6
Hooker Road Warehouse Shelving System	PW-	7
Public Works Assembly Room Bathroom Renovations	PW-	8
Fleet Management Software	PW-	9
Traffic Light Software	PW-	10
Fleet Maintenance Building Interior Paint	PW-	11
Work Order Software	PW-	12
Public Works Complex Roof Assessment	PW-	13
Lessie Bass Building Roof	PW-	14
South Tar River Greenway, Phase II East to ETJ Boundary	PW-	15
Parkers Creek Greenway	PW-	16
Uptown City Parking Deck	PW-	17
Frontgate Drive Extension	PW-	18
10th Street Connector Sidewalks	PW-	19
Intergenerational Center School Building Roof	PW-	20
Fuel Station Upgrade	PW-	21
Greenwood Cemetery Fence Renovations	PW-	22
Homestead Memorial Gardens Expansion	PW-	23
Fire/Rescue Stations #3 and #4 Rollup Door Replacement	PW-	24
Fleet Alignment Wheel & Lift	PW-	25
Fleet Tire Rack	PW-	26
Powell Bill Mast Arm Replacement	PW-	27
Powell Bill Street Resurfacing	PW-	28
Powell Bill Sidewalk Construction	PW-	29
Powell Bill Traffic Calming	PW-	30
Powell Bill 9th Street Rehabilitation	PW-	31
Transit Intermodal Transportation Center	PW-	32
Transit Maintenance Lift	PW-	33
Transit Buses	PW-	34
Sanitation Truck Upgrade	PW-	35
Sanitation Vehicle & Equipment Parking Area	PW-	36
Automated Sanitation Refuse Loader	PW-	37
Sanitation Wash Pit	PW-	38
Stormwater Watershed Master Plans	PW-	39
Stormwater Emergency Repairs	PW-	40
Stormwater Stream Bank Stabilization	PW-	41

	PAGE NO.	
PUBLIC WORKS (CONTINUED)		
Stormwater Stream Restoration	PW-	42
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	PW-	43
Stormwater Storm Drainage Maintenance Minor Improvements	PW-	44
Stormwater Storm Drainage Maintenance Major Improvements	PW-	45
Traffic Signal and Street Sign Software	PW-	46
Pavement Management Software / Inventory	PW-	47
Transit Short-Range Plan	PW-	48
Transit Bus Washer	PW-	49
Police & Fire/Rescue Headquarters Expansion	PW-	50
Hop Tyson Road Improvements	PW-	51
Street Lighting Improvements	PW-	52

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development Project Summary	CD SUM-	1
Parking Pay Stations	CD-	1
First Street / Town Common Parking	CD-	2
Wayfinding - Phase II	CD-	3
West Greenville Acquisition	CD-	4
West Fifth Streetscape	CD-	5
Evans Gateway	CD-	6
Dickinson Avenue Parking	CD-	7
Dickinson Avenue Streetscape	CD-	8
Uptown Theatre	CD-	9
West Greenville Small Business Incubator	CD-	10
ACCELA Permitting Software	CD-	11

SHEPPARD MEMORIAL LIBRARY

Library Project Summary	SML SUM-	1
Main Library Public Elevator	SML-	1
Security Cameras @ the Main, East, & Carver Libraries	SML-	2
Carver Library Roof	SML-	3
Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement	SML-	4

CONVENTION & VISITORS AUTHORITY

Convention & Visitors Authority Summary	CVA SUM-	1
Convention & Visitors Authority Office Relocation	CVA-	1
Convention & Visitors Center Expansion	CVA-	2



Introduction

The City of Greenville's Capital Improvement Program is a strategic plan, covering fiscal years 2013 through 2017. This plan will describe the Capital Improvement Program, its process, schedule, and funding sources. The following plan analysis will include commentary on total project requests, total "met" project funding allocations, analysis comparing the plan years, and a brief discussion of how funding is allocated among the departments. The main portion of this plan includes summary schedules of the projects for the next five years and detailed project descriptions. The summary schedules note which projects are funded and how they are funded. Particular attention is given to the first two years, the 2013 Budget and the 2014 Plan, approved on June 14, 2012. This plan will be updated in detail biennially.

The Capital Improvement Program

This program oversees the projects and purchases considered "capital." Projects are considered capital if they cost \$10,000 or more and have a useful life of 10 years or more. Equipment is considered capital if it costs more than \$35,000 and has a useful life of at least five years or more.

Process

The Capital Improvement Program is a continual process that begins with an assessment of community needs presented within departmental requests. All project requests are included in the program in order to identify all current and future capital project needs. Funding decisions are made by the Capital Improvement committee which reviews all requests before meeting with departments. The committee consists of the City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and Director of Financial Services. The committee's recommended plan is submitted to the City Council for inclusion in the biennial budget and annual operating plan. City Council can adjust funding levels during the budget process.



Committee Meets with Departments

Schedule The Capital Improvement Schedule for Fiscal Year (s) 2013 - 2017:

October 21, 2011	CIP requests due back to Financial Services						
November 4 - 9, 2011	CIP Committee meetings with departments						
January 21, 2012	Draft CIP Plan distributed to City Council						
March 5, 2012	Proposed CIP Plan presented to City Council						
June 11, 2012	Public Hearing on Fiscal Year 2013 Budget and 2014 Plan						
June 14, 2012	Adoption of CIP Plan in conjunction with the Fiscal Year 2013 Budget and 2014 Plan						

Strategic Plan

During fiscal year 2012, the City Council had the vision to look beyond the current fiscal year or any two-year term of office and establish a set of strategic goals to guide the City into an improved future. These goals will serve as a tool to plan for the City's long-term needs and institute more efficient, cost-effective ways for City management and staff to achieve desired results. As this strategic plan is implemented, the CIP will become a more effective planning tool. Rather than including every suggested capital project, an objective rating system will be implemented and projects will be evaluated using standardized criteria which will determine a project's suitability for inclusion in the CIP.

Capital Improvement Policy

- 1) The City will prioritize all capital improvements in accordance with an adopted capital improvement program (CIP).
- 2) The City will update and re-adopt a five-year capital improvement program biennially, which details each capital project, the estimated cost, description, and funding source.
- **3)** The City will coordinate development of the capital improvement budget with development of the operating budget. Future operating costs associated with new capital improvements will be projected and included in operating budget forecasts.
- 4) The City will maintain all its assets at a level adequate to protect the City's capital investment and to minimize future maintenance and replacement costs.

Funding

Funding capital improvements is a balancing act. The City must weigh the need to expand and maintain infrastructure and facilities against increasingly limited resources. The City must study the cost of financing when contemplating bond issuances or capital leases or the impact to long-term financial strength when considering the use of fund balance. The City must also be concerned about the impact of associated operating costs to future budgets. Due to the challenge the current economy poses, the City has maximized all available funding resources to meet as many of the needs identified in this CIP as possible. Below is a list of funding sources used in this CIP.

		The Constant Fried is established to ensure (C. 1)				
GENERAL FUND	GF	The General Fund is established to account for the revenues and expenditures in operating the general government functions of a nonproprietary nature. This fund receives property tax revenues, state shared revenues, licenses, permits, and fees.				
POWELL BILL	РВ	State shared revenues allocated specifically for the purpose of maintaining streets and thoroughfares. This fund is funded through the gasoline tax.				
SANITATION FUND	SF	Enterprise fund for the City's sanitation management program. This fund is funded through user fees.				
STORMWATER FUND	SWF	Enterprise fund used to manage the stormwater program. This fund is funded through user fees.				
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND	TF	Enterprise fund used to manage the public transportation system. This fund is funded through user fees (fares) and grant reimbursements.				
VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND	VRF	This fund is used to accumulate funds for the purchase of replacement vehicles. This fund is funded by user departments.				
BONDS B		This category includes funds obtained by borrowing through General Obligation Bonds and Certificates of Participation (COPS) which are used to fund the larger, more costly projects.				
CAPITAL RESERVE	CR	This fund is used to accumulate funds to be used for future capital improvements. This fund is funded through transfers of excess unrestricted fund balance from the General Fund.				
GRANTS / NCDOT / OTHER	G	Funds provided to the City through grants such as North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), the federal government, local donations, and occupancy tax proceeds.				

Plan Analysis

This analysis will compare the current five-year plan, spanning fiscal years 2013 - 2017, to the previous five-year plan, which was for fiscal years 2011 - 2015. Particular emphasis is given to the first two years, since the 2013 Budget and the 2014 Plan which were approved on June 14, 2012.



Total project requests in the 2013 – 2017 (current) Capital Improvement Plan amount to \$130,799,386, which is \$36,699,548, or 22% less than the 2011 – 2015 (previous) five-year plan. Overall, 32% of current plan's requested funding was recommended to be "met", whereas 43% were in the previous plan. The difference in percentage "met" is due to a decrease in available funding, especially Bond, Grant / CVA, Stormwater, and Powell Bill funding sources.



Total project requests decreased \$27,195,989, or 39% during the first two years. The "met" funding for the first two years of the five-year plan decreased \$5,231,197, or 19%. This difference in the "met" amount is due to a decrease in the availability of

all funding sources (except the Vehicle Replacement Fund), especially "grant" and bond monies, to fund those requests. Typically there is an emphasis on funding those projects occurring in the years of the two-year proposed budget and annual operating plan. However, due to current economic conditions and the increase in requests, the amount of "met" projects could not be maintained. Identifying future needs is also essential so that the priorities for the limited City of Greenville resources can be thoughtfully considered and alternative funding sources such as bonds and grants can be thoroughly researched.

The total project requests during the first plan year, 2012 – 2013, decreased \$7,150,512, or 30% with the amount of "met" funding decreasing \$2,786,524, or 23%. In the second plan year, 2013 – 2014, total requested funding decreased \$20,045,477, or 43%, with the amount of "met" funding decreasing \$2,444,673 or 16%. The variance in project requests during the plan years, and compared to the prior plan, is due to a combination of the timing of new requests, especially those that are potentially bond-funded projects, and the readiness of existing projects for significant work. There are several reasons for the fluctuations in "met" funding levels.



The largest decreases in funding in FY 2013 plan year, as a percentage of total funding, compared to FY 2011, the first plan year in the prior plan, were in the Grant, Stormwater Utility, and Bond sources, while the Vehicle Replacement Fund and Public Transportation Fund sources increased. During the second plan year, FY 2014, the largest decrease, as a percentage of total funding, was in the Grant / NCDOT / Other / CVA source of funding. In addition, during the first two years of the prior CIP, the Capital Reserve Fund contributed \$200,000 annually to the CIP, in the current plan, the Capital Reserve does not contribute any funds in the first two years.

The availability of funding from different sources will vary depending on the funding source.

• Separate funds such as Powell Bill, Sanitation, and Stormwater Utility capital project funding are dependent on their accumulated fund balance.

- Bonds are approved for a set amount and can only be replenished by issuing new bonds.
- The Capital Reserve can be replenished after the audit is complete at the end of each fiscal year. If the unassigned fund balance is larger than 14% of the current year's budget, then that excess amount is eligible for transfer to the Capital Reserve. When these funds are transferred, they are usually designated toward an identified current or future project need. These funds are allowed to accumulate until there are sufficient funds to begin the project. Therefore, Capital Reserve use will fluctuate widely as designated projects come on-line.
- Grant / NCDOT / Other / CVA funding will fluctuate with project eligibility. NCDOT funding will fluctuate as reimbursable projects commence. Funding for transportation projects generally includes a combination of federal, state and local funds with the percentage of contribution by each source varying by project. CVA can allocate a portion of estimated occupancy tax receipts towards capital projects as needed.

Public Works project requests are generally large due to the nature of the projects, but also tend to be funded by non-General Fund funding sources, such as Grants, NCDOT, Powell Bill and Stormwater Funds. Recreation and Parks requests reflect the Recreation and Parks Master Plan completed in FY 2009.

CD	Community Development
FS	Financial Services
F/R	Fire / Rescue
IT	Information Technology
PW	Public Works
R & P	Recreation & Parks
SML	Sheppard Memorial Library
VRF	Vehicle Replacement Fund
CVA	Convention & Visitors Authority

There are nine departments/funds with capital improvement projects:



How to Read This Document

- A. Departmental Summary: This summary details all project requests throughout the five-year plan time frame. Project requests are organized by the department primarily responsible for accomplishing them, then by the departmental priority.
- B. Funding Summary: The first summary in this section contains a table and piechart detailing total CIP "Met" funding by fiscal year and by funding source. The next two summaries list the projects funded in FY 2013 and FY 2014 and how they are funded.
- C. Individual Project Requests: This section contains each capital project request submitted for this CIP. Again, these projects are organized by the department primarily responsible for accomplishing them. Project order was determined by the priority the department assigned each project by fiscal year. Many projects encompass more than one fiscal year. To reflect this, a "priority row" was included on the requests during this CIP process. It is highlighted in yellow near the bottom of the form. This allows departments to prioritize projects each fiscal year rather than once for the initial fiscal year of the plan. As a result, projects are organized in priority order for the initial fiscal year follow in that fiscal year's priority order.

In each project request contains: the project title, the department responsible for project completion, a description of the project, justification for the project, any operating budget impact, and the funding source(s) to complete the project request.

This document was compiled by the City of Greenville Financial Services Department. Please direct questions to the Department of Financial Services at (252) 329-4443 or bdemery@greenvillenc.gov.

	BUDGET YEAR		PLAN YEAR			FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS						
	2012-13		201	3-14	2014	l - 15	201	5 - 16	201	6 - 17	TO.	TAL
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET			UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET

	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY												
1	Data Backup & Recovery (GF,UM)	\$ 30,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 80,500	\$ -	\$-	\$ 30,000	\$-	\$ 15,000	\$-	\$ 90,000	\$ 110,500	\$ 220,000
2	e-Government (GF,UM)	33,000	25,000	-	100,000	-	18,000	-	12,000	-	34,000	33,000	189,000
3	City-Wide Network (GF,UM)	70,000	35,000	-	70,000	-	45,000	-	45,000	-	45,000	70,000	240,000
4	LAN Telephone (GF,UM)	48,474	21,526	24,950	1,050	-	54,500	-	54,500	-	26,000	73,424	157,576
5	Storage Area Network (GF,UM)	36,000	143,000	40,000	36,000	-	143,000	-	143,000	-	40,000	76,000	505,000
6	Routing & Switching Upgrades (GF,UM)	-	50,000	38,000	37,000	-	50,000	-	180,000	-	180,000	38,000	497,000
7	City-Wide Wireless (GF,UM)	-	80,000	40,000	40,000	-	80,000	-	50,000	-	50,000	40,000	300,000
8	Video Surveillance (GF,UM)	150,000	51,960	150,000	11,176	-	61,176	-	61,176	-	61,176	300,000	246,664
9	Video Conferencing (UM)	-	140,000	-	50,000	-	15,000	-	15,000	-	50,000	-	270,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 367,474	\$ 631,486	\$ 373,450	\$ 345,226	\$-	\$ 496,676	\$-	\$ 575,676	\$-	\$ 576,176	\$ 740,924	\$ 2,625,240
					•	•	÷	-		•			
	FIRE/RESCUE	7											
2	New Traffic Light (GF)	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$-
3	F/R St. No. 3 Emergency Generator (GF)	-	-	70,000	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	70,000	-
4	F/R Station No. 3 Parking Lot (GF,UM)	-	-	100,000	80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	80,000
5	F/R Headquarters Office Ren. (UM)	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000
6	F/R Station No. 7 (UM)	-	140,000	-	2,250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,390,000
7	Emer. Traffic Signal Preemption (UM)	-	180,000	-	220,000	-	120,000	-	220,000	-	140,000	-	880,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 100,000	\$ 370,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 2,550,000	\$-	\$ 120,000	\$-	\$ 220,000	\$-	\$ 140,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 3,400,000
											· · ·		
	FINANCIAL SERVICES	1											
1	ERP/ Fin. Mgmt System (GF, B)	\$ 2,440,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,440,000	\$-
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,440,000		\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,440,000	
					•			•	Į. ·				<u> </u>
	RECREATION & PARKS	1											
1	Eppes Center Improvements (UM)	\$-	\$ 44,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 44,800
2	Park Services Maint. Shop Imp. (GF,UM)	147,500	-	-		-	398,500	-	85,000	-	-	147,500	1,003,500
3	Drew Steele Center (UM)	-	138,000	-		-	-	-	1,650,000	-	_	•	2,008,000
4	So. Greenville Rec. Center Imp. (UM)	-	718,000	-		-	1,750,000	-	350,000	-	187,000	-	3,170,000
5	Aquatics & Fitness Center Imp. (GF,UM)	12,000	91,500	-		-	78.500	-	46,500	-	49.000	12,000	700,500
6	H. Boyd Lee Center Imp. (UM)	,	235,000	-	45,750	-	318,000	-	350,000	-	425,000	,	1,373,750
7	Dream Park Development (G-UM)	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250,000
8	Skid Steer Loader (UM)	-	48,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,000
9	Highway 43 Park Development (UM)	-	82,000	-	94,000	-	75,000	-	-	-	-	-	251,000
10	Outdoor Basketball Complex (UM)	-	650,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	650,000
11	ADA Access / Parking Control (GE LIM)	38 750	47 250	38 750	37 250	_	150 000	-	150 000	-	150 000	77 500	534 500

11 ADA Access / Parking Control (GF,UM) 38,750 47,250 38,750 37,250 150,000 150,000 150,000 77,500 534,500 - 1 -- South Tar River Greenway Parks (UM)
 Door & Key Standardization (GF)
 Playground Equipment (UM) 278,000 278,000 ----------80,000 -80,000 -• ----• -135,000 100,000 135,000 370,000 -. ----. -15 Bleacher Replacement (GF) 16 Evans Park Improvements (GF,UM) -49,610 ---49,610 -----325,000 88,000 413,000 -----• ---

		BUD	GET	PL	AN				PLAN YEARS				
		YE	AR	YE	AR			FUTURE CIP	PLAN TEARS				
		201	2-13	201	3-14	2014	l - 15	201	5 - 16	201	6 - 17	TO.	TAL
D	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET

RECREATION & PARKS (CONTINUED)

	REGREATION & FARING (CONTINUED)															
17	Open Space Land Acquisition (UM)	\$-	\$	100,000	\$	•	\$ ´	100,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$ 500,000
18	Guy Smith Stadium & Pool Ren. (UM)		-	101,000		-	3	325,000	-	-			-	-	-	426,000
19	Eastside (Hwy 33) Park Dev. (UM)		-	348,000		-	4	475,000	-	3,625,000		356,000	-	4,800,000	-	9,604,000
20	Town Common (UM)		-	85,000		-	1,5	555,000	-	2,500,000	•	2,500,000	-	2,500,000	-	9,140,000
21	Bucket Truck (UM)		-	55,000		-		-	-	-			-	-	-	55,000
22	Parking Lot Improvements (UM)		-	97,450		-		75,000	-	85,000	•	-	-	-	-	257,450
23	Greenfield Terrace Improvements (UM)		-	188,000		-	2	285,000	-	428,000		818,000	-	591,000	-	2,310,000
24	Soccer Complex Improvements (UM)		•	48,000		-		157,500	-	455,000		-	-	-	-	660,500
25	Jaycee Park Improvements (UM)		-	12,000		-		65,000	-	-			-	-	-	77,000
26	Tennis Court Renovations (UM)		•	-		-		78,000	-	-		-	-	-	-	78,000
27	Roof Improvements (UM)		-	-		-		100,000	-	100,000			-	-	-	200,000
28	River Park North Improvements (UM)		•	-		-		115,000	-	217,000		45,000	-	750,000	-	1,127,000
29	Off-Leash Dog Area Lighting (UM)		-	-		-		44,000	-	-			-	-	-	44,000
30	New Community Pool (UM)		•	-		-		85,000	-	1,300,000		-	-	-	-	1,385,000
31	Pine Crest Park Development (UM)		-	-		-		-	-	258,000			-	-	-	258,000
32	Countryside Park Development (UM)		-	-		-		-	-	258,000		-	-	-	-	258,000
33	West Meadowbrook Park Imp. (UM)		-	-		-		-	-	375,000		325,000	-	-	-	700,000
34	Paramore Park Trail Improvements (UM)		•	-		-		-	-	56,900		-	-	-	-	56,900
35	Peppermint Park Improvements (UM)		•	-		-		-	-	45,000		-	-	-	-	45,000
36	Natatorium Indoor Pool (UM)		•	-		-		-	-	375,000		-	-	10,000,000	-	10,375,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 247,860	\$3	,752,000	\$ 118	8,750	\$ 5,0	076,500	\$ -	\$ 13,407,900	\$ -	\$ 6,863,500	\$-	\$ 19,552,000	\$ 366,610	\$ 48,651,900

	PUBLIC WORKS													
1	Public Works Yard Fence (GF)	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$	70,000	\$ -
2	PW Assembly Room Roof (GF)	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		50,000	-
3	City Hall First Floor Modifications (UM)	-	35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	35,000
5	Skid Steer Loader & Attachments (UM)	-	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	60,000
7	Hooker Road Warehouse Shelving (GF)	-	-	100,000									100,000	-
8	PW Assembly Room Bathroom (GF)	-	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		50,000	-
9	Fleet Management Software (VRF)	175,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		175,000	-
10	Traffic Light Software (PB,G)	-	-	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		150,000	-
11	Fleet Maint. Bldg Interior Paint (GF)	-	-	65,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		65,000	-
12	Work Order Software (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	175,000	-	-	-	-		-	175,000
13	PW Complex Roof Assessment (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	100,000	-	-		-	200,000
14	Lessie Bass Building Roof (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	-	-	-		-	100,000
15	South Tar River Greenway East (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	-	-		-	50,000
16	Parkers Creek Greenway (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	1,300,000	-	-	-	-		-	1,300,000
	Uptown City Parking Deck (CR, UM)	-	-	•	-	1,779,565	6,479,435	-	-	-	-	1	,779,565	6,479,435
18	Frontgate Drive Extension (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	1,675,000	-	-	-	-		-	1,675,000
19	10th St. Connector Sidewalks (PB,UM)	-	-	-	-	200,000	250,000	-	-	-	-		200,000	250,000
20	IGC School Building Roof (UM)	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	300,000	-	-		-	300,000

22 G 23 H 24 F/ 25 FI	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE PUBLIC WORKS (CONTINUED) uel Station Upgrade (UM) Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM) lomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) //R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) leet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM) leet Tire Rack (UM)	2012 MET \$ - - -	2-13 UNMET \$ - -	201 MET \$ -	3-14 UNMET \$ -	MET	1 - 15 UNMET	201 MET	5 - 16 UNMET	201 MET	6 - 17 UNMET	TOT MET	AL UNMET
22 G 23 H 24 F/ 25 FI	PUBLIC WORKS (CONTINUED) uel Station Upgrade (UM) Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM) Iomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) /R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) Ieet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	\$-	-	•			UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
22 G 23 H 24 F/ 25 FI	uel Station Upgrade (UM) Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM) Iomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) /R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) Ieet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	Ŧ	\$ - -	\$ -	\$ -	•						•	
22 G 23 H 24 F/ 25 FI	uel Station Upgrade (UM) Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM) Iomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) /R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) Ieet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	Ŧ	\$ - - -	\$	\$-	۴							
22 G 23 H 24 F/ 25 FI	Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM) Iomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) /R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) Ieet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	Ŧ	\$ - -	\$-	\$-	¢							
23 He 24 F/ 25 Fl	lomestead Memorial Gardens (UM) /R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) leet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	-	-			\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 200,000	\$-	\$ 200,000
24 F/ 25 Fl	/R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM) leet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	•	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	90,000	-	90,000
25 FI	leet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,000	-	40,000
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	100,000
26 FI	leet Tire Rack (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,000	-	90,000
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,000	-	60,000
27 Po	owell Bill Mast Arm Replacement (PB)	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-
	owell Bill Street Resurfacing (GF,PB)	500,000	-	425,000	-	265,000	-	-	-	-	-	1,190,000	-
29 Po	owell Bill Sidewalk Construction (PB)	150,000	-	-	-	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	300,000	-
30 Po	owell Bill Traffic Calming (PB)	-	-	15,000	-	-	-	15,000	-	-	-	30,000	-
31 Po	owell Bill 9th Street Rehabilitation (PB)	-	-	115,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	115,000	-
	ransit Intermodal Trans. Center (G, UM)	-	-	-	-	8,110,246	363,057	-	-	-	-	8,110,246	363,057
	ransit Maintenance Lift (G,UM)	-	-	220,500	24,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	220,500	24,500
	ransit Buses (G, UM)	-	-	855,000	95,000	-	-	1,080,000	120,000	-	-	1,935,000	215,000
	AN Truck Upgrade (SF)	90,000	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	90,000	
	AN Vehicle & Eq. Parking Area (SF)	180.000	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	180,000	
	AN Auto. Refuse Loader (SF)	•	-	175,000	-	-	-	•	_	-	-	175,000	_
	AN Wash Pit (UM)	-	-		-	-	300,000	-	-	-	-		300,000
	W Watershed Master Plans (SW,UM)	423.000	_	345,000	_	-	351,000		454,000		414,000	768,000	1,219,000
	W Emergency Repairs (SW,UM)	76,864	-	81,458	_	-	100,000	•	100,000		100,000	158.322	300,000
	W Stream Bank Stabilization (SW,UM)	50,000	85,000	50,000	85,000	-	135,000		135,000	•	135,000	100,000	575,000
	W Stream Restoration (SW,UM)	50,000	76,000	50,000	76,000		126,000		126,000		126,000	100,000	530,000
	W Pollution Prevention Plan (SW,UM)			250,000	150,000	-	- 120,000	400.000	120,000		120,000	650,000	150,000
	W Storm Drainage Minor Imp. (SW,UM)		-	410,000	-	-	_	400,000	35,000			410,000	35,000
	W Storm Drainage Major Imp. (UM)			410,000			1,450,000		2,550,000	-		410,000	4,000,000
	raffic Signal & S. Sign Software (UM)		120,000	_					2,000,000				120,000
	avement Mgmt. Software/Inv. (UM)		120,000	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	120,000
	ransit Short-Range Plan (TF,G)	- 100,000	130,000	-	-	-	-	•	-	•		- 100,000	150,000
	ransit Short-Range Plan (17,6)	90.000	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	90.000	
		90,000	-	-	3,690,000	-	-	•	-	•	-	,	3,690,000
	Police & Fire/Rescue HQ Exp. (UM)	-	-	-		-	-	•	-	•	-	•	
	lop Tyson Road Improvements (UM)	-	-	-	300,000	-	325,000	•	-	•	-	-	625,000
52 St	treet Lighting Improvements (GF)	50,000	÷ 500.000	50,000		-	-	¢ 4 405 000	÷ 2.020.020	-	-	100,000 \$ 17,561,633	-
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,154,864	\$ 526,000	\$ 3,406,958	\$ 4,420,500	\$ 10,504,811	\$ 13.2/9.492	\$ 1.495.000	\$ 3.920.000	s -	\$ 1.355.000	\$ 17.561.633	\$ 23 500 992

	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS				
	2012-13	2013-14	2014 - 15	2015 - 16	2016 -	17	TO	FAL
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET UNMET	MET UNMET	MET UNMET	MET UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET

	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT																
1	Parking Pay Stations (B)	\$ 50,00)0 \$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	9	ş -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$	50,000	\$-
2	First Street / Town Common Parking (B)	100,00	00	-	-	-	-		•	-	-	-	-	-		100,000	-
	Wayfinding - Phase II (UM)		-	150,000	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	•	-		-	150,000
4	West Greenville Acquisition (UM)		-	500,000	-	-	500,000		•	500,000	-	-	-	-		-	1,500,000
5	West Fifth Streetscape (UM)		-	1,200,000	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	•	-		-	1,200,000
	Evans Gateway (B)		-	-	1,500,000)	-		•	-	-	-	-	-	1,	500,000	-
7	Dickinson Avenue Parking (UM)		-	-	-	-	275,000		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	275,000
8	Dickinson Avenue Streetscape (UM)		-	-	-	-	150,000		-	1,750,000	-	-	-	-		-	1,900,000
9	Uptown Theatre (UM)		-	-	-	-	-		-	2,000,000	-	-	•	-		-	2,000,000
10	Small Business Incubator (G, UM)		-	-	-	-	-		-	3,000,000	-	-	-	-		-	3,000,000
11	ACCELA Permitting Software (UM)		-	-	•	-	-		-	225,000	-	-	•	-		-	225,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 150,00)0 \$	1,850,000	\$ 1,500,000) \$	925,000	\$-	44	5 7,475,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$ 1,	650,000	\$ 10,250,000

	LIBRARY														
1	Main Library Public Elevator (GF,UM)	\$ 21,999	\$ 4,667	\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$; -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$	-	\$ 21,999	\$ 4,667
2	Security Cameras (GF)	28,000	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		•	-	28,000	-
3	Carver Library Roof (GF)	-	-	62,800		-	-	-	-	-		•	-	62,800	-
4	SML South Basement (UM)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	750,000		•	-	-	750,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 49,999	\$ 4,667	\$ 62,800	\$-		\$-	\$	\$ •	\$ 750,000	\$-	\$	•	\$ 112,799	\$ 754,667

CONVENTION & VISITORS AUTHORITY

1	CVA Office Relocation (G)	\$ 509,250	\$ -	\$ 1,002,750	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,512,000	\$ -
2	Convention Center Expansion (G)	60,000	-	3,940,000	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	4,000,000	-
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 569,250	\$ -	\$ 4,942,750	\$ •	\$ -		\$ •	\$ •	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$ 5,512,000	\$ -

VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF)

Purchases (VRF)	\$ 3,321,621	\$-	\$ 2,741,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 12,962,621	\$-
SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,321,621	\$-	\$ 2,741,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 2,300,000	\$-	\$ 12,962,621	\$-

	PROJECTS FULLY FUNDED BY JULY 1												
1	Emergency Operations Center (F/R #1)	\$ •	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000 \$	-						
2	Green Mill Run Greenway (PW #4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	518,000	-
3	So. Tar River -Pitt to Moye (PW #6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	1,184,511	-
	SUBTOTAL	\$ -	\$ •	\$ •	\$ -	\$ •	\$ •	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ 2,702,511 \$	-

TOTAL MET / UNMET NEEDS \$ 9,401,068 \$ 7,134,153 \$ 13,315,708 \$ 13,317,226 \$ 12,804,811 \$ 34,779,068 \$ 3,795,000 \$ 12,329,176 \$ 2,300,000 \$ 21,623,176 \$ 41,616,587 \$ 89,182,799

FUNDING SOURCE LEGEND

В-	BONDS	NC
CPF -	CAPITAL PROJECT FUND	PB ·
CR -	CAPITAL RESERVE	SF
G-	GRANTS	SWI
GF -	GENERAL FUND	TF
LP-	LEASE PURCHASE	X RF

- CDOT NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
- PB POWELL BILL
- F SANITATION FUND
- SWF STORMWATER FUND
- PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND
 - VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND
- dept sum 4^{RF -}

	T	OTAL AVAILABLE	FUNDS SUMMARY	(
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	F	UTURE CIP YEARS	3	
FUNDING SOURCES	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	TOTAL
GENERAL FUND	1,325,333	1,190,000	-	-	-	2,515,333
POWELL BILL	450,000	430,000	615,000	215,000	-	1,710,000
CAPITAL RESERVE	-	-	1,779,565	-	-	1,779,565
BONDS	2,500,000	1,500,000	-	-	-	4,000,000
GRANTS	659,250	6,093,250	8,110,246	1,080,000	-	15,942,746
SANITATION FUND	270,000	175,000	-	-	-	445,000
STORMWATER FUND	599,864	1,186,458	-	200,000	-	1,986,322
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND	3,496,621	2,741,000	2,300,000	2,300,000	2,300,000	13,137,621

13,315,708

12,804,811

3,795,000

2,300,000

41,616,587

9,401,068

TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDS



							BUDGET Y 2012 - 20							
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G	SF	SWU		TF	VF	RF	TOTAL
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY														
Data Backup & Recovery	\$	85,000	\$ 30,000	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 115,00
e-Government	Ψ	25,000	33,000	Ψ - -	ψ - -	Ψ - -	Ψ - -	Ψ -	Ψ		-	Ψ	-	58,0
City-Wide Network		35,000	70,000	-	· .	-	· ·	· ·	-		-			105,0
LAN Telephone		21,526	48,474		· .	· .	· .	· .	· ·		-			70,0
Storage Area Network		143,000	36,000	-	· .			· ·		-	-			179,0
Routing & Switching Upgrades		50,000		-		-	-	-			-			50,0
City-Wide Wireless		80,000		-		-	-	-			-		-	80,0
Video Surveillance		51,960	150,000	-	· .	-	· ·	· ·	· .		-			201,9
Video Conferencing		140,000	100,000	· .	· .	· .	· ·	· .	· .		-			140,0
SUBTOTAL	\$	631,486	\$ 367,474		\$.	\$ -	\$.	\$ -	\$.	\$	-	\$		\$ 998,9
COBICIAL	¥	001,400	ψ σσι,τιτ	Ψ	Ψ	ĮΨ	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ		Ψ		φ 000,0
FIRE/RESCUE														
New Traffic Light	\$	-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 100,0
F/R Headquarters Office Renovations		50,000		· .	· .	-	-	-	-				-	50,
F/R Station No. 7		140,000		· .	· ·	-	-	-	-				-	140,
Emer. Traffic Signal Preemption		180,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	180,
SUBTOTAL	\$	370,000	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 470.
		,												
FINANCIAL SERVICES														
ERP / Financial Management System	*					1			1					
	\$	-	\$ 90,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,350,000	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,440,
SUBTOTAL	\$	•	\$ 90,000 \$ 90,000	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ 2,350,000		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$	•	\$ \$		
		•	+,	\$ - \$ -							•			
		-	+,	\$ - \$ -							-			
SUBTOTAL		- - 44,800	+,	\$ - \$ -						\$	-		•	\$ 2,440,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS	\$		\$ 90,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	•	\$	•	\$2,440, \$44,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements	\$		\$ 90,000 \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$	•	\$	-	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements	\$	44,800 -	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center	\$	44,800 - 138,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - \$ -	\$	-	\$	- - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - -	\$ - \$ - - -	\$ - \$ - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - -	\$ - \$ - - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$	- - - - -	\$	- - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000	\$ - \$ - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - -	\$ - \$ - -	\$ - - - - -	\$	- - - - - -	\$	-	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 -	\$ - \$ - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - -	\$	\$	- - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - -	\$	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250, 48,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development Outdoor Basketball Complex	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250, 48, 82, 650,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000 47,250	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 444, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250, 48, 82, 650,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development Outdoor Basketball Complex ADA Access / Parking Control South Tar River Greenway Parks	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000 47,250 278,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	\$ \$ 	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250, 48, 82, 650, 86, 278,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development Outdoor Basketball Complex ADA Access / Parking Control	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000 47,250	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - 12,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440, \$ 44, 147, 138, 718, 103, 235, 250, 48, 82, 650, 86, 278,
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development Outdoor Basketball Complex ADA Access / Parking Control South Tar River Greenway Parks	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000 47,250 278,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440,0 \$ 44,5 147,5 138,0 718,0 103,5 235,0 250,0 48,0 82,0 650,0 86,0 278,0 135,0
SUBTOTAL RECREATION/PARKS Eppes Center Improvements Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements Drew Steele Center So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements Dream Park Development Skid Steer Loader Highway 43 Park Development Outdoor Basketball Complex ADA Access / Parking Control South Tar River Greenway Parks Playground Equipment	\$	44,800 - 138,000 718,000 91,500 235,000 250,000 48,000 82,000 650,000 47,250 278,000 135,000	\$ 90,000 \$ - 147,500 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,350,000 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 2,440,0

							BUDGET Y 2012 - 20					
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G	SF	SWU	TF	VRF	TOTAL
						•						
	RECREATION/PARKS (CONTINUED)											
18	Guy Smith Stadium & Pool Renovations	101,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	101,000
19	Eastside (Hwy 33) Park Development	348,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348,000
20	Town Common	85,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,000
21	Bucket Truck	55,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,000
22	Parking Lot Improvements	97,450	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97,450
23	Greenfield Terrace Improvements	188,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	188,000
24	Soccer Complex Improvements	48,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,000
25	Jaycee Park Improvements	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,752,000	\$ 247,860	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 3,999,860
			•			•			•	•		
	PUBLIC WORKS											
1	Public Works Yard Fence	\$-	\$ 70,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 70,000
2	PW Assembly Room Roof	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000
3	City Hall First Floor Modifications	35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35,000
5	Skid Steer Loader & Attachments	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,000
9	Fleet Management Software	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	175,000	175,000
	Powell Bill Mast Arm Replacement	-	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000
28	Powell Bill Street Resurfacing	-	300,000	200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500,000
29	Powell Bill Sidewalk Construction	-	-	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000
35	SAN Truck Upgrade	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,000	-	-	-	90,000
36	SAN Vehicle & Equipment Parking Area	-	-	-	-	-	-	180,000	-	-	-	180,000
39	SW Watershed Master Plans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	423,000	-	-	423,000
40	SW Emergency Repairs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76,864	-	-	76,864
41	SW Stream Bank Stabilization	85,000	-	-	•	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	135,000
42	SW Stream Restoration	76,000	-	-	•	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	126,000
46	Traffic Signal and Street Sign Software	120,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	120,000
47	Pavement Mgmt. Software / Inventory	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	150,000
48	Transit Short-Range Plan	-	-	-	-	-	90,000	-	-	10,000	-	100,000
49	Transit Bus Washer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,000	-	90,000
52	Street Lighting Improvements	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 526,000	\$ 470,000	\$ 450,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 90,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 599,864	\$ 100,000	\$ 175,000	\$ 2,680,864
	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT											
	Parking Pay Stations	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	50,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 50,000
	First Street / Town Common Parking	-	•	-	-	100,000	•	-	•			100,000
	Wayfinding - Phase II	150,000	•	-	-	•	•	-	•			150,000
4	West Greenville Acquisition	500,000	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	•	•	500,000
5	West Fifth Streetscape	1,200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,850,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 150,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,000,000

												-	UDGET YE 2012 - 201							
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	ι	JNMET		GF	P	В	(CR		В		G		SF	SWU	TF		VRF	TOTAL
	LIBRARY																			
1	Main Library Public Elevator	\$	4,667	\$	21,999	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 26,666
2	Security Cameras		-		28,000		-		-		•		-		-	-	-		•	28,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	4,667	\$	49,999	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 54,666
	CONVENTION & VISITORS BUREAU]		1						1				1						
1	CVA Office Relocation	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	509,250	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	•	\$ 509,250
2	Convention Center Expansion		•		-		•		•		•		60,000		-	-	-		-	60,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	569,250	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 569,250
	VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF)	<u> </u>								1.										
1	Purchases	\$	•	\$	-	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	-	\$ -	\$		3,321,621	3,321,621
	SUBTOTAL	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3	3,321,621	\$ 3,321,621
1	PROJECTS FULLY FUNDED BY JULY 1																			
	Emergency Operations Center	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,000,000
	Green Mill Run Greenway		-		-		-		•		•		-		-	-	-		•	518,000
	South Tar River Greenway Pitt to Moye		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	-	-		-	1,184,511
	SUBTOTAL	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	•	\$ 2,702,511
	TOTAL MET/UNMET NEEDS	\$	7,134,153	\$ '	1,325,333	\$ 45	0,000	\$	•	\$ 2	2,500,000	\$	659,250	\$ 2	270,000	\$ 599,864	\$ 100,000	\$ 3	8,496,621	\$ 19,237,732

FUNDING SOURCE LEGEND

В-	BONDS	NCDOT -	NC DEPA

- CR CAPITAL RESERVE
- G GRANTS
- GF GENERAL FUND
- LP LEASE PURCHASE

- DOT NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
- PB POWELL BILL
- SF SANITATION FUND
- SWF STORMWATER FUND
- TF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND
- VRF VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

											GET)13 -2	YEAR 014								
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		UNMET	GF		PB		CR		В		G		SF		SWU	· ·	VRF		TOTAL
		-																		
1	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY Data Backup & Recovery	\$		\$ 80,50	0	¢	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		s	80,500
	e-Government	à	- 100.000	\$ 60,50	U	\$ <u>-</u>	þ		ð		þ		þ		à		à		à	100.000
	City-Wide Network		70.000										-		-					70,000
4	LAN Telephone		1,050	- 24,95		· · ·		<u> </u>												26,000
	Storage Area Network		36,000	40.00		<u>.</u>					_									76,000
	Routing & Switching Upgrades		30,000	40,00	-						_									75,000
7	City-Wide Wireless		40,000	40,00	-								-		-					80,000
8	Video Surveillance		11,176	40,00																161,176
9	Video Conferencing		50,000	150,00							_									50,000
3	SUBTOTAL	\$	345,226	\$ 373,45	0	s -	\$		\$	-	¢		\$		¢		\$		\$	718,676
	SOBIOTAL	φ	343,220	φ 373, 4 3		ş -	φ	-	Ŷ	-	φ	•	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	ę	110,070
]	FIRE/RESCUE	1																		
2	F/R St. No. 3 Emergency Generator	\$		\$ 70,00	0	s -	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	70,000
	F/R Station No. 3 Parking Lot Repair	φ	80,000	\$ 70,00 100.00		φ - -	Ŷ		Ŷ		φ		φ		φ		φ		Ŷ	180,000
4 6	F/R Station No. 7		2,250,000	100,00	U								-		-					2,250,000
7	Emer. Traffic Signal Preemption		2,230,000										-		-					2,230,000
'	SUBTOTAL	\$	2,550,000				\$	-	ŝ	-	¢		\$		¢		\$	-	\$	2,720,000
	SOBIOTAL	ą	2,550,000	φ 170,00	U	ş -	φ	-	ф.	-	φ	•	Ą	•	ą	•	ð	-	à	2,720,000
1	RECREATION/PARKS																			
2	Park Services Maint. Shop Improvements	\$	520.000	\$ -		s -	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	520.000
	Drew Steele Center	Ψ	220,000	φ - -		φ - -	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	220,000
	So. Greenville Rec. Center Improvements		165,000	-				-				-								165,000
	Aquatics & Fitness Center Improvements		435,000					-				-								435,000
	H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements		45,750	-								-								45,750
9	Highway 43 Park Development		94,000									-								94,000
	ADA Access / Parking Control		37,250	38,75	0							-								76,000
	Door & Key Standardization		- 57,250	80,00				-				-								80,000
	Playground Equipment		100,000								_									100,000
	Evans Park Improvements		-								_									-
	Open Space Land Acquisition		100.000		-						_									100.000
	Guy Smith Stadium & Pool Renovations		325,000								_									325,000
	Eastside (Hwy 33) Park Development		475,000		_						_									475,000
	Town Common		1,555,000								_									1,555,000
	Parking Lot Improvements		75,000					-				-								75,000
	Greenfield Terrace Improvements		285,000								_									285,000
	Soccer Complex Improvements		157,500																	157,500
	Jaycee Park Improvements		65,000								_									65,000
	Tennis Court Renovations	-	78,000				-				_				-				-	78,000
	Roof Improvements	-	100,000			· · ·	-				_				-				-	100,000
	River Park North Improvements		115,000		+					<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			-			115,000
	Off-Leash Dog Area Lighting		44,000						<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>			+					44,000
	New Community Pool		44,000								_		-		+		+			44,000
30	SUBTOTAL	\$	5,076,500	- \$ 118,75		- s -	¢	· ·	¢	<u> </u>	¢		¢	<u> </u>	¢	<u> </u>	¢		¢	5,195,250
	JUDIVIAL	Ψ	3,070,000	ψ 110,/0	0	φ -	Ŷ		Ŷ	•	φ		φ	-	φ		Ψ		φ	3,193,230

											GET YEAR 3 -2014					
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		UNMET		GF	Р	В	CR		В	G	SF	SWU	VRF		TOTAL
	PUBLIC WORKS															
7	Hooker Road Warehouse Shelving	\$		\$	100,000	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	100,000
8	PW Assembly Room Bathroom Renovations		-		50,000		-	-		-	-	-	•	-		50,000
10	Traffic Light Software		-		•		75,000	-		-	75,000	-	•	-		150,000
11	Fleet Maintenance Building Interior Paint		-		65,000		-	-		-	-	-	•	-		65,000
	Powell Bill Street Resurfacing		-		200,000		225,000	-		-	-	-	•	-		425,000
30	Powell Bill Traffic Calming		-		•		15,000	-		-	-	-	-	-		15,000
31	Powell Bill 9th Street Rehabilitation		-		•		115,000	-		-	-	-	•	-		115,000
33	Transit Maintenance Lift		24,500				-	-		-	220,500	-	-	-		245,000
34	Transit Buses		95,000		-		-	-			855,000	-		-		950,000
35	Sanitation Automated Refuse Loader	-	-				-	-		-	-	175,000	-	-		175,000
39	SW Watershed Master Plans	-	-				-	-		-	-		345,000) -		345,000
40	SW Emergency Repairs		-		-		-	-			-		81,458			81,458
	SW Stream Bank Stabilization		85.000							-	-		50,000			135,000
	SW Stream Restoration		76,000					-		-	-	-	50,000			126,000
	SW Pollution Prevention Plan		150,000					-		-	-	-	250,000			400,000
	SW Storm Drainage Minor Improvements		-				-	-		-	-	-	410,000			410,000
	Police & Fire/Rescue HQ Expansion		3,690,000				-	-		-		-	-			3,690,000
	Hop Tyson Road Improvements		300,000				-	-						-		300,000
	Street Lighting Improvements				50,000			-								50,000
52	SUBTOTAL	\$	4,420,500	¢	465,000	¢	430,000	- \$-	¢		\$ 1,150,500					7,827,458
		Ψ	4,420,300	Ψ	403,000	Ŷ	430,000	- ұ	Ψ	-	φ 1,150,500	φ 175,000	φ 1,100,430	- Ψ	Ψ	1,021,430
	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT															
4	West Greenville Acquisition	\$	500.000	¢		S	-	\$-	\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	500,000
	Evans Gateway	Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ		φ - -	Ψ	1,500,000	φ - -	ψ - -	φ - -	ψ - -		1,500,000
	Dickinson Avenue Parking		275,000		-					1,500,000						275,000
	Dickinson Avenue Streetscape		150,000					-								150,000
0		•		¢						-						
	SUBTOTAL	\$	925,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$	1,500,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	2,425,000
•	LIBRARY	•		•	00.000	•		*			•	•	•	•		
3	Carver Library Roof	\$	•	\$	62,800			\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -		62,800
	SUBTOTAL	\$	•	\$	62,800	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	62,800
	CONVENTION & VISITORS BUREAU															
1	CVA Office Relocation	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$ 1,002,750	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	1,002,750
2	Convention Center Expansion		-				-	-		-	3,940,000	•				3,940,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	-	\$		\$		s -	\$	-	\$ 4,942,750	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$	4,942,750
		·		·										1.		
	VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF)															
	Purchases	\$	-	\$		\$	-	s -	\$		\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 2,741,00	0 \$	2,741,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	-	\$		Ŝ		\$ -	Ŝ	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,741,00		2,741,000
		Ŷ		Ÿ		Ÿ		Ÿ			¥	•	L.A.	v 2,141,00		2,141,000
	TOTAL MET/UNMET NEEDS	\$	13,317,226	\$	1,190,000	\$	430,000	\$-	\$	1,500,000	\$ 6,093,250	\$ 175,000	\$ 1,186,458	3 \$ 2,741,00	00 \$	26,632,934
	FUNDING SOURCE LEGEND															
				в-		BONDS					NCDOT -	NC DEPARTME	NT OF TRANSPORT	ATION		
				CR-			L RESERV	=			PB -	POWELL BILL	••••			
				G-		GRANTS					SF	SANITATION FL	JND			
				GF -			AL FUND				SWF -	STORMWATER				
								_			5WI -					

FY 2014 FUNDING SUM - 6

TF -

VRF -

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND

VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

LEASE PURCHASE

LP -

		BUD YE	-			PL/ YE/					FUT	URE CIP I	PL/	AN YEARS						
		2012	2-13			2013	-14	2014	l - 1	5		2015	i - 1	16	201	6 - 1	17	T01	AL	
[DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNME	T	ME	T	UNMET	MET		UNMET		MET		UNMET	MET		UNMET	MET	U	NMET
•																				
	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY																			
1	Data Backup & Recovery (GF,UM)	\$ 30,000	\$ 85	,000	\$ 80	0,500	\$-	\$ •	\$	30,000	\$	-	\$	15,000	\$ •	\$	90,000	\$ 110,500	\$	220,000
2	e-Government (GF,UM)	33,000	25	,000		-	100,000	-		18,000		-		12,000	-		34,000	33,000		189,000
3	City-Wide Network (GF,UM)	70,000	35	,000		-	70,000	-		45,000		-		45,000	-		45,000	70,000		240,000
4	LAN Telephone (GF,UM)	48,474	21	,526	24	4,950	1,050	-		54,500		-		54,500	-		26,000	73,424		157,576
5	Storage Area Network (GF,UM)	36,000	143	,000,	4(0,000	36,000	-		143,000		-		143,000	-		40,000	76,000		505,000
6	Routing & Switching Upgrades (GF,UM)	-	50	,000	31	8,000	37,000	-		50,000		-		180,000	-		180,000	38,000		497,000
7	City-Wide Wireless (GF,UM)	-	80	,000	4(0,000	40,000	-		80,000		-		50,000	-		50,000	40,000		300,000
8	Video Surveillance (GF,UM)	150,000	51	,960	15	0,000	11,176	-		61,176		-		61,176	-		61,176	300,000		246,664
9	Video Conferencing (UM)	-	140	,000		-	50,000	-		15,000		-		15,000	-		50,000	-		270,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 367,474	\$ 631	,486	\$ 373	3,450	\$ 345,226	\$ -	\$	496,676	\$	-	\$	575,676	\$ -	\$	576,176	\$ 740,924	\$ 2	,625,240

PROJECT TITLE:	Data Backup and	d Recovery Syste	m				
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tecl	hnology					
DESCRIPTION:	data center. Curr data is then made additional Storage center chassis an	d increase the capa rently, all data is ba e and replicated off e Appliance is inclu ad 4 server blades select Virtual Desk n data center.	acked up each nig site to a seconda uded in years 12-1 should be added t	ht and stored in a iry storage array in 3 to accommodate o the secondary d	tape library at City case the primary e predicted growth ata center so that	Hall. A cop site is destr . A server b the Virtual S	by of this byed. An blade Server
	FY 12-13	Backup system fo Upgrade for prima Blade Center				\$ \$ \$	25,000 30,000 60,000
	FY 13-14	Backup Equipmer Upgrade for secon	ndary site replicate			\$ \$	15,000 65,500
	FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	Storage Appliance Backup Equipmer Storage Appliance	nt Upgrades/Licen	sing		\$ \$ \$	30,000 15,000 90,000
	We will need an i	d to keep pace wit mmediate upgrade	starting in 2012 v	vith additional stor	age needed to rep	licate to the	remote
	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover	pacity of the replica f the applications p nis data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia ourchased through S/400 environmer	ance. Additional ba	ess Application Ne	be required eds Assess ore Backup	d with the sment) and 5,750
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover	pacity of the replica f the applications p nis data from the A y capacities.	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne	be required eds Assess ore Backup	d with the ment) and
	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ - \$ 16,500	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5,750 4,000 1,500 750
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ - \$ 16,500 \$ -	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total O</u> <u>Total O</u>	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5,750 4,000 1,500 4,500 -
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ - \$ 16,500 \$ -	pacity of the replica f the applications p nis data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total O</u> <u>Total O</u>	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ - \$ 16,500 \$ -	pacity of the replica f the applications p nis data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine twill greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u>	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE:	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> TION SCHEDULE	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE: BUDGET YEAR	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	APPROPRIA	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> <u>TION SCHEDULE</u> URE CIP PLAN YE	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase ENDED TO DATE:	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE: BUDGET YEAR 1	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan PLAN YEAR	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce te te te te te te te te te te te te te	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> URE CIP PLAN YI	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase ENDED TO DATE: EARS	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I FUNDING SOURCE GENERAL FUND UNMET	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE: BUDGET YEAR 1 2012-2013	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ated backup applia burchased through S/400 environmer ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce ce	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> TION SCHEDULE URE CIP PLAN YE 2 2015-2016	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase ENDED TO DATE: EARS 3 2016-2017	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I FUNDING SOURCE GENERAL FUND UNMET FUND 37 SW	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE: BUDGET YEAR 1 2012-2013 \$ 30,000	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	APPROPRIA APPROPRIA FUT 2014-2015 \$ -	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> TION SCHEDULE URE CIP PLAN YE 2015-2016 \$ -	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase ENDED TO DATE: EARS 3 2016-2017 \$ -	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889 QUENT
BUDGET IMPACT: PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I FUNDING SOURCE GENERAL FUND UNMET	expanding the ca implementation o project. Moving th Disaster Recover FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17 \$ 16,500 \$ - DATE: BUDGET YEAR 1 2012-2013 \$ 30,000	pacity of the replications p is data from the A y capacities. Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	APPROPRIA APPROPRIA FUT 2014-2015 \$ -	ance. Additional ba the BANA (Busine it will greatly increa <u>Total Op</u> <u>Total Op</u> <u>FUNDS EXPE</u> TION SCHEDULE URE CIP PLAN YE 2015-2016 \$ -	ackup capacity will ess Application Ne ase the need for m perating Savings perating Increase ENDED TO DATE: EARS 3 2016-2017 \$ -	be required eds Assess ore Backup \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	d with the ment) o and 5,750 4,000 1,500 750 4,500 - 16,500 130,889

Financial System Upgrade and Integ Selectron Interactive Voice Response Hardware and Sof FY-14-15 Public Kiosk (Phase 1) FY 15-16 Public Kiosk (Phase 2) FY 16-17 Business Intelligence Software JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is great Information Technology Department believes that the n current E-Government system and components will be environment to meet these needs. E-Government offer better and effective access to electronic application ser Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and ott among may governmental agencies. Adding various typ our citizens informed. OPERATING FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance BUDGET IMPACT: FY 13-14 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 PERSONNEL S - OPERATING S 20,300 CAPITAL OUTLAY - S PERSONNEL S - FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: S 298,400 PEROPRIATI BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTU PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015												
public to interact with government in an open and efficiency access to a growing amount of information. FY 12-13 Digital Subscription Management Sol Enterprise Content Management Sol Public Website Software Enhanceme FY 13-14 Meeting Agenda Software and Distrit Financial System Upgrade and Integ Selectron Interactive Voice Response Hardware and Sol FY-14-15 FY 15-16 Public Kiosk (Phase 1) FY 15-17 Business Intelligence Software JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is great information Technology Department believes that the n current E-Government system and components will be environment to meet these needs. E-Government offer better and effective access to electronic application ser Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and oth among may governmental agencies. Adding various ty our citizens informed. OPERATING FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance FY 14-15 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance												
Enterprise Content Management Sof Public Website Software Enhanceme FY 13-14 Meeting Agenda Software and Distrit Financial System Upgrade and Integ Selectron Interactive Voice Response Hardware and Sof FY-14-15 JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is gree Information Technology Department believes that the n current E-Government system and components will be environment to meet these needs. E-Government offer better and effective access to electronic applications ser Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and otf among may governmental agencies. Adding various ty our citizens informed. OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u> FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance FY 13-14 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Year	cient manner. E-G	overnment has be	come an important									
FY 13-14 Meeting Agenda Software and Distrik Financial System Upgrade and Integ Selectron Interactive Voice Responss Hardware and Sof FY-14-15 Public Kiosk (Phase 1) FY 15-16 Public Kiosk (Phase 2) FY 16-17 Business Intelligence Software JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is great Information Technology Department believes that the n current E-Government system and components will be environment to meet these needs. E-Government offer better and effective access to electronic application sere Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and oth among may governmental agencies. Adding various typ our citizens informed. OPERATING FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance BUDGET IMPACT: FY 13-14 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 PERSONNEL \$ - S 20,300 - FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ 298,400 PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2014 PROPRIATI \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ PRIORITY 2 4 7 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 <th>oftware</th> <th>es</th> <th>\$ 25,000 \$ 18,000 \$ 15,000</th>	oftware	es	\$ 25,000 \$ 18,000 \$ 15,000									
FY-14-15 Public Kiosk (Phase 1) FY 15-16 Public Kiosk (Phase 2) FY 16-17 Business Intelligence Software JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is great Information Technology Department believes that the nourment E-Government system and components will be environment to meet these needs. E-Government offer better and effective access to electronic application ser Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and otf among may governmental agencies. Adding various typ our citizens informed. OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT: FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance FY 13-14 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ 298,400 BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTU PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000												
JUSTIFICATION: The City's presence within the online community is greated information Technology Department believes that the normation Technology Department offer better and effective access to electronic applications are Email notifications, e-subscriptions, newsletters and oth among may governmental agencies. Adding various typour citizens informed. OPERATING FY 12-13 Yearly Maintenance FY 13-14 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 15-16 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FY 16-17 Yearly Maintenance FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ 298,400 \$ 298,400 BUDGET YEAR	offware Upgrade		\$ 20,000 \$ 18,000 \$ 12,000 \$ 34,000									
OPERATING \$ 20,300 CAPITAL OUTLAY \$ - FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ 298,400 BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	need for online se e required to exten ers the public and 0 ervices, information ther types of alerts	rvices will only gro d the capabilities of City staff many ben hal services, and in s have become the	w. Expansion of the of the current hefits by offering hter-agency services standard offering									
BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTU PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000 18,000 18,000 18,000		perating Savings										
BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTU PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 269,317									
PRIORITY 2 4 7 FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	TION SCHEDULE											
FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS									
FUNDING SOURCE 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 GENERAL FUND \$ 33,000 \$ - \$ - UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	7	5										
UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	2015-2016	2016-2017										
UNMET 25,000 100,000 18,000	\$ -	\$-										
FUND 37 SW	12,000	34,000										
GRANT												
BOND												

PROJECT TITLE:	Citywide Networ	k Infrastructure					
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	inology					
DESCRIPTION:	leased data lines. (infrastructure have cabling needs with located based on p is needed to keep Center. This locati etc. These cables communications cl facilities: Andrew f	Current infrastructu reached maximum in existing, newly co previous years cost bace with growing i on is not ideal as th should be pulled be oset. Years 2013-2 Best, Dream, Evans	re is supporting ou a capacity and will r onstructed, or newl in these areas. Ma nfrastructure. Curr ne building is aging ack to the Sports C 2017 would see fibe s, Green Springs, F	I's main data/phone r needs today but s not allow any furthe y acquired City buil ain Datacenter unin rently there is a mai , access issues, fre connection building er optic network ext fillsdale, Paramoun n, Greenfield Terrad	everal segments of r expansion. The p dings in which PCs terruptible power su in aggregation point quent water damag and reterminated in ended to the follow t, Off Leash Dog Au	our existing filt redicted cost of , phones, etc. y upply (UPS) up t of Fiber at the ge from leaking a dedicated ing parks and rea, West Have	ber covers will be ograde e Teen g roof,
	FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	UPS Replacement I Relocated Fiber from Fiber to new sites / Fiber to new sites / Fiber to new sites /	& Pks Facilities (Gur for Main Data Cente m Teen Center to Sp Fiber Optic Compor Fiber Optic Compor Fiber Optic Compor Fiber Optic Compor	ports Connection nents nents nents	Soccer Cplx)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	25,000 80,000 25,000 45,000 45,000 45,000
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u>	eliminating the mor of maintaining thes locations, it opens as well. The main of higher capacity UP capacity. Currently	hthly recurring char e lines. Some City the door to providir data center's UPS (S. There are curre t, if one UPS were	ges for the leased sites currently have g WiFi to the public Uninterruptible Powently two UPSs in a to fail, the remainin	increase security, r data lines, as well a e no data service al c in those spaces a wer Supply) or "Batt redundant configur g UPS would be at Ild result in a power	as reducing the adm all. By adding data nd allows for secur tery Backup" needs ation. Each UPS is 100% and, as a res	ninistrative ove a service to the ity video surve to be replaced loaded at 50% sult, most likely	ese eillance d with a %
OPERATING	FY 12-13	Yearly Maintenan	ce / Repairs			\$	5,250
BUDGET IMPACT:	FY 13-14	Yearly Maintenan	-			\$ \$	3,500
BODGET IMIT AGT.	FY 15-17	Yearly Maintenan		ANNUALLY		Ψ \$	2,250
		really Maintenan		/ INTO/ILL I		Ψ	2,200
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					perating Savings perating Increase		- 15,500
FUNDS APPROVED TO D	DATE:	\$ 570,483		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 52	22,716
	F						
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQU YEARS	
PRIORITY	3	5	2	4	4		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
GENERAL FUND	\$ 70,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
UNMET	35,000	70,000	45,000	45,000	45,000		
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL	PROJECT COST:	\$ 8	80,483

PROJECT TITLE:	Local Area Netw	ork (LAN) Teleph	ony											
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	nnology												
DESCRIPTION:	survivable remote Session Manager Subscriber Manager communication er communication in modules (ISM) lice	e gateway (SRG) fr and System Mana ger and resource s invironment (ACE) tegration. Today t enses and 64 digit illow for growth and	om release 3.0 to ager. The upgrade oftware internation & Application Inter he CS1000E phor al ISM licenses; c d the replacement	release 6.0. This e will also include in nal (RSI) Call Accor- gration Engine Ser ne system has over	upgrade will also replacing Telephon bunting. Purchasin rvers will complete or 456 analog integrases analog and d	ng Avaya agile the unified grated service igital ISMs to internet								
JUSTIFICATION:	FY 16-17 Upgrade CallPilot Server \$ 26,000 This upgrade will protect our existing investment of the CS1000E phone system and add new features and benefits that will allow Unified Communication of voice, video, messaging and presence services with Microsoft Lync, SharePoint and Exchange. The new Session manager will allow session initiation protocol (SIP) based system support for voice and video. SIP is the new open standard base protocol for future unified communication systems. Adding a new Avaya ACE Server will complete the integration of the phone system, voicemail, email and applications. Upgrading the analog and digital ISMs to IP ISMs will reduce the footprint of our phone system, therefore reducing space, cooling and power requirement in the Main Data center will be added benefits. Upgrading CallPilot server to newest platform will increase performance and add new capabilities, such as speech-to-text and text-to-speech.													
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Annual Maintenar	nce will remain the	same as current s	system as it is bas	ed off ports at no o	cost.								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				perating Savings perating Increase									
FUNDS APPROVED TO D	DATE:	\$ 470,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 453,447								
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE										
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS								
PRIORITY	4	8	6	6	7									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017									
GENERAL FUND	\$ 48,474	\$ 24,950	\$ -	\$-	\$-									
UNMET	21,526	1,050	54,500	54,500	26,000									
FUND 37 SW														
GRANT														
BOND														
				TOTAL	PROJECT COST:	\$ 701,000								

		PROJE	CT WORKSH	EEI										
PROJECT TITLE:	Storage Area Ne	twork System (S/	AN)											
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	nnology												
DESCRIPTION:	primary Data Cen		Data Centers. Th	is project also pro	letwork (SAN) loca vides for additional	ted at both the upgraded storage								
	FY 12-13	SAN for developn VMWARE Server		esktop Environme	nts	\$ 143,000 \$ 36,000								
	FY 13-14	VMWARE Server Virtual Desktop a		Expansion		\$ 36,000 \$ 40,000								
	FY 14-15	SAN Replacemer	t Primary Site			\$ 143,000								
	FY 15-16	SAN Replacemer	t Secondary Site			\$ 143,000								
	FY 16-17	SAN Upgrades				\$ 40,000								
JUSTIFICATION:	Continuing demand for storage as new systems and databases are added will require additional shelves and drives for the primary SAN. As the amount of data on the primary SAN grows, the Secondary SAN must keep pace to handle the replicated information for Disaster Recovery purposes. Likewise, additional VMware Hosts at the secondary site will also need to be added as the number of servers increases. SAN storage disks are centrally stored. The disk space can be dynamically expanded and given and taken away from multiple servers with little administrative effort. Current SAN will be approaching 5 years in 2013 and a refresh will be in order. The new financial system will also have heavy SAN storage demands as it moves to an Intel based architecture. Document scanning initiatives by Public Works and Community Development are expected to have large storage requirements as well in coming years.													
OPERATING	FY 12-13	Yearly Maintenan	се			\$ 6,050								
BUDGET IMPACT:	FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ce ce			\$ 3,800 \$ 7,150 \$ 7,150 \$ 2,000								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 26,150				perating Savings perating Increase									
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 377,928		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 270,058								
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE										
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YI	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS								
PRIORITY	5	2	3	3	2									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017									
GENERAL FUND	\$ 36,000	\$ 40,000	\$-	\$-	\$-									
UNMET	143,000	36,000	143,000	143,000	40,000									
FUND 37 SW														
GRANT														
BOND		<u> </u>		ΤΟΤΑΙ	PROJECT COST:	\$ 958,928								
						+ 330,320								

PROJECT TITLE:	Ethernet Routing	g Switches Upgra	des and Expansi	ions							
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	nology									
DESCRIPTION:	This project would enhance efforts to continue to make data available to Citizens while securing these applications so as to not open up our network to threats. Although our current network installations are not at the end of life, we do see more and more the need for additional network security appliances. In addition we see a number of facility moves and new acquisitions each year. Funds are needed to purchase these switches and setup network connectivity. An expansion to our Cisco Blade Center chassis would be needed in FY 2013 to accommodate expansion of the Virtual Server environment.										
	FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16	Switch & Router F Cisco Blade Centr Switch Replacem Core Switch Repla Core Switch Repla	er Chassis ents acement City Hall			\$ 50,000 \$ 75,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 180,000 \$ 180,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	such as surveillan network environm	ce cameras. The ent. Year 12-13 w ve would seek to r	se switches also la ve would seek to r	ack advanced feat eplace the oldest o	ures that are emploif these switches a	g network devices oyed in today's and routers. Looking hat will be reaching					
	FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar	nce nce nce			\$ 2,500 \$ 3,000 \$ 2,500 \$ 9,000 \$ 9,000					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 26,000				perating Savings						
FUNDS APPROVED TO D	ATE:	\$ 640,283		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 626,282					
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE]					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS					
PRIORITY	6	3	4	1	1						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 38,000	\$-	\$-	\$-						
UNMET	50,000	37,000	50,000	180,000	180,000						
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND						¢ 475.000					
				IOTAL	PROJECT COST:	\$ 1,175,283					

PROJECT TITLE:	Citywide Wireles	S				
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	nology				
DESCRIPTION:	and the public. The wireless controller area. Phase two not yet served suc	his is the "City of C in the main data would be to replac ch as parks, ball fic tified. Finally, repl	Greenville Public W center and addres e outdoor wireless elds, and other pul acement of the old	ViFi" network. A pl s replacement of e s components in ex blic spaces. Phas	hased approach w equipment in the U xisting outlying are e three would be	
	FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	Outdoor Wireless Outdoor Wireless Outdoor Wireless Indoor Wireless R Indoor Wireless R	Mesh Replaceme Mesh Expansion Replacement			\$ 80,000 \$ 80,000 \$ 80,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000
JUSTIFICATION:	hardware support first installed back	has been disconti in April of 2007. nanage both the o	nued. This equip This wireless tech utdoor and indoor		d over 32,850 Wil ed since 2007, so	i users since it was to have a wireless
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	FY 12-13 FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16 FY 16-17	Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar Yearly Maintenar	nce nce nce			\$ 3,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 1,500 \$ 1,250
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$9,750 \$-			Total Op	perating Savings perating Increase	\$ 9,750
FUNDS APPROVED TO D	ATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	8	6	5	5	6	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 40,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
UNMET	80,000	40,000	80,000	50,000	50,000	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL I	PROJECT COST:	\$ 340,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Video Surveillan	ce												
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	Information Technology												
DESCRIPTION:	This project would consist of five phases. First phase would purchase and install (10) fiber optic equipment, (25) cameras and (25) housings. Second phase would purchase and install (6) fiber optic equipment, (15) cameras and (15) housings. Third phase would purchase and install (6) fiber optic equipment (15) cameras (15) housings. Fourth phase would purchase and install (6) fiber optic equipment, (15) cameras and (15) housings. Final phase would purchase and install (6) fiber optic equipment, (15) cameras and (15) housings. Final phase would purchase and install (6) fiber optic equipment, (15) cameras and (15) housings. Two additional video servers would be installed and one directly attached storage unit to these servers at City Hall to support the recording of these cameras. Total of 17 intersections & 17 Sites. Requests have been made to equip the following intersections and sites: Phase (1) Cotanche & Fourth , Reade & Third, Evans & Third, Greene & Third, Pitt & Fifth, City Pool, Guy Smith Stadium, Thomas Foreman Park, Dog Park & Greene Springs Park. Phase (2) Albemarle & 5th, Greene & Fourth, Charles & Tenth, Town Common, River Park North & Kearney Park. Phase (3) Dickinson & Fourteenth, Memorial & Fifth, Greenville Blvd & Hooker Rd, Greenfield Terrace, Dream Park & Evans Park. Phase (4) Greenville Blvd & Kristin Dr, Memorial & Third, Elm & Tenth, Woodlawn Park, Andrew Best Park & West Haven Park. Phase (5) Pottertown & Tenth, Greenville Blvd & Arlington and Memorial & Arlington, Paramount Park, West Meadow Brook Park & Hillsdale Park with security cameras. Video camera installations is an area that has experienced huge growth. Expectations are that this will continue and provide benefits to both the City and Citizens.													
	FY 2013	Cameras, Housing,	Equipment and Lab	or for 5 Intersections	s and 5 Sites	\$ 101,960								
	ANNUALLY FY 2014 - 2017	Cameras, Housing,	Equipment and Lab	or for 3 Intersections	s and 3 Sites	\$ 61,176								
JUSTIFICATION:	Installing a surveillance camera at predetermined intersections and Recreation and Park facilities will equip the Greenville Police Department with an invaluable tool to deter crime throughout the City. These cameras will monitor various intersections and recreational and park facilities and record any events for a predefined timeframe (likely 30 days). The Recreation & Parks Dept. has the most visitors of any other Department in the City. Monitoring their locations will provide an invaluable method of researching incidents of any type. Video surveillance cameras at City facilities assist Safety / Risk Management with general liability claim investigations. Video surveillance cameras on COG property deters crime, assists GPD in investigations if a crime occurs and is a reliable tool for the facility to have to increase security and safety. Having video surveillance footage assists with property insurance and workers compensation claims.													
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Annual Maintena	ance costs will in	crease:		FY 2013 FY 2014 - 2017	\$								
BUDGET IMPACT.					FT 2014 - 2017	\$ 3,058								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	1				perating Savings perating Increase	\$- \$17,330								
FUNDS APPROVED TO D	DATE:	\$ 40,505		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 38,025								
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE										
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS													
PRIORITY	9	9	9	8	8									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017									
GENERAL FUND	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$	\$-	\$-									
UNMET	51,960	11,176	61,176	61,176	61,176									
FUND 37 SW GRANT														

PROJECT TITLE:	Video Conferenc	ing System										
DEPARTMENT:	Information Tech	nology										
	<u> </u>	0,										
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a new video conferencing system for use by Fire / Rescue and various other departments for adhoc conferences. This will allow for multiple groups of City personnel to collaborate in full view of one another or share presentations without having to leave their home base of operations.											
	FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16	5 additional endpo Upgrades and En Upgrades and En	oint cameras w/ du hancements		dual monitors	\$ 140,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 50,000						
JUSTIFICATION:	The current video conferencing system in use by Fire / Rescue is outdated and obsolete. A new system to replace the existing one with a unit that is scalable to other departments is needed. The new system would be compatible with a variety of newer technologies employed in large format displays and high definition cameras on the market today. The current system is not compatible with most new video formats and standards. This system has proven to be invaluable to Fire / Rescue and can no doubt be deployed to other major conference rooms through out the city to aid in collaborative sessions between departments.											
	-											
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	FY 13-14 FY 14-15 FY 15-16	Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan Yearly Maintenan	ce ce ce			\$ 7,000 \$ 2,500 \$ 750 \$ 750 \$ 2,500						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 13,500				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$13,500						
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-						
	-											
			AFFRUERIA	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
PRIORITY	10	10	10	9	9							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
UNMET	\$ 140,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 50,000							
FUND 15 POWELL												
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND												
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 270,000						

			BUD YE	GET AR		PL. YE	AN AR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS													
			201	2-13		2013	3-14		2014 - 15			2015 - 16			2016 - 17				TOTAL			
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		MET	UNN	IET	MET	UNMET		MET		UNMET	ľ	IET	ļ	UNMET	MET		U	NMET	MET	UN	MET
		_																				
	FIRE/RESCUE																					
2	New Traffic Light (GF)	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$	-	\$ 100,000	\$	-
3	F/R St. No. 3 Emergency Generator (GF)		-		-	70,000	-		-		-		-		-		-		-	70,000		-
4	F/R Station No. 3 Parking Lot (GF,UM)		-		-	100,000	80,000		-		-		-		-		-		-	100,000		80,000
5	F/R Headquarters Office Ren. (UM)		-	5	0,000	-	-	•	-		-		-		-		-		-	-		50,000
6	F/R Station No. 7 (UM)		-	14	0,000	-	2,250,000		-		-		-		-		-		-	-	2,3	390,000
7	Emer. Traffic Signal Preemption (UM)		-	18	0,000	-	220,000		-		120,000		-		220,000		-		140,000	-	8	880,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	100,000	\$ 37	0,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 2,550,000	\$	•	\$	120,000	\$	-	\$	220,000	\$ -		\$	140,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 3,4	400,000

FIRE / RESCUE'S FIRST PRIORITY FOR FY 2013 IS THE COMPLETION OF THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER. THIS PROJECT WAS FULLY FUNDED IN FY 2012.

PROJECT TITLE:	Emergency Oper	ations Center (E	OC)			
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue					
DESCRIPTION:	This project is for th and built in compliar Standards: FEMA 4 operations of those emergency. This pr an electrical distribu a classroom in supp	nce with applicable p 26, FEMA 452, FEI personnel assigned oject also includes t tion system interrup ort of the Fire/Resc	provisions of the follo MA 361, FEMA 543, to coordinate respo the installation of em tion. The facility wh ue Department's tra	owing Federal Emergence and FEMA 55. The nse and recovery op lergency standby get en not used as an et ining center which is	gency Management EOC will protect an erations in the even nerator to power the mergency operation also on the propert	Agency (FEMA) d support the
	FY 2013					
	Architectural & Engi	neerina Fees	200,000			
	J	3	,			
	FY 2014					
	Construction Costs		800,000			
			1,000,000			
	Funding:					
	City Funds Federal Grant		400,000			
	rederal Grant		600,000 1,000,000			
			1,000,000			
	of civil unrest or act	s of violence.				
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Annual maintenance	and operating cost	ts including utilities o	of \$10,000.		
PERSONNEL	Ŧ				erating Savings	
OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 10,000			Total Ope	erating Increase	\$ 10,000
CAPITAL OUTLAT	\$ -					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 1,000,000	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	1					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND						
FUND 15 POWELL GRANT						
BOND						
20.19	11		1	TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,000,000

PROJECT TITLE:

Traffic light for Rollins Drive and Greenville Blvd.

DEPARTMENT:

DESCRIPTION:



JUSTIFICATION:

This intersection is uncontrolled by a traffic control device. Greenville Blvd. is heavily traveled. Engine 5 and EMS-5 must navigate this intersection while responding to nearly every one of the emergency incidents to which they respond. Over the last three years, there were an average of over 2500 unit responses from Station 5. Engine 5 and EMS-5 are often required to merge into heavy traffic and risk the potential of causing an accident and becoming involved in one. A traffic signal for this intersection would improve the safety of both emergency responders and other motorists when Engine 5 and EMS-5 respond to emergency incidents.

OPERATING **BUDGET IMPACT:** Annual utility costs for this traffic light are estimated at \$400.00.

-

\$

PERSONNEL \$ **Total Operating Savings** \$ **Total Operating Increase** 400 \$ CAPITAL OUTLAY \$

FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE:

OPERATING

FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE: \$

-

APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE

	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	SUBSEQUENT YEARS							
PRIORITY	2										
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
GENERAL FUND	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-						
FUND 15 POWELL											
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND											
		•		TOTAL	PROJECT COST:	\$ 100.000					
PROJECT TITLE:	Emergency Generator for Fire Station 3										
--	---	-----------	-----------	---------------	-------------------------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue										
<u></u>											
DESCRIPTION:	Replace the small and inadequate 13.5 KW emergency generator with a 60 KW emergency standby generator that would be capable of running the entire building, including Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC), doors, etc.										
JUSTIFICATION:	This fire station serves as a central point within the overall emergency operations plan and is a critical resource for the City and many other departments when operating in a disaster. Station 3's emergency standby 13.5 kilowatt generator is woefully inadequate to support fire/rescue station operations when electrical power is lost. Built in 1987, Station 3 is staffed with 6 personnel daily and provides fire suppression service with a quint and emergency medical service with a medic unit. During the recent hurricane that affected Greenville, Station 3 lost electricity for several hours. Its emergency standby generator provided electricity to the overhead engine bay doors and hallway lighting for the fire station. In-station radio communication, station alerting, HVAC, and food storage and preparation capabilities were lost during the power outage. Although the station and its personnel were without power for several hours, firefighters and paramedics continued to staff the station and apparatus, and monitored emergency radio communications by hand-held radios.										
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Only for potential	repairs.									
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase						
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-					
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS										
PRIORITY		3									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 70,000	\$-	\$-	\$-						
FUND 15 POWELL											
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND											
	······································			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 70,000					

PROJECT TITLE:	Fire Station 3 Parking Lot and Front Driveway Repair										
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue										
DEFARIMENT.	T II e/i cescue										
DESCRIPTION:	This project is to repair the employee parking lot and front ramp of Fire Station 3. The employee parking would be replaced with asphalt concrete. The front ramp would have severely cracked portions of the pad cut out and replaced with concrete.										
JUSTIFICATION:	The concrete rear parking lot is severely spalled from wear and weather. The front ramp is cracked and spalled from wear and weather, as well as from damage when the ladder truck struck the electrical power lines in front of the station. The truck's outriggers grounded in the concrete. Cracks in the concrete permit water to undermine the concrete pads. In the winter, ice forms and pushes the concrete up.										
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	None										
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-					
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS									
PRIORITY		4									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$-	\$-						
UNMET		80,000									
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 180,000					

PROJECT TITLE:	Fire Headquarters Offices Renovation										
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue										
DESCRIPTION:	This is a request to renovate the office area of Fire Headquarters. The intent is to construct a reception area for visitors to fire headquarters, and add office space for a third deputy fire marshal. The receiving area would be constructed at the current lobby entrance to Fire Headquarters. It would be constructed of wood framing, dry wall and glass. A counter would be provided for visitors to sign permits, requests for incident reports and other paperwork.										
JUSTIFICATION:	A reception area would isolate visitors from the fire department secretary. This separation is needed for a few important reasons. First, the separation provides protection to fire/rescue staff from visitors with infectious diseases such as the flu. Secondly, there are occasions when administrative staff receive threats from irate visitors. Frequently, the administrative staff is alone in the building. The reception area would provide a welcoming environment to visitors and provide a level of security to the fire/rescue staff. Due to inspection work load, Greenville Fire/Rescue (GFR) has the need to add a third deputy fire marshal. One significant dilemma that GFR has to adding this position is work space. Even after moving the Training Battalion Chief to Fire Station 6, there is no office space for an additional deputy fire marshal. The incumbent, who is filling that position on a part-time basis currently, is using the Fire/Rescue Conference Room as work space.										
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal wou	Id have little to no	annual fiscal imp	act on current ope	rations.						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I	\$	\$-		Total Ope	erating Savings erating Increase NDED TO DATE:	\$ -					
	I	•	4000000143								
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS										
PRIORITY	5										
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
UNMET	\$ 50,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-						
FUND 15 POWELL											
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 50,000										

PROJECT TITLE:	Fire/Rescue Stat	ion 7									
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue										
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a new Fire/Rescue station to serve the area on the south side of Greenville. With annexations and the continued growth in the area, a station is needed to provide a consistent level of service to all areas of the city. This project would provide a 9,220 square foot, three-bay Fire/Rescue station, and install the necessary furnishings to operate the facility. Land acquisition of 1.92 acres has been completed near the intersection of Fire Tower and Bayswater Drive. It is anticipated that engineering and design costs will be \$140,000 in FY 2012-2013; construction and furnishing costs will be \$2,250,000 in FY 2013-2014; and personnel and operating costs will be about \$556,600 in FY 2014-2015.										
JUSTIFICATION:	With annexations and the continued growth in the area, a station is needed to provide a consistent level of service to all areas of the city. The primary justification of this project is to provide the same quality service to all citizens of the city and to decrease response time in this area. An Office of State Fire Marshal and Insurance Service Office Study support the need for a station in this area. Depending on the location of the call, this area is presently serviced by Station 3 with back up from Station 5 and Station 1. Contracting with a volunteer fire department is not an alternative since their response time would be greater than our current response time. This strategic location of the facility will provide a response time within the City's fire and rescue response objective of five minutes or less. It will also meet guidelines set forth in the Insurance Service Officer sting schedule. Additionally, this project will provide much needed office space for fire prevention officers and a future second battalion chief.										
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>						ts for an additional I utilities will increase					
	operating costs by personnel is estim		20,000 per year. F	irst year operating	costs associated	with outfitting					
PERSONNEL	¢ 402.920			Total On	erating Savings	¢					
OPERATING	v ,				erating Increase	ہ - \$ 556,600					
CAPITAL OUTLAY				<u> </u>	<u></u>	φ 000,000					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 570,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 457,132					
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS					
PRIORITY	6	1	1								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
UNMET	\$ 140,000	\$ 2,250,000	\$-	\$-	\$-						
FUND 15 POWELL											
FUND 37 SW											
GRANT											
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,960,000					

		PROJECT WO	RKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	Emergency Traffic Pro	eemption										
DEPARTMENT:	Fire/Rescue											
DESCRIPTION:	This project would mod	lify traffic signals to allo	w Fire/Rescue vehicles	to preempt them.								
	2012 - 20132013 - 20142014 - 20152015 - 20162016 -Greenville Blvd.Memorial Dr.Greene St.Greene St.5th St.& Moseley Dr.& Clubway& 5th St.& 1st St.& 14th& 14th St.& Dickerson& 2nd St.& Albee& Elm St.& Moye10th St.& 3rd St.& Pitt& Charles Blvd.& Farmville Blvd& Charles Blvd& 4th St.& Wass& Plaza Mall& 5th St.& ECU& Airport Rd.& Evans& Landmark St.& 3rd St.& College Hill& Pactolus& Cota& Hooker Rd.Firetower Rd.& Elm St.14th St.& Rea& Mall Dr.& Corey Rd.& Evans St.& Dickinson& Hwy 43SMemorial Dr.& Hwy 43SDickinson& Grande& Mall Dr.Charles Blvd.& Red Banks											
JUSTIFICATION:	ATION: The primary justification for this project is safety and to decrease our response time. This system will benefit the entire community; it protects all citizens, pedestrians, civilian drivers, the emergency driver as well as those in need of emergency assistance. Presently, there are five intersections on Arlington Blvd. that are equipped with signal preemption devices. These intersections were identified and funded through the computerized signal system funding. With the exception of station vehicles, all Fire/Rescue vehicles are equipped with emitters. No alternatives were considered.											
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will not ir	ncrease personnel cost										
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-			perating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$							
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-	FUNDS EXPENDED	TO DATE:	\$-							
		APP	ROPRIATION SCHEDU	JLE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FU	TURE CIP PLAN YEAR	?S							
PRIORITY	7	2	2	1	1							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
UNMET	\$ 180,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 120,000	\$ 220,000	140,000							
FUND 15 POWELL	÷ 100,000	÷ 220,000	÷ 120,000	÷ 220,000	140,000							
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND			TO	AL PROJECT COST:	\$ 880,000							

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

	_	iget Ar		.AN AR								
	201	2-13	201	3-14	201	4 - 15	2015 - 16			6 - 17	TOTAL	
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET

	FINANCIAL SERVICES													
1	ERP/ Fin. Mgmt System (GF, B)	\$ 2,440,000	\$ -	\$	с,	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$	\$ 2,440,000	\$ -
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,440,000	\$ •	\$-	;	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ •	\$-	\$ 2,440,000	\$ -

PROJECT TITLE:	Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) / Financial Management System											
DEPARTMENT:	Financial Service											
		.5										
DESCRIPTION:	This request would purchase a new enterprise resource planning /financial management (ERP/FM) system. This system would include the full range of accounting processes (General Ledger, Accounts Receivable and Payable, Billing, Payroll, Parking Tickets, Business License, and Treasury Management), which would be fully integrated with Purchasing processes (Bid and Contract Management, Purchase Order and Requisition, and Inventory), Fixed Asset Management (Grant and Project Accounting), Permits and Code Enforcement processes, Human Resource Management processes, Performance Management Dashboard capability, Work Order, Fleet, and Facilities Management. This system would also have Business, Vendor, Employee, and Citizen self-service capabilities as applicable. This system would enhance the City's ability to retrieve accurate information in a timely manner through its ability to create reports using a combination of any data field in the database. It also incorporates software that automatically creates required financial reports (GASB-34) which could decrease the preparation time of these reports by half. Data could also be downloaded directly into Excel. This system would initiate an electronic work flow process that would decrease transaction processing time. This system would allow notes and documents to be attached to transactions which would decrease processing time and eliminate the need to research paper archives for background information.											
		he City of Greenville has selected a consultant to complete a business application needs analysis from which a request or proposal will be drafted. Once bids are received the estimated cost for this project will be updated.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Over the years, the City has worked with the current vendor to resolve deficiencies and issues with the current system. HTE has indicated that these issues are either unsolvable due to the nature of the system or could be fixed at an additional cost. In addition, there are indications that the vendor is developing a new platform which we will be required to purchase in the near future just as the City was required to migrate from the original green screen platform to the current "Windows" based system. The future system from our current vendor is not ready for implementation at this time and when available would be an untested platform.											
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	increase accuracy b	y decreasing the ch modern system wo	ance of entry errors, uld enhance the acc	and enhance custo	mer service by avoid	ed for duplicate entry, ling timing lags in cial reporting. Annual						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -						
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 88,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-						
	[APPROPRIAT]						
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS											
PRIORITY	1											
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
GENERAL FUND	\$ 90,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
UNMET												
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND	2,350,000			τοται ρ	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,528,000						

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUDO		PL/ YE/		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS							
		2012		2013		2014	- 15	201	5 - 16	2016	- 17	TOT	AL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
		_											
	RECREATION & PARKS												
1	Eppes Center Improvements (UM)		\$ 44,800	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 44,800
2	Park Services Maint. Shop Imp. (GF,UM)	147,500	-	-	520,000	-	398,500	•	,	-	-	147,500	1,003,500
3	Drew Steele Center (UM)	-	138,000	-	220,000	-	-	•	1,650,000	-	-	-	2,008,000
4	So. Greenville Rec. Center Imp. (UM)	-	718,000	-	165,000	-	1,750,000	•	350,000	-	187,000	-	3,170,000
5	Aquatics & Fitness Center Imp. (GF,UM)	12,000	91,500	-	435,000	-	78,500	•	10,000	-	49,000	12,000	700,500
	H. Boyd Lee Center Improvements (UM)	-	235,000	-	45,750	-	318,000	•	350,000	-	425,000	-	1,373,750
7	Dream Park Development (G-UM)	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250,000
8	Skid Steer Loader (UM)	-	48,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,000
9	Highway 43 Park Development (UM)	-	82,000	-	94,000	-	75,000	-	-	-	-	-	251,000
	Outdoor Basketball Complex (UM)	-	650,000	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	650,000
	ADA Access / Parking Control (GF,UM)	38,750	47,250	38,750	37,250	-	150,000	•	150,000	-	150,000	77,500	534,500
12	South Tar River Greenway Parks (UM)	-	278,000	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	278,000
	Door & Key Standardization (GF)	-	-	80,000	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	80,000	-
	Playground Equipment (UM)	-	135,000	-	100,000	-	135,000	•	-	-	-	-	370,000
	Bleacher Replacement (GF)	49,610	-	-	-	-	-	•		-	-	49,610	-
	Evans Park Improvements (GF,UM)	-	-	-	-	-	325,000	•	00,000	-	-	-	413,000
	Open Space Land Acquisition (UM)	-	100,000	-	100,000	-	100,000	•	100,000	-	100,000	-	500,000
	Guy Smith Stadium & Pool Ren. (UM)	-	101,000	-	325,000	-	-	•		-	-	-	426,000
	Eastside (Hwy 33) Park Dev. (UM)	-	348,000	-	475,000	•	3,625,000	•	,	-	4,800,000	-	9,604,000
	Town Common (UM)	-	85,000	-	1,555,000	-	2,500,000	-	2,500,000	-	2,500,000	-	9,140,000
	Bucket Truck (UM)	-	55,000	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,000
	Parking Lot Improvements (UM)	-	97,450	-	75,000	-	85,000	•		-	-	-	257,450
	Greenfield Terrace Improvements (UM)	-	188,000	•	285,000	•	428,000	-	818,000	-	591,000	-	2,310,000
	Soccer Complex Improvements (UM)	-	48,000	-	157,500	-	455,000	•	-	-	-	-	660,500
	Jaycee Park Improvements (UM)	-	12,000	•	65,000	-	-	•	-	-	-		77,000
	Tennis Court Ren. (UM)	-	-	•	78,000	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	78,000
	Roof Improvements (UM)	-	-	•	100,000	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	200,000
	River Park North Improvements (UM)	-	-	•	115,000	-	217,000	-	45,000	-	750,000	-	1,127,000
29	Off-Leash Dog Area Lighting (UM)	-	-	•	44,000 85,000	-	- 1,300,000		-	•	-	-	44,000
	New Community Pool (UM)	-	-	-	85,000	•	258,000	•	-	-	-	-	1,385,000 258,000
	Pine Crest Park Development (UM)	-	-	-	-	-		•	-	-	-	-	
32	Countryside Park Development (UM) West Meadowbrook Park Imp. (UM)	-	-	•	-	-	258,000	-		•	-		258,000
		-	-		-	•	375,000 56,900	•	325,000	-	-	-	700,000 56,900
	Paramore Park Trail Improvements (UM) Peppermint Park Improvements (UM)	-	-	•	-	-	45,000	•	-	•	-	•	56,900 45,000
	Natatorium Indoor Pool (UM)	-	-	•	-	•	45,000	•	-	•	- 10,000,000		45,000
30	SUBTOTAL	\$ 247,860	\$ 3,752,000	\$ 118,750	\$ 5,076,500	¢ .	\$13,407,900	¢ .	\$ 6,863,500	- •	\$19,552,000	\$ 366,610	\$48,651,900
	SUBTUTAL	φ 247,000	φ 3,132,000	φ 110,750	φ 3,070,300	φ -	φ13,407,900	Ψ -	\$ 0,003,000	φ -	φ13,JJZ,000	φ 300,010	φ+0,031,900

PROJECT TITLE:	Eppes Recreation Center Renovation											
	Recreation & Par	ke										
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation & Fai	N3										
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: The bulk of the Eppes Center is a converted high school gym that was built in the early 1950's. The Eppes Center has undergone significant renovations recently, including air conditioning the building, completely reworking the building electrical system, installing new windows, stabilizing the building structure, new gym lighting and directional signage installed, install new plumbing, & renovation of the Eppes Alumni room. To complete the project it is necessary to improve gym acoustics & refurbish the gym, install a new gym floor, install bleachers, replace the scoreboard, refurbish the hallway in the old building section, replace the 4th St exterior stairs, install roof drains over the multipurpose wing, improve exterior building drainage, and replace the loose safety surfacing at the playground with poured in place surfacing.											
JUSTIFICATION:	Recreation Center should have been leaking subfloor p of money to be sp this project will be	After many phases of work on this building this project will complete the renovation process of the Eppes Recreation Center. Unfortunately much of the previous funding was spent on basic infrastructure work that should have been addressed over time in a systematic manner (foundation stabilization, electrical renovation, leaking subfloor plumbing, etc.) but due to neglect these items were only just now corrected. This caused a lot of money to be spent on items that are not apparent to the facility user. Almost all of the items addressed in this project will be finish work and significantly improve both the appearance and functionality of the facility. After many years of being underserved, users will finally have a high quality recreation center for their use.										
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Completion of this	project will have a	a neutral impact of	n budget.								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -						
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 808,862		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-						
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS											
PRIORITY	1											
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
UNMET	44,800											
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND												
L	·1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 853,662						

PROJECT TITLE:	Parks Maintenan	ce Center; Main	Shop Improveme	ents								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation & Par	rke										
DELARTMENT.	Recreation a rai	NJ										
DESCRIPTION:	Recreation and Pa condition, to the p	arks Department. oint of having a sig	Unfortunately this	facility is undersized facility is undersized facility for the second se	ed and the buildin	ns for the Greenville gs are in terrible inistration (OSHA)						
		Do design & deve	lopment for FY13-			d/code, interior shop ne existing storage						
	FY13-14: Demolish existing shop/storage building. Construct new dry storage with bays. Repave entire compound. Design/Development for Administration building. \$520,000.											
	construct adminis	FY14-15: Acquire land between the shop & 3rd St. adjacent to the railroad. Demo existing structures, construct administrative offices. Convert old offices to dry storage for parts & tools. Replace compound fence. Install wash pit for equipment cleaning. \$398,000.										
	FY15-16: Constru	FY15-16: Construct additional section onto existing main shop building for more efficient shop use. \$85,000.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Current Park Services Maintenance Facility was built in the 1920's & acquired as a hand-me-down from Public Works in 1979. Two building roofs were replaced after the 1999 hurricane, but no other structural building improvements have occurred since Parks' maintenance occupied the site. As a result of this neglect, there are significant issues. Outmoded building infrastructure, ADA accessibility, safety & security issues, site layout functionality, and facility quality are an issue. Maintenance yard space is extremely limited & and the site needs reworked to meet current needs. There is no room for expansion given the current footprint without land acquisition, which is recommended. Major facility renovation/replacement should be a priority.											
OPERATING	Completion of this	project will have a	a neutral impact of	n budget.								
BUDGET IMPACT:												
PERSONNEL	\$ -			Total Op	erating Savings	\$ -						
OPERATING	\$-				erating Increase							
CAPITAL OUTLAY						Ť						
			_									
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-						
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
PRIORITY	2	2	2	2								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
GENERAL FUND	\$ 147,500	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
UNMET		520,000	398,500	85,000	-							
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND												
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,151,000						

PROJECT TITLE:	The Drew Steele Center; PARTF Required Elements										
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks									
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	FY 12-13: Project completes project elements found in Phase 1 renovation of the Drew Steele Center as required by the Parks and Recreation Trust Fund (PARTF) grant; Renovate shuffleboard court surfacing, install outdoor classroom, pave the ADA accessible trail, install weight training equipment, gym bleachers, gym divider curtain, provide building furniture, and repave parking lot as required. FY13-14: Develop plans and specifications for Phase 2 development of the Drew Steele Center. Renovate the restroom at Elm St. Center to support & complement the stadium construction project at the Little League field FY15-16: Construct Phase 2 of the Drew Steele Center per the established planning documents. Approved Funding: FY 2011 PARTF Grant FY 2011 Transfer from General Fund FY 2012 Transfer from General Fund \$120,000 \$1,467,349										
JUSTIFICATION:	The above mentioned items were submitted as a component of the PARTF Grant application and are required to be installed in order for the City of Greenville to meet the obligations of this grant. Failure to honor this commitment would result in the City not being eligible for future grants.										
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Completion of this	project will have a	a neutral impact o	n budget.							
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 1,467,349		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 411,632					
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS					
PRIORITY	3	6		9							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017						
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-						
UNMET	138,000	220,000	-	1,650,000	-						
FUND 15 POWELL											
GRANT											
BOND											
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 3,475,349					

PROJECT TITLE:	South Greenville	Gym Improveme	ents			
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	complete renovati consideration of a the installation of compliant route of	on of the gym elec coustics. To com an exterior ADA a f travel to the gym	ctrical system, new ply with the Americ ccessible restroom construct a grave	v gym plumbing, re cans with Disability n that is added on	to the building. To baved ADA parking	ym interior with novation will require
	FY13-14: Develop Plans & Specifications for a modern facility to replacement of the building sections constructed in 1949.					
	FY13-14: Initiate construction of a new recreation center. Dollar amount of \$1,750,000 represents the City of Greenville's portion of construction costs. This gym supports South Greenville Elementary School as gym facilities for the school. Hopefully the Pitt County School Board would partner with the City and contribute an additional \$750,000 to allow total project funding of \$2,500,000.					
	FY15-16: Install a sprayground in the park for neighborhood use. FY16-17: Reconstruct the athletic field into a multipurpose field with lighting and irrigation.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The South Greenville Center & Park is heavily used by the surrounding neighborhood despite being an outdated, inadequate, and deteriorating facility. The primary building section was built in 1949, with an addition in 1957. No significant renovations have occurred since. The Center provides basic amenities for users, but facilities are outmoded. Large portions of the building cannot be monitored by staff due to extremely poor facility configuration and multiple entrances. The gym portion is structurally sound but major renovations are needed to provide a quality facility for users. The facility does not meet ADA requirements. Improvements are needed to address outmoded building infrastructure, ADA accessibility, safety, site functionality, and facility quality.					
OPERATING	FY12-13: Installat	ion of air conditior	ning will increase t	he electrical utility	bill by \$12,000 an	nually.
BUDGET IMPACT:		technician and a	vehicle for that ind	ividual to provide r	reenville) will requ naintenance on th	ire the addition of ese systems. Those
PERSONNEL	\$-			Total Op	erating Savings	\$ -
OPERATING	• .=,				erating Increase	
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
	4	1	1	5	6	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013 \$ 718,000	2013-2014 \$ 165,000	2014-2015 \$ 1,750,000	2015-2016 \$ 350,000	2016-2017 \$ 187,000	
FUND 15 POWELL	<i>ψ</i> 710,000	Ψ 105,000	ψ 1,750,000	Ψ <u>330,000</u>	ψ 107,000	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 3,170,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Greenville Aquat	ic and Fitness Co	enter Improveme	nts		
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Roof repairs: The roof of Aquatics & Fitness Center has rotten decking in one large area making walking on the roof dangerous in that location. Significant "bird-baths" areas exist that will further deteriorate the roof. During storms, water accumulates on the roof, overtops the flashing and creates "water falls" down walls in the building interior soaking carpets and equipment. This project installs roof drainage, seals the flashing, and replaces roof deck at unsafe locations. This project also renovates the 4 changing rooms by installing tile & refinishing the interior, & creates an ADA accessible family changing room, Hires a consultant to do a full roof survey of all buildings.					
	FY13-14: Replace the Aquatic Center's pool dehumidifier & air handler, replaces all of the buildings plumbing due to extreme line corrosion & spontaneous line failures.					
	FY14-15: Resurfa	ace the pool & rep	lace all building ca	arpet.		
	FY15-16: Replace	e the basketball go	oals in the gym & ir	nstall a gym curtair	n divider.	
	FY16-17: Reconfi	gure the stage are	ea, install a new we	eight room floor, &	install ceiling fans	in the weight room.
JUSTIFICATION:	While some building infrastructure has been rehabilitated in a piece meal manner, the replacement of the building's aging mechanical equipment and roof system prior to failure is becoming critical to the continued operation of the Aquatic/Fitness Center. Failure of the roof section, the dehumidifier/air handler system, and potentially the plumbing may require that the building be closed for extended periods until repairs are made. The failing roof flashing has already resulted in significant interior building damage. These items must be replaced in a planned, systematic manner per the proposed schedule to avoid building closure due to sudden equipment failure.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Completion of this	project will have a	a neutral impact or	n budget.		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 144,339		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	5	3	18	11	8	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$ 12,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
UNMET	91,500	435,000	78,500	46,500	49,000	
FUND 37 SW				·		
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 712,500

		_					
PROJECT TITLE:	H. Boyd Lee Cen	ter Improvement	S				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks					
DESCRIPTION:	FY 12-13: Install Replace those doo windows for energ	ors. Western faci				e malfunctioning. nmer; tint the gym	
		FY13-14: Upgrade and extend the cross country trail, and construct it to an appropriate standard with adequate drainage. Current trail receives considerable use but it was never constructed properly.					
		FY14-15: Per the park Master Plan, pave current grass parking area & improve the road circulation while controlling vehicles with bollards at the facility.					
	FY15-16: Install a	a sprayground in p	ark.				
	FY16-17: Develo	p 2 lighted multipu	rpose athletic field	ds to meet conside	rable user deman	d.	
JUSTIFICATION:	Gym air conditioning is part of the continuing effort to provide AC in all facility gyms. The cross country trail receives considerable use but it was never properly constructed which leads to continual washout problems and provides a poor running surface for area cross country teams and joggers. Parking lot paving initiates work to continue general site development. Sprayground installation will be done in conjunction with installation at South Greenville, providing cost efficiencies and adding a highly popular recreational amenity. Installing two new multipurpose athletic fields will meet high demand for field space.						
OPERATING	EV40.42. Installat	ion of oir condition				e velk i	
BUDGET IMPACT:	FY12-13: Installat	ion of all condition	ing will increase th	le electrical utility	bill by \$12,000 and	iually.	
BUDGET IMPACT:		technician, a vehi			eenville) will requ or that individual to		
	FY12-13		FY15-16				
PERSONNEL	\$-	PERSONNEL	\$ 39,900	Total Op	erating Savings	\$-	
OPERATING	\$ 12,000	OPERATING			erating Increase		
CAPITAL OUTLAY		CAP. OUTLAY					
FUNDS APPROVED TO		\$-	,	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
	-		-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	6	7	19	6	7		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$ 235,000	\$ 45,750		\$ 350,000	\$ 425,000		
FUND 15 POWELL					· ·		
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,373,750	

PROJECT TITLE:	Dream Park Deve	elopment				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DELARTMENT.	iteoreation and i	unto				
DESCRIPTION:	Project implements the park Master Plan as developed through community meetings. Project will install a picnic shelter, a consolidated playground unit, a basic skateboard facility, a sprayground, and adjacent parking.					
JUSTIFICATION:	are required to de that a Parks and F	velop a functional Recreation Trust F ere is no guarante	neighborhood par fund (PARTF) gran ee that this grant w	k to serve the adjant in the amount of ill be received. C	acent neighborhoo \$250,000 will be ity Council authori	zed the transfer of
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	funds for aquatic a	& other supplies for norease is also rec	or that individual to quired due to the a	provide maintena	ince on the system al addition of new f	
	-					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	· · · · · ·				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 534,900		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	[400000000000000000000000000000000000000			1
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	7					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT (UNMET)	250,000					
BOND				TOTAL		¢ 704.000
				IUIALP	ROJECT COST:	\$ 784,900

PROJECT TITLE:	Skid Steer Loade	er				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	arks				
DESCRIPTION:	This project purchases a skid steer loader for use by the Park Services maintenance team. A skid steer unit is requested as it performs almost all of the functions of a backhoe but it is much more efficient in a park setting as it can work in tighter spaces and is a lot more versatile due to its "agility". It is also less expensive than a backhoe.					
JUSTIFICATION:	A skid steer loader is a fundamental piece of heavy equipment required to fulfill our basic work mission in the park system. Park Services did have a "hand me down" backhoe until last year but that unit deteriorated to the point that it is not usable. As a result, we are now renting a backhoe at a rate of \$1,600 per month, 6 months a year, or \$9,600 per year. That would pay for a skid steer in 5 years, making equipment rental highly inefficient. Our current strategy is to "group" heavy equipment work & address those tasks in the month when the equipment is available but by definition that means that specific tasks must be put off for a month or two until we are renting the equipment. This results in poor customer service as we cannot respond to certain work orders in a timely manner.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		ever budgeted wh	ich is severely de			ch is good because n undefined cost of
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ 9,600 \$
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	8					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 48,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 48,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Hwy. 43/Phil Carr	roll Property Parl	C Development			
DEDARTMENT.	Recreation and F	Dor ko				1
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and I	ains				
DESCRIPTION:	pump station on the property. This pro	ne city-owned right	t-of-way (ROW) th	at provides the pri hich is the require	wide road access mary access to the d width for 2 way	
		s, construction of				rom the current GUC to site, gravel trails,
	FY14-15: Complete development of Hwy 43/Phil Carroll property; one additional shelter, trail interpretive signage, additional trails, park amenities, etc.					
JUSTIFICATION:	This property has great potential for passive park development at very low cost; essentially "low hanging fruit". Project provides regional park space for the rapidly growing Pitt Memorial Hospital community, and will be linked to the greenway system once that greenway section is developed. There are currently no parks serving this entire end of town. This would become an important local recreational amenity, and potentially a tourist attraction stimulating economic development in Greenville.					
			4.4.5 nonthis play			
	The park would co and a permanent					vouid be required
<u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	and a permanent	Stall person (Labo	ner) and a vehicle	win be required to	r servicing.	
	FY14-15					
PERSONNEL	\$ 31,920			Total Op	erating Savings	\$-
OPERATING				Total Ope	erating Increase	\$ 39,420
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 26,000					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
]
			APPROPRIA	IION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	9	14	8			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 82,000	\$ 94,000	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ -	
FUND 15 POWELL	- 02,000	- 0.,000	+ .0,000	Ŧ	т Т	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 251,000

	Outdoor Basketb	all Complex				
PROJECT TITLE:	Outdoor Baskett					
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	Project develops an outdoor basketball complex of 5 outdoor courts based on the Master Plan prepared by Parks staff in coordination with Community Development and the Police Department.					
JUSTIFICATION:	There has been significant public interest in developing such a facility. Greenville currently has very few outdoor courts and there is not a grouping of facilities as proposed in this plan anywhere in the city. This will be an excellent venue for day to day recreation, but it will also provide an opportunity for 3 on 3 tournaments or similar special events.					
OPERATING	Recreation: Staff	fing will require two	o attendants per d	ay at \$9/hour each	n. 5.5 hours/day x	364 days per year.
BUDGET IMPACT:	Schedule: Monda hours/day. \$40,5 Parks: Additional	56.	-	-		\$9/hour each x 5.75 upplies.
PERSONNEL	* 50 500			Tatal On	anatina Cavinas	<u> </u>
OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	10					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 650,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 650,000

PROJECT TITLE:	ADA Improvements						
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks					
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Addres fields. Provides a FY13-14: Provide playground, and the park areas to iden buildings.	cceptable parking es an acceptable r ne overall site, at f	locations and rout oute of travel to Ja Elm St. Park, and t	tes of travel to par aycee Park playgro funds a consultant	k facilities as requi ound and shelter, E 's assessment of a	ired by ADA law. Boyd Lee shelter, all remaining outdoor	
	FY14-15: Perform	FY14-15: Perform ADA remediation work in park buildings &/or park lands per consultant report.					
	FY15-16: Perform ADA remediation work in park buildings &/or park lands per consultant report.						
	FY16-17: Perform				-		
JUSTIFICATION:		al law. This progra	am initiates a syste		program initially b	based on the most	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This program is o	perating budget ne	eutral.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 77,500		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 37,499	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]	
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	11	9	10	3	5		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
GENERAL FUND	\$ 38,750	\$ 38,750	\$-	\$-	\$-		
UNMET	47,250	37,250	150,000	150,000	150,000		
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 689,500	

PROJECT TITLE:	South Tar Green	way Park Develo	pment			
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	This project develops park amenities on the existing vacant land on properties adjacent to the South Tar River Greenway. These items include a skateboard park, additional parking, picnic shelter, sand volleyball, fishing access, and a mountain bike trail at the old landfill site.					
JUSTIFICATION:	City owned lands adjacent to the South Tar River Greenway are prime for neighborhood park and recreational development. The 10 acres at the off leash dog area Greenville Off Leash Dog Area (GOLDA) is a particularly excellent piece of park land that can be developed into a passive neighborhood park with some active amenities (skateboard park, sand volleyball, etc.). Note that parking is an issue that needs to be addressed when this site is developed. At other greenway locations, there is an excellent opportunity to open up fishing at the Beech St. pond with limited clearing and the old landfill site could become Greenville's first true Mountain Bike trail due the sites' topography. There is extremely high recreational potential for relatively low development costs. This is decidedly "low hanging fruit".					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		ance staffing budg	et with additional			ssary to increase the 20). There will be a
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$22,120
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	[APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	12					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 278,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 278,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Door Hardware 8	k Key Standardiza	ation; Knox Box i	installation		
		Na milan				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	arks				
DESCRIPTION:	This project begins to replace door hardware and standardize the key system in the park system. It also installs Knox Boxes on all major Rec/Park buildings, which is required by the fire department.					
JUSTIFICATION:	While this is decidedly not a glamorous project it is critical to facility security and public safety. There is currently no key standardization in the department which results in literally hundreds of keys being required to enter all our buildings. As a result, management staff do not have keys to the facilities, and maintenance staff must carry key rings that would fill a bucket. We are also required to have Knox boxes on all our facilities by the fire department to allow them entry during emergencies. Funding this effort has been requested in the budget twice previously but not funded which places us in violation of our own ordinance.					
OPERATING	Thoro is a poutral	hudgot impact				
BUDGET IMPACT:	There is a neutral	budget impact.				
PERSONNEL	Ŧ				erating Savings	
				<u>Iotal Ope</u>	erating Increase	\$-
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		13				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 80,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 80,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Playground Repl	acements				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
	L					
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Install a and Greenfield Te		round" at River Pa	ark North (\$85,000)), install shade str	uctures at Boyd Lee
	FY13-14: Replace playground at West Haven Park (\$65,000), install shade structure at Thomas Foreman (\$35,000).					
	FY14-15: Install a Best playground.	a mid-sized playgr	ound unit at Bradfo	ord Creek Soccer	complex w/shade;	shade at Andrew
JUSTIFICATION:	This project continues our program of playground replacements on a systematic schedule. It also adds shade structures to existing playgrounds per requests from various city council members.					
OPERATING	There is a neutral	budget impact.				
BUDGET IMPACT:						
PERSONNEL OPERATING					erating Savings erating Increase	
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 196,389		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	13	19	20			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 135,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 135,000	\$ -	\$ -	
FUND 15 POWELL	ψ 133,000	φ 100,000	φ 135,000	Ψ -	Ψ -	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
	1]			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 370,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Bleacher Replace	ements; Phase 3	. Perkins Comple	ex		
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Recreation and Parks				
	L					
DESCRIPTION:	This project upgrades the bleachers at the Perkins complex to complete upgrading the park system's bleachers to ADA & current safety standards.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Existing bleachers	s are not complian	t with ADA require	ments and curren	t safety standards.	
	Thora is a neutral	hudgetimpeet				
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	There is a neutral	budget impact.				
	n					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 99,220	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 86,860
			AFFRUPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YI	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	14					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$ 49,610	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL	, -					
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 148,830

PROJECT TITLE:	Evans Park Rend	ovations				
<u></u>						
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	These original line	es have deteriorate	ed to the point that	t they are spontan	eously failing and	nage at Evans Park. creating dangerous king on the stream
	FY13-14: This project Irrigates the two athletic fields at Evans St. Park. This will significantly improve turf quality.					
	FY14-15: The athletic field lighting at Evans park is highly substandard and inefficient. This project replaces the athletic field lighting & poles at the two softball fields at Evans Park to replace outdated wooden poles & increase light efficiency/field lumens.					
	FY15-16: Evans Park tennis courts; replace lighting on the 4 courts near Arlington Blvd. These are high use courts, but the lighting is in extremely poor condition.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Evans Park is a very high use facility for active recreation but it has deteriorated over time resulting in substandard facilities, and in some cases unsafe conditions, for park users.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	FY13-14 Field irriç	gation will result in	additional water u	se and the associ	ated cost.	
	FY13-14					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$- \$12,000				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$-
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 110,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 109,776
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			17	12		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
UNMET	-	-	325,000	88,000	-	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 523,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Land Acquisition	1				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	the needs in the n	nany areas of Gree or so acres) is nee	enville that have n	o parks or lack spe	ecialized facilities.	o begin to address Note that if a larger this account will be
JUSTIFICATION:	As identified in the Capital Needs Assessment there are numerous regions in the city that are not served by parks. Additionally, there is a considerable demand for special use areas such as baseball fields that require upland, dry locations. The city owns a considerable amount of Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) buyout lands that are appropriate for passive parks in selected areas of Greenville, but it lacks parkland in the southwest and west of the city where large areas of town have no parks.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Undetermined					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I	\$- \$-	\$-		<u>Total Ope</u>	erating Savings erating Increase NDED TO DATE:	\$
		T	l			Ŧ
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	15	15	9	13	9	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 500,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Guy Smith & Cor	nmunity Pool Co	mplex Renovatio	ns		
	-					
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	arks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Existing replace the primal for a new bath hor Smith (\$30,000).	ry water line to the	pool (\$46,500). A	ADA required pool	lift (\$8,000). Do de	esign & development
	FY13-14: Replace the antiquated bath house to develop a decent facility for pool users that will comply with ADA requirements.					
JUSTIFICATION:		to support swim m	eets and events h	eld at the pool. T		ding. The pool deck n terrible condition,
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	There is a neutral	budget impact.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	16	16				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 101,000	\$ 325,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 426,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Eastside Park De	evelopment				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DEFARIMENT.	Recreation and I	aiks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY13-14: Install r entrance. Develo	modified version o ops very basic amo	f Phase 1 develop enities; dog park, _l	picnic shelter, wate	cemetery entrance er to site.	as a temporary park
	road off of Hwy. 33.					
	FY15-16: Do Des	sign/Development	for Phase 2 of Ea	stside Park develo	pment.	
	FY16-17: Constru	uct Phase 2 of Eas	stside Park per the	e Master Plan		
JUSTIFICATION:	This project begin	s the phased impl	ementation of the	Eastside Park Mas	ster Plan.	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There will be an o impact can be pha			15 after the initial s are completed.	work is completed.	Addressing this
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 58,331		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 46,623
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	17	5	7	4	2	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 348,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 3,625,000	\$ 356,000	\$ 4,800,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 9,662,331

PROJECT TITLE:	Town Common I	mprovements					
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks					
DESCRIPTION	EV42 42. This ar	aia at initiata a Daai			for Dhase 4.9 Dh		
DESCRIPTION:				drawings prepared mentation in FY 13		ase 2 of the 10wh	
	FY13-14: Phase 1 construction implementation of Town Common Master Plan						
	FY14-15: Phase 2 construction of Town Common Master Plan. Development of Phase 3 & Phase 4 Design/Development documents.						
	FY15-16: Phase	FY15-16: Phase 3 construction of Town Common Master Plan.					
	FY16-17: Phase	4 construction of 1	⊺own Common Ma	aster Plan.			
JUSTIFICATION:	This project implements the Town Common Master Plan. When the plan is even partially implemented it will have a significant economic impact on uptown Greenville as it will bring people and life to a location that is now greatly underutilized.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		ose elements will c		rating impact (foun I in conjunction wit		shelter) but the Uptown Greenville	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
				TION SCHEDULE]	
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	18	10	3	1	1		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$ 85,000	\$ 1,555,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND					ROJECT COST:	\$ 9,140,000	
					NUJECI CUSI:	φ 9,140,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Bucket Truck					
DEDADTMENT.	Pooroation and F	Darka				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	rarks				
DESCRIPTION:		n" from Public Wo	orks and is not elig	ible for Vehicle Re	placement Fund s	current truck is an upport. It is at the of equipment for our
JUSTIFICATION:	lighting and electr	ical repairs (within blic works and is a	the lifts limited rai certainly the oldes	nge), roof repairs, t vehicle in the Cit	and related work. y of Greenville's fle	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Positive impact as	a new vehicle wil	I be less prone to	breakdowns, thus	reducing maintena	ance costs.
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	19					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 55,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 55,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Parking Lot Impr	ovements						
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks						
	F							
DESCRIPTION:				ion Center (23,150 ainting at all faciliti), River Park North		
	FY13-14: Evans	Park reseal (\$45,0	000), Aquatic Cent	er reseal (\$20,000), parking lot line r	repainting (\$10,000),		
	FY14-15: Teen C	FY14-15: Teen Center & Sports Connection patch/reseal (\$65,000), Jaycee Park lot resealing (\$25,000).						
JUSTIFICATION:	The parking lots in the park system are in a situation of significant deterioration due to many years of neglect. Virtually all of the lines are completely faded out. If the lots are not maintained in the very near future they will begin to crumble and separate (as they have at Elm St. Gym). This will require a much more expensive rebuilding effort as the gravel bases will get saturated with water, undermining the parking lots asphalt, resulting in rapid failure.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Properly maintain	ing departmental a	assets will result in	monetary savings	5.			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase			
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-		
				TION SCHEDULE]		
			AFFRUERIA	TION SCHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY	20	18	11					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
UNMET	\$ 97,450	\$ 75,000	\$ 85,000	\$-	\$-			
FUND 15 POWELL								
FUND 37 SW								
GRANT								
BOND								
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 257,450		

	a (117					
PROJECT TITLE:	Greenfield Terrad	ce Improvements				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:						Terrace based on the ravel lot (\$28,000).
	FY13-14: Based on the design documents, install a Discovery play area, medium sized picnic shelter, sand volleyball, tennis, site amenities.					
	FY14-15: Install a support the facilitie		urpose athletic fiel	d, an expanded wa	alking trail, and dri	veways/parking to
	FY15-16: Develo Club, a large shelt				veways to link with	the Boys & Girls
	FY16-17: Install a	a medium sized pi	cnic shelter, baske	etball, a splash pao	d, storage, plaza a	rea, parking.
JUSTIFICATION:	Improvements are needed to address community needs as identified by both staff & residents in the Park Master Planning process, and in various community meetings. There is a significant opportunity to link this park with the Boys & Girls Club, and move the primary park entrance out of the neighborhood and to Belvoir Road. This is an excellent partnership opportunity.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There will be addi operating impact v				in the various pha	ases. The first
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 85,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 83,224
				TION SCHEDULE]
			AFFRUERIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	22	11	12	8	3	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 188,000	\$ 285,000	\$ 428,000	\$ 818,000	\$ 591,000	
FUND 15 POWELL				,		
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,310,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Bradford Creek S	Soccer Improvem	ents			
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Develo permanent conces events. FY13-14: Acquire number of users a	ssions pad with uti 10 acres of land	lities so that conce	essions can opera	te from mobile foo	
	FY14-15: install soccer field lights at 3 fields that are currently not lighted.					
JUSTIFICATION:	ADA: Accessible routes of travel to the individual soccer fields are required by ADA regulations. The city is currently non-compliant. Land acquisition: A temporary agreement has been reached with an adjacent property owner for additional parking for the complex but that owner wants to sell the land at first opportunity, jeopardizing this use. The net result will be a return to dangerous roadside parking, which creates traffic congestion and puts pedestrians on a 55mph road. Field lights: Not having lights on all the soccer fields severely limits field use and participant numbers, particularly during the popular spring & fall league seasons.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Field lighting of 3 a \$7,800.	additional fields in	FY14-15 will incre	ase annual electri	cal usage in FY15	-16 by approximately
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 7,800				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	23	17	14			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 48,000	\$ 157,500	\$ 455,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW GRANT						
BOND						
טאטפ	I				ROJECT COST:	\$ 660,500

PROJECT TITLE:	Jaycee Park Buil	ding Improveme	nts			
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY12-13: Perform monitor access.	n design/developn	nent for redesignin	ng the entrance to	the Jaycee Park b	uilding to control and
	FY13-14: Reconstruct the entrance to the Jaycee Park building to improve building security and control.					
JUSTIFICATION:	It is currently impossible to control building access to the administrative areas and the arts wing at Jaycee Park. Many times the only staff in the building are the female receptionist and the female arts teacher in the arts wing. Individuals have routinely been found "roaming" the building without valid reason. This proposal greatly enhances building security and staff/visitor safety by moving the receptionist desk to the front lobby of the building, which requires creating a vestibule (double set of doors) to control building temperatures. The rear entrance will be closed. The result is that all visitors to the building can be monitored from the receptionist's station and visitors signing up for programs will have improved service. ADA compliance is also addressed.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There is no opera	ting impact.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	25	12				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 12,000	\$ 65,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
FUND 15 POWELL	ψ 12,000	Ψ 05,000	¥ -	₩ -	₩ -	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND	<u> </u>			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 77,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Tennis Court Rei	novations				
TROJECT TITLE.	Tennis oourt ite	lovations				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
	L					
DESCRIPTION:	This project renov road), & Thomas I		es the tennis court	ts at Elm St., Evan	is Park (block of c	ourts nearest to the
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u>	Tennis courts should be resurfaced on a 5 year schedule. This request puts the Elm St. courts on a 6 year resurfacing schedule and keeps Evans & Thomas Foreman on that 5 year schedule. Extending those courts to a longer schedule will result in significant deterioration of court quality and could ultimately result in a much more expensive rebuilding project.					
		• .				
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Keeping courts or time.	i an appropriate re	surracing schedui	e will result in lowe	ered court mainten	ance costs over
	i					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		8				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$ 78,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 78,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Roof Improveme	nts				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks				
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	Recreation and Parks Project begins to address major roof repairs as identified in the consultant report from the FY12-13 Aquatic Center project. Specific roofs to be addressed will be dependent on and prioritized by those report recommendations but we do know that there are significant problems in the system that need to be corrected.					
JUSTIFICATION:		ng degrees. This i e, and the potentia	s resulting in cont al for mold. The co	inual wall staining	with interior dama	All other sites have ge, carpet damage, tize those repairs.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Correcting roof lea	akage will have a p	positive impact on	the operating bud	get.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		4	6			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 200,000

PROJECT TITLE:	River Park North Improvements								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and Parks								
DESCRIPTION:	FY13-14: The large 54" culvert that allows water discharge between lakes is rusted and needs replacement (\$95,000). Design & development for 125 acres of new property adjacent to existing park, including access bridge with permitting (\$20,000).								
	FY14-15: Install a service bridge to allow access to the new park site & develop an internal gravel walking & bicycle trail system built to park standards.								
	FY15-16: Do Design/Development & permitting for a greenway connector from the Green Street Bridge to River Park North, new park section.								
	FY16-17: Construct a greenway connector, utilizing the (Greenville Utilities Commission (GUC) ROW, from Green Street Bridge to River Park North.								
JUSTIFICATION:	A development plan for the new property needs to be created & implemented. This would open up significant new recreational opportunities for users and link River Park North with Uptown Greenville via a greenway corridor. This will also demonstrate good faith with the property donor, Harvey Lewis.								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There will be an operating impact in FY15-16 for part-time staff and mowing.								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ - Total Operating Increase \$ -								
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	UNDS APPROVED TO DATE: \$ - FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE: \$ -								
	APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	IRE CIP PLAN YEARS		SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY		20	13	7	4				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
UNMET	\$-	\$ 115,000	\$ 217,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 750,000				
FUND 15 POWELL		· -			,				
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND									
	ı I			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,127,000			
PROJECT TITLE:	Greenville Off Le	ash Dog Area (G	OLDA) Lighting						
--	--	--------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------	--	--	--
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks							
DESCRIPTION:	FY13-14: This project installs lighting at the current "dog park" for evening use and installs fencing to create an additional area for park users.								
JUSTIFICATION:	The GOLDA is extremely popular and receives a very high level of use year round, to the point that the current area becomes overused. When days become shortened many users do not have the opportunity to use the facility after they return home from work due to dark conditions. This project expands the park footprint by expanding into unused areas and lights a portion of the park for winter use.								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Annual electrical c	costs are estimate	d at \$1,200 per ye	ar.					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 1,200				erating Savings erating Increase				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY	21								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013								
UNMET	\$ -	\$ 44,000		\$ -	\$ -				
FUND 15 POWELL		,	•	•					
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND									
	I I			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 44,000			

PROJECT TITLE:	New Community	Pool Facility				
		-				
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	arks				
DESCRIPTION:	FY13-14: This pro	piect performs des	sign/development f	for a new outdoor	community pool	
			ign/development		community pool.	
	FY14-15: This pre	oject implements t	he plan to constru	ct a new commun	ity pool at a site to	be determined.
JUSTIFICATION:					ew pool facility. W	
					o needed as the ex undersized, outdat	kisting pool is over
						uire either spending
						solution is to begin
	the process of poo	ol replacement.				
OPERATING	There will be no b	udget impact if the	evisting pool is c	losed when the ne	w facility opens in	EV15-16
BUDGET IMPACT:		uuget impact ii the			w lacinty opens in	1113-10.
PERSONNEL	\$-			Total On	erating Savings	\$
OPERATING	Y					\$- \$-
CAPITAL OUTLAY				<u>rotarop</u>		Ψ
	•					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	[
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
						TEARS
PRIORITY		22	23			
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET FUND 15 POWELL	\$-	\$ 85,000	\$ 1,300,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,385,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Pine Crest Park I	Development								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	arks								
DESCRIPTION:	This project develops the city owned Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) buyout lands at the former Pinecrest trailer park.									
JUSTIFICATION:	This property is an outstanding piece of land with mature pine trees and a road system that can be managed as trails. This site can be developed at a relatively low cost to create a high quality regional park north of the river. Because of its site characteristics it has excellent potential for development of a championship level disc golf course in addition to developing typical park amenities. Both the Disc Golf Club and the North of the River group have advocated for developing this land. This site can be managed from River Park North as it is just down the road, and could ultimately be linked to River Park North by greenway trails.									
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Developing this si [\$11,284] and sup					r Park North				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 12,500				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YI	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY			4							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 258,000	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND										
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 258,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	Countryside Parl	k Development								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks								
DESCRIPTION:	Fund the development of Countryside Park per the park Master Plan.									
JUSTIFICATION:	This project develops a new park north of the river. Citizens from this district were very vocal in the District 1 & 2 meetings about a lack of facilities. Note that the Countryside neighborhood was physically cut off from Greenfield Terrace Park by the extension of the airport clearance zone & is not served by this facility. Funds for land acquisition have been appropriated.									
OPERATING	The addition of thi	is facility will requir	the addition of a	no laborar positio	n @ \$31 020 8 \$6	,000 in supplies. It				
BUDGET IMPACT:	will also require th		wing contract for \$		n e \$51,520 a \$6	,000 in Supplies. it				
DEDOONNEL	FY15-16	1								
PERSONNEL	¢ 01,0120				erating Savings					
				Total Ope	erating Increase	\$-				
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-									
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 100,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY			5							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
	\$-	\$-	\$ 258,000	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW GRANT										
BOND										
	1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 258,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	Matthew Lewis P	ark @ West Mea	dowbrook Improv	/ements						
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks								
	itter alla i									
DESCRIPTION:	FY14-15: Install a or other users of t		room facility at the	e athletic field area	to provide service	es for church leagues				
	FY15-16: Replac wooden poles & ir			at two fields at W	est Meadowbrook	to replace outdated				
JUSTIFICATION:	Shelter/Restroom: The restroom is currently in deplorable condition, is not ADA compliant, and needs to be replaced. The athletic field would receive much more use if there were supporting facilities in this park. Field									
	lighting: the current	nt lighting is in terr	ible condition with	warped wooden p	oles and antiquate					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There is no addition	onal operating imp	act.							
PERSONNEL OPERATING					erating Savings erating Increase					
CAPITAL OUTLAY	¥			<u>rotal opt</u>		Ψ				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY			15	10						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ 325,000	\$ -					
FUND 15 POWELL			· · ·							
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND				TOTAL		¢ 700.000				
				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ROJECT COST:	\$ 700,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	Paramore Park; I	Fork Swamp Gree	enway Improvem	ents						
	Recreation and F									
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	raiks								
DESCRIPTION:	FY14-15: This project redevelops Fork Swamp Greenway to the standard level of gravel trail development.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The Fork Swamp Greenway was never constructed to the department's standard level of trail development, which presents a substandard product to facility users.									
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	No operating bud	get impact.								
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YI	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY			16							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
	\$-	\$-	\$ 56,900	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW GRANT										
BOND										
	I			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 56,900				

PROJECT TITLE:	Peppermint Park	Improvements								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks								
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	FY14-15: Relocate the parking area at Peppermint Park, moving it to the Brownlea Street side of the park and away from 14th St. The 14th St. side of the park would be expanded to absorb the old parking area.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The current gravel parking area on 14th St. creates a significant hazard for small children. 14th St. is a highly traveled thoroughfare and the concern is that a parent could lose control of their children when loading or unloading the car and they could be struck by a car. This project corrects the initial design flaw of the park layout by moving parking to Brownlea, a residential, low traffic volume street.									
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There is no opera	ting budget impac	t.							
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO 1	\$- \$-	\$ -		Total Ope	erating Savings erating Increase NDED TO DATE:	\$ -				
	I		APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE						
	·]				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY			21							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ -					
FUND 15 POWELL	•	•	,,	*	*					
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND										
	I			TOTAL P	PROJECT COST:	\$ 45,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	Natatorium								
DEPARTMENT:	Recreation and F	Parks							
	Reoreation and I	uno							
DESCRIPTION:				atorium. Pool will lepth splash park f		size for swim meets,			
	FY16-17: Initiate developing this factor		uction. It is assun	ned that a Public-F	Private partnership	can be secured for			
JUSTIFICATION:	For many years there has been a consistent and increasing demand for an Olympic sized, indoor pool facility in Greenville. The success of the aquatic center's summer swim team has further raised public awareness of this need. Because the Aquatic Center pool is undersized, there is currently no location for high school or youth swim teams hold year round swim meets; i.e. all of their swim meets must be held out of town. The city's Community Pool is an undersized, antiquated facility, to the point that the City must either expend large sums of money on infrastructure or close the old pool. That pool is poorly located adjacent to Guy Smith stadium which creates a significant hazard of swimmers being hit by foul balls. A city of Greenville's size should have an indoor pool of this type.								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There would be si hiring a design co		g budget impacts i	n FY17-18 which v	vould be carefully i	dentified prior to			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY			22		10				
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000,000				
FUND 15 POWELL	₩ -	Ψ -	Ψ 575,000	¥ -	Ψ 10,000,000				
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND									
	1 1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 10,375,000			

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUDO	R		AR	FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS							
-		2012	-		3-14		- 15	2015		2016		TO	
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
E	PUBLIC WORKS	1											
1	PUBLIC WORKS Public Works Yard Fence (GF)	\$ 70,000	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	s -	\$ - \$	-	\$-	\$ 70.000	¢
	PW Assembly Room Roof (GF)	50,000	φ -	ş -	φ - -	ə -	φ - -	ə - -	ə - ə -		φ -	\$ 70,000 50,000	φ -
		50,000	35,000			-			-		-	50,000	35,000
	City Hall First Floor (UM)	-	60,000		-	•	-	-	-		-	-	60,000
-	Skid Steer Loader (UM)	•	00,000	- 100,000	-	•	-	•	-	•	-	-	00,000
	Hooker Road Warehouse (GF) PW Assembly Room Bathroom (GF)	-	-									100,000	-
	, , ,	175,000		50,000	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	50,000 175,000	-
	Fleet Management Software (VRF) Traffic Light Software (PB,G)	175,000		150,000		-	-		-		-	175,000	-
	o	•	-		-	-	-	•	-		-		-
	Fleet Maint. Bldg Interior Paint (GF)	-	-	65,000	-	•	-	-	-		-	65,000	175.000
	Work Order Software (UM)	-	-	-	-	•	175,000	-	-	•	-		175,000
	PW Complex Roof Assessment (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	100,000	•	-	-	200,000
	Lessie Bass Building Roof (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	-	•	-	-	100,000
	South Tar River Greenway East (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	•	-	-	50,000
	Parkers Creek Greenway (UM)	-	-	-	-	•	1,300,000	-	-	•	-	-	1,300,000
	Uptown City Parking Deck (CR, UM)	-	-	-	-	1,779,565	6,479,435	-	-	•	-	1,779,565	6,479,435
	Frontgate Drive Extension (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	1,675,000	-	-	•	-	-	1,675,000
	10th St. Connector Sidewalks (PB,UM)	-	-	-	-	200,000	250,000	-	-	•	-	200,000	250,000
	IGC School Building Roof (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,000	•	-	-	300,000
	Fuel Station Upgrade (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	200,000	-	200,000
	Greenwood Cemetery Fence Ren. (UM)	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	90,000	-	90,000
	Homestead Memorial Gardens (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,000	-	40,000
24	F/R Stations #3 & #4 Rollup Door (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	100,000
25	Fleet Alignment Wheel & Lift (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	90,000	-	90,000
26	Fleet Tire Rack (UM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,000	-	60,000
27	Powell Bill Mast Arm (PB)	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-
28	Powell Bill Street Resurfacing (GF,PB)	500,000	-	425,000	-	265,000	-	-	-		-	1,190,000	-
29	Powell Bill Sidewalk Construction (PB)	150,000	-	-	-	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	300,000	-
30	Powell Bill Traffic Calming (PB)	-	-	15,000	-	-	-	15,000	-	-	-	30,000	-
	Powell Bill 9th Street Rehabilitation (PB)	-	-	115,000	-	-	-	-	-		-	115,000	-
	Transit Intermodal Trans. Center (G, UM)	-	-	-	-	8,110,246	363,057	-	-		-	8,110,246	363,057
	Transit Maintenance Lift (G,UM)	-	-	220,500	24,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	220,500	24,500
	Transit Buses (G, UM)	-	-	855,000	95,000	-	-	1,080,000	120,000		-	1,935,000	215,000
	SAN Truck Upgrade (SF)	90,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	90,000	
	SAN Vehicle & Eq. Parking Area (SF)	180,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	180,000	-
	SAN Auto. Refuse Loader (SF)		-	175,000	-	-	_	-	_		-	175,000	-
	SAN Wash Pit (UM)	-			-		300,000	-	_		-		300,000
	SW Watershed Master Plans (SW,UM)	423,000		345,000		-	351,000	-	454,000		414,000	768,000	1,219,000
	SW Emergency Repairs (SW,UM)	76,864	-	81,458	-	-	100,000	-	100,000		100,000	158,322	300,000
	SW Stream Bank Stabilization (SW,UM)	50,000	85,000	50,000	85,000		135,000	-	135,000		135,000	100,000	575,000
	SW Stream Restoration (SW,UM)	50,000	76,000	50,000	76,000		126,000		126,000		126,000	100,000	530,000
	SW Pollution Prevention Plan (SW,UM)	50,000	70,000	250,000	150,000		120,000	400.000	120,000		120,000	650,000	150,000
	SW Storm Drainage Minor Imp. (SW,UM)	•	-	410,000	130,000		-	400,000	35,000		-	410,000	35,000
		•	-	410,000	-	-	1,450,000		2,550,000		-	410,000	
	SW Storm Drainage Major Imp. (UM)	-	120.000	-	-	-	1,430,000	-	2,550,000		-		4,000,000
	Traffic Signal & S. Sign Software (UM)	-	120,000	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	120,000
	Pavement Mgmt. Software/Inv. (UM)	-	150,000	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000
	Transit Short-Range Plan (TF,G)	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	100,000	-
	Transit Bus Washer (TF)	90,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	90,000	-
	Police & Fire/Rescue HQ Exp. (UM)	-	-	-	3,690,000	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	3,690,000
	Hop Tyson Road Improvements (UM)	-	-	-	300,000	•	325,000	-	-	•	-	-	625,000
52	Street Lighting Improvements (GF)	50,000	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-
~	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,154,864		\$ 3,406,958	A 1 100 -00	A 40 50 1 0 1 1	A 40.070 400		\$ 3,920,000 \$	-	\$ 1,355,000	\$ 17,561,633	

PROJECT TITLE:	Fence New Secti	on of Public Wor	ks Yard							
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls							
		0								
DESCRIPTION:	New chain link fencing will need to be installed for the expansion of the Public Works yard into the City owned property immediately west of Skinner Street.									
JUSTIFICATION:	Following the road northern, southern					encing on the				
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Reduce the loss o	f materials when F	Public Works expa	nds its operation i	nto other City own	ed property.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$-				
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE]				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY	1									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
GENERAL FUND	\$ 70,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 70,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	Replace Roof over Public Works Assembly Room									
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls							
DESCRIPTION:	Poplace the origin	al roof over the P	ublic Works Assor			fin (TPO) roof				
	Replace the original roof over the Public Works Assembly Room with a Thermoplastic Olefin (TPO) roof.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The roof is 30+ years old and requires constant repairs to limit water intrusion into the building.									
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	A new roof will rec and improve reliat		enance costs asso	ociated with repairi	ng leaks and dam	age caused by leaks				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY	2									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
GENERAL FUND	\$ 50,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW GRANT										
BOND										
	I I			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 50,000				

PROJECT TITLE:	First Floor East V	Wing Modification	ns at City Hall							
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls							
		indingo a oroano								
DESCRIPTION:	Modify the Human Resources area and mailroom area by installing an additional door between the two areas; rearrange the Human Resources office area to ensure a higher level of security and proper space utilization; and install a more secure mailbox system in the mailroom.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The Human Resources area and mailroom are in need of modification to ensure a higher level of security and proper space utilization.									
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This project will in	nprove employee e	efficiency and secu	urity of the workpla	ice.					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$- \$-			Total Ope	erating Savings erating Increase	\$				
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE						
	r									
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY	3									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
UNMET	\$ 35,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL				<u>_</u>						
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 35,000				

		TROOP				
PROJECT TITLE:	South Tar River Greenway Phase III (Pitt Street to Moye Blvd)					
DEDADTMENT						
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This phase of the South Tar Greenway will continue the effort to construct the next 2.4 miles of the corridor and will make the connection from Pitt Street to Moye Boulevard which provides a connection from ECU's main campus to the East Carolina University's Medical School and Pitt County Memorial Hospital. In May of this year, the City applied a for Transportation, Community and System Preservation Program (TCSP) grant to assist in completing the greenway connection with East Carolina University's Medical School and Pitt County Memorial Hospital. TCSP program funding is to be used in conjunction with City funds to design and construct the next phase of the South Tar River Greenway. We were notified in August that we were awarded a total of \$907,609 of the \$960,000 for which we applied of a total project estimated cost of \$1,184,511. Additionally the City was awarded a \$50,000 grant through the Pitt County Health Department for planning. The City will begin the planning portion of the project in FY11-12. Depending on progress, the City will complete the design, environmental documents, right of way acquisition, and construction in FY12-13. This project became fully funded in FY 2012.					
	FY 2011-2012 FY 2012-2013 FY 2012-2013 FY 2012-2013		Planning Design Acquisition Construction Total New	\$50,000 120,000 75,000 939,511 1,184,511	-	
JUSTIFICATION:						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will \$15,000 per year.	not increase perso	onnel requirement	s, but will increase	e maintenance and	operational costs by
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 15,000				perating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 1,184,511	Ē	UNDS TO BE SP	ENT IN FY 2012:	\$ 50,000
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
FY 2012 MET						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,184,511

PROJECT TITLE:	Skid Steer Loade	er with Attachmer	nts			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls			
		J				
DESCRIPTION:	Purchase a skid steer loader with multiple attachments to improve department operations. This equipment will be used to maintain the City's right of way, assist in debris removal operations after storms, and be a back-up fork lift for City Operations. This versatile piece of equipment is needed for loading/unloading supplies at the Public Works complex and warehouse area and for Right-of-Way maintenance.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The compact size trucks, remove sn					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This piece of equi right of way mana		e efficiency of work	crews in accomp	lishing the numerc	ous assignments in
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 7,000				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	5					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 60,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL	ROJECT COST:	\$ 60.000

PROJECT TITLE:	Green Mill Run G	ireenway Phase I	I Sections 2 & 3			
		-				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	completed in the F	ns Park. Phase I and the park. Phase I and the park in Greer Fall of 2003. A \$1	and Section 1 of P n Springs Park and .6 million federal e	hase II of this gree I ends at Charles I	enway have been o Boulevard. Sectio bject and Parker's	completed. The n 1 of Phase II was Creek Greenway
		Design & Environ Right of Way Construction	mental Total	\$110,000 \$40,000 \$1,568,000 \$1,718,000		
	The grant is admin \$1,374,400 and th			an 80/20 cost sha	re. The federal gra	nt will cover
JUSTIFICATION:	This project will establish a greenway corridor that will expand and enhance usage of existing parks, provide pedestrian transportation linkages, and provide outdoor recreational opportunities (walking, bicycling, jogging, roller blading, etc.) for the public. This project would provide a needed pedestrian and bicycle link between Evans Park and the University. The alternative to this proposal is not to build the Greenway. However, continual growth and escalation of land values will make future greenway development increasingly cost prohibitive. Funding for past greenway projects have been a combination of local and grant dollars. Opportunities for grants will also be pursued for					
	this project under					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will year.	not increase perso	onnel, but will incre	ease maintenance	and operational c	osts by \$15,000 per
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$15,000
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 1,718,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	I					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	6					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,718,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Hooker Road Wa	rehouse Shelvin	g			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls]
		liange a creane				
DESCRIPTION:	Install commercial inventory.	shelving in the Ci	ty warehouse at 1	01 Hooker Road f	or storage of curre	nt and future
JUSTIFICATION:	The City of Green improve warehous by the Purchasing	se organization that	at will save time an	nd optimize storage	e capacity. The wa	n of the shelving will Irehouse will be used
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This project will im as facility supplies			ng a more organiz	ed warehouse for	storing items such
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	7					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Upgrade Bathroo	oms in the Public	Works Assembly	y Room		
	г. Г.					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls			
DESCRIPTION:	The men's restroom and shower area have original fixtures that are 30+ years old. Renovating this heavily used area is needed to accommodate the daily use of 200+ employees. The shower area needs more separation from the bathroom area for additional privacy.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Upgrading partitio by the public for b				bathroom that is a	lso used exclusively
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	More efficient fauc	cets and toilets wil	I reduce maintena	nce costs annually	/ of \$500 per year.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$500 \$-
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	<u> </u>		APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		1				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 50,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND					ROJECT COST:	\$ 50,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Fleet Software					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Fle	et				
DESCRIPTION:	This project entails purchasing of a dedicated fleet management software system for the Fleet Division that will allow the division to analyze the City's fleet purchases, disposal tracking, fuel consumption, and other trends. The Fleet Division will also have the ability to track Parts inventory management, barcode scanning, and warranty tracking. The fleet software will help in the shop operations by creating preventative maintenance schedules, email notification system, work order management, maintenance requests, and track the flow of work in the shop. Risk management will also be able to use the system for vehicle claim processing, and incident tracking. Fleet Division will be able to track employee performance, licenses, certifications, and overall labor . Downtime of vehicles and equipment will also be tracked in a more accurate manner. The total cost of the system (\$ 150,000)includes installation, training and uploading current information into the system. There will be an annual maintenance cost of \$12,500.00 for maintenance of the system.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The current Fleet Management System is a component of the City Financial Management system. If the City changes financial management systems without changing Fleet Management software all fleet management cost systems will become manual. Also by Fleet Division obtaining a Fleet Management software it will allow Fleet to better manage the budget by creating reports for shop operation. Allows identification of underutilized equipment, controlling of spending and will allow for reduction of unnecessary inventory. Increase knowledge of the status of core parts and warranty recovery efforts and helps ensure a more real time account for inventory, outside parts and labor entry.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		Fleet will be able t expects a reduction or and supervisor v	to eliminate obsole on in the overall A would close out wo	ete parts and reduce dministrative overloork orders. The Fle	ce un-needed part head because me eet software will be	s inventory. Fleet chanics would be e able to reduce or
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		Total Ope	erating Savings erating Increase NET	\$ 12,500 \$ (32,500)
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ -
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		2				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
VRF / FLEET	\$ 175,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND			I		ROJECT COST:	\$ 175,000
				IUTAL P	ROJECT COST:	φ 175,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Traffic Light Soft	ware					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Tra	affic Services					
DESCRIPTION:	Current traffic light controller models (ASC2-2100, ASC2S/2100) are obsolete and no longer manufactured. As such, they are also not technically supported. This software is required to allow current traffic signal controllers to operate in conjunction and communicate with new controller models (ASC3). The software upgrade will allow the City to transition its use from the older (obsolete) to newer controllers.						
JUSTIFICATION:	Without the upgrade, Traffic Services is limited in its options for repair and replacement of controllers to current bench stock, and/or what may be available from other cities as they upgrade their systems. The alternative to this is a total upgrade to the system to include all equipment and software (cabinets, controllers, peripherals, software).						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	The software will r the eventual overa				City to gradually (on need) phase in	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY		3					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL		75,000					
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT		75,000					
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 150,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Painting Interior	of Fleet Maintena	ance Building			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Fle	et				
DESCRIPTION:	This project is to p	paint all interior are	eas of the Fleet Ma	aintenance Buildin	g.	
JUSTIFICATION:	The interior of the shop has not been painted since the early 1990's. The existing interior walls are currently discolored and peeling in some spots. This project will improve the aesthetics of the shop and provide improved working conditions for our employees.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	No operating bud	get impact can be	expected.			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
						1
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		4				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 65,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 65,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Purchase a Work	Order System fo	or the City			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works					
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	Public Works Purchase an off-the-shelf work order system that is compatible with the City's proposed Enterprise Management System. City staff is now in the process of developing the needs statement for an Enterprise Management System. The work order system will enable Public Works and Recreation and Parks Departments to accept, prioritize, schedule and cost repairs and preventative maintenance to the City's facilities. The system will be compatible with the enterprise system which will enable the Departments to enter the data once into the work order system and it will update the finance data in the enterprise system. The Information Technology Department estimates that the Enterprise Management System will be implemented in FY 13/14.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Presently the City does not have a work order system for either Public Works or Recreation and Parks Departments. The Public Works Department is managing its work through a combination of a simple City built work order system using Microsoft SharePoint and many individual spreadsheets. The present system is inefficient and requires many man-hours for limited capabilities. A work order system would enable all data to be kept in one system that is accessible to all managers.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Implementing an o Departments will r					itional personnel the
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			1			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	\$-	\$-	\$ 175,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW GRANT						
BOND						
					ROJECT COST	\$ 175,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Assess and Repa	air All Public Wor	ks Roofs			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
DESCRIPTION:	Assess and repair the following roof systems at the Public Works complex with a Thermoplastic Olefin (TPO) type system: 1. Fleet Maintenance 2. Buildings & Grounds/Sanitation 3. Street Maintenance 4. Traffic Services					
JUSTIFICATION:	The roof decking a intrusion into the b		s is 30 years old a	nd dry and brittle. I	lt requires regular	repairs to limit water
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	A new roof will rec and improve reliat		enance costs asso	ociates with repairi	ng leaks and dama	age caused by leaks
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	<u>L</u>					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			2	1		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ -	
FUND 15 POWELL					•	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
	I			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 200,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Replace Roof for	Lessie Bass Bu	ilding			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls			
DESCRIPTION:	Replace the Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) roof on the Lessie Bass Building with a new Thermoplastic Olefin (TPO) roof.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The current roof is Greenville acquire have made nume	ed the Lessie Bass	building in 2005.	City staff estimate	the roof to be 10-	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	A new roof will rec and improve reliat		enance costs asso	ociated with repairi	ng leaks and dam	age caused by leaks
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	[APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	L]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			3			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100,000

PROJECT TITLE:	South Tar River Greenway Phase II (Phase I West Trailhead to ETJ Boundary)					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	aineerina				
		g				
DESCRIPTION:	bikeway/greenway	along the south s	side of the Tar Riv		eenville updated it	nning of a joint use s Greenway Master eenway/Bikeway.
	This phase of the South Tar Greenway will continue the effort to construct the next 2.5 miles of the corridor to the east from the current Green Mill-South Tar connector trail through the wetland areas south of the Tar River for two miles and then through drier areas for about 0.5 miles until reaching the Greenville extraterritorial (ETJ) boundary and the City-owned recreational area. The City will begin the planning portion of the project in FY 2014 - 2015.					
	FY 2014-2015		Planning Total New	\$50,000 \$50,000		
JUSTIFICATION:	The South Tar River Greenway project will provide scenic views of the Tar River while providing a bicycle/ pedestrian connection between recreational facilities, neighborhoods, and Uptown Greenville. This project is the Greenville Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) #1 Bicycle/Enhancement Priority.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will until the project is			ut will not increase	maintenance and	operational costs
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
						1
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			4			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 50,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
FY 2012 MET				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 50,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Parkers Creek G	reenway					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would continue construction of the Parkers Creek Greenway from River Park North to the Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center. A \$1.6 million federal grant for this project and Green Mill Run Greenway was included in the signed SAFETEA-LU bill. Funds not used for the Green Mill Run Greenway will be used for this project.						
		Design & Environ Right of Way Construction	mental	\$130,000 50,000 \$1,120,000 \$1,300,000			
	The federal grant and the City's sha		nrough NCDOT an	d is an 80/20 cost	share. The grant	will cover \$1,040,000	
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this project is to establish a greenway corridor that will expand and enhance usage of existing parks, provide pedestrian transportation linkages, and provide outdoor recreational opportunities (walking, bicycling, jogging, roller blading, etc.) for the public. This project would provide a needed pedestrian and bicycle link between River Park North and the Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center. The alternative to this proposal is not to build the Greenway. However, continual growth and escalation of land values will make future greenway development increasingly cost prohibitive. Funding for past greenway projects have been a combination of local and grant dollars. Opportunities for grants will also be pursued for this project under the Federal Transportation Enhancement Program and other sources.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will year.	not increase perso	onnel, but will incre	ease maintenance	and operational c	osts by \$15,000 per	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$15,000	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			AFFRUFRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY			5				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,300,000	\$-	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,300,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Uptown City Parl	king Deck				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This project will build a parking deck to be located in the uptown area on property to be acquired by the City. The deck will serve to meet the demand for additional parking associated with the revitalization projects in and around this area. The deck is planned for a minimum of 400 spaces on five stories. The complement of spaces will be broken down to an appropriate ratio of public (short-term spaces) and leased private spaces. The public spaces will be used to assist visitors in accessing businesses and services in the downtown area. The deck will be a prestressed concrete construction with brick veneer to complement surrounding architecture. It will have controlled access, appropriate lighting and security. The deck will be privately operated under contract with the City. (\$1,700,000 is currently set aside in reserve by City Council) Design and other related soft costs for the deck are estimated at \$292,000 with property acquisition estimated at \$567,000. The construction cost of the deck is estimated to be \$7,400,000 based on a price of \$18,500 per space. This will provide for a quality facility that will be viewed as an asset in efforts to revitalize downtown.					
		Land Acquisition Design Construction Total			\$ 567,000 292,000 7,400,000 \$ 8,259,000	
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	The purpose of this project is to meet the need of public/private parking in the uptown area. Annual maintenance of the facility is expected to cost the City approximately \$3,000 per year with periodic larger capital outlays every ten years.					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	-					
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			6			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 6,479,435	\$-	\$-	
CAPITAL RESERVE			1,779,565			
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 8,259,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Frontgate Drive	Extension				
		<u> </u>				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would Drive from Thoma Presently, Frontga City's Thoroughfa	s Langston Road te Drive (formerly	to its current termi	nus 1,550 feet sou	uth of Greenville B	oulevard.
		Design Right of Way Acq Construction	uisition	\$225,000 \$250,000 \$1,200,000 \$1,675,000		
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this project is to save travel time for emergency service vehicles from Fire Station No. 5 to the southwest area of the City's jurisdiction. In addition, constructing this roadway would delay the need for constructing another Fire Station in this area until additional development would require one. No alternative of this project was recommended in the Thoroughfare Plan Update Study.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposed pro	ject will increase o	operational costs b	y approximately \$	1,100 per year.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	¥ .,				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			7			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,675,000	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,675,000

PROJECT TITLE:	10th Street Conn	ector Sidewalks				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works - E	ngineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This projects funds the costs associated with the Tenth Street Connector's improvements that are above NCDOT's standards. These improvements are specified in the Municipal Agreement with NCDOT. Powell Bill funds will be used to pay for the City's share of sidewalk construction costs. General Funds (estimated at \$250,000) will be used to fund the cost of the infrastructure for the lighting system on the bridge, the landscaping that is in excess of 75% that is NCDOT's allowable costs, and the costs associated with improvements to the extra 30 feet of right of way necessary for the City's Gateway improvements.					
	\$250,000 of this cost is not Powell Bill eligible.					
JUSTIFICATION:	At the City's request, NCDOT will construct the sidewalks and other improvements as part of the project; however, the City must reimburse NCDOT for these costs via municipal agreement. The primary justification for this project is to build a gateway into the City and to improve pedestrian safety along this newly developed corridor, as well as to encourage walking as a viable alternate means of transportation.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	maintenance and	operation costs by	/ approximately \$4	ance personnel. T I,000 per year due gible for Powell Bil	to additional side	d increase annual walk to maintain and
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			•			
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY				1		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 250,000	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL				200,000		
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P		\$ 450.000
					ROJECT COST:	\$ 450,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Replace Roof at	the Intergeneration	onal Center Scho	ol Building		
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ls			
DESCRIPTION:	Replace the Ethyl Olefin (TPO) roof.		ene Monomer (EP	DM) roof on the sc	hool building with	a new Thermoplastic
JUSTIFICATION:				raded for proactive		
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	A new roof will rec and improve reliat		enance costs asso	ociated with repairi	ng leaks and dam	age caused by leaks
PERSONNEL OPERATING	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY				2		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$	\$ 300,000	\$	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 300,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Fuel Station Upg	rade					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Fle	et					
DESCRIPTION:	Replace the Fuel Master system and fuel station dispensers (pumps). This project will fund the replacement of the Fuel Master System with the FMU-3500 Plus; this new system consists of both the Prokee and the AIM2 monitoring system. AIM2 system will allow for full download of vehicle information from vehicle miles to engine codes and total vehicle statuses. This project will include an upgrade of the dispenser and installation of a new Commercial Mini-Bulk dispensing system that will allow us to dispense Diesel Exhaust Fluid.						
JUSTIFICATION:	The current fuel management system is 15 years old and has not been updated since the original installation. The current system also has flaws in that a driver can use other fuel keys to fill up vehicles. The new AIM2 will prevent this from happening, since the unit is installed in the car. Additionally, new pumps will reduce the chances of failure by an older operating system. The average cost of repairs over the last 3 years is \$2,500 per year.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		dditionally, the rep	pair costs associate	ed with the older p	umps will be reduc	id by approximately ced by installation of s are acquired.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY					1		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 200,000		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 200,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Greenwood Cem	etery Front & Re	ar Fence Renova	tions		
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ds			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would decorative alumin provide for the ins	um fence, gates, a	and brick column f	urther away from E	E. 5th Street. This	
JUSTIFICATION:	The existing gates cemetery. Addition or leave the ceme proposed fence lo	nally, the entrance tery. This has res	e is too small prese ulted in the gates a	enting a challenge and gate post bein	to larger vehicles	attempting to enter occasions. The
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This project will el maintenance cost	-	afety hazards, imp	rove the quality of	the cemetery and	reduce annual
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
				TION SCHEDULE		
			AFEROFRIA	TON SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY					2	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 90,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 90,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Expand Homeste	ad Memorial Gar	dens			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Groups	10			
DEFARIMENT.	Fublic WORKS/Bu	indings & Ground	15			
DESCRIPTION:	Design and implement the expansion of Homestead Memorial Gardens into its undeveloped areas. The project will develop the plans for and construct 13 acres of additional graves, walking paths, landscape areas, roadways, and a location for a future maintenance facility. This expansion will increase the number of available graves by approximately 11,000 sites.					
JUSTIFICATION:	are performed anr number of interme	nually. At this usagents to increase 2%	ge rate, there are o % - 3% each year	currently available based on the aging	graves for 7-9 yea g of the "baby boo	ely 150 interments rs. Staff expects the mer" generation. ut its existing sites.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
						SUBSEQUENT
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	YEARS
PRIORITY					3	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ 110,000
FUND 15 POWELL	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T	+ 10,000	,
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
	1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 150,000

		TROOL				
PROJECT TITLE:	Replace Roll Up	Doors at Fire/Re	scue Stations #3	and #4		
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Bu	ildings & Ground	ds			
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	Public Works/Buildings & Grounds This project would replace ten (10) roll up glass garage doors at Fire Stations #3 and #4. The doors were installed 20+ years ago and need to be replaced with lighter, lower maintenance doors.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Existing glass gara resulting in many ten (10) doors is \$	maintenance calls				on the system nce cost for these
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This project, wher	a complete, will res	sult in annual main	itenance savings o	of \$1,000 to \$2,000).
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
				TION SCHEDULE]
			AFFRUERIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	URE CIP PLAN YI	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY					4	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 100,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	PROJECT COST:	\$ 100,000

PROJECT TITLE:	New Alignment V	Vheel System wit	h Lift				
	Public Works/Fle	ot					
DEPARTMENT:	FUDIIC WOIKS/FIE	el					
DESCRIPTION:	This project will fund the purchase and installation of an alignment wheel and rack system, and the purchase of an 18,000 lb four post alignment lift including two rotary lifts. This system will provide Fleet Maintenance the ability to align the wheels of all vehicles up to one ton in size.						
JUSTIFICATION:	have spent over \$ and from the align	The purpose of this project is reduce the cost of aligning the City's vehicles. During the past three years we have spent over \$70,000 for the alignment of city vehicles which includes the cost of transporting the vehicle to and from the alignment shop. Within four to five years, the City will re-coop the money spent in acquiring the alignment system and software.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	The savings will b	e approximately \$	5,000 per year. Th	nere will be a yearl	y subscription upd	ate cost of \$1,500.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 1,500				erating Savings erating Increase NET	\$ 1,500	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	ГТ						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY					5		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 90,000		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND				TOTAL		¢ 00.000	
				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ROJECT COST:	\$ 90,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	New Tire Rack					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Fle	et				
	L					
DESCRIPTION:	This project is to purchase a automated tire carousel storage system that will lower tires mechanically from a stacked storage system.					
JUSTIFICATION:	This unit will minir	nize the risk of inju	uries to employees	s when lifting tires	into or out of curre	nt system at fleet.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	No operational dir	ect impact is expe	ected by purchasin	g this unit.		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$		_		erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		4			6	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 60,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW GRANT						
BOND						
	11			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 60,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Replacement of I	Mast Arm Poles a	and Mast Arms in	the Central Busi	ness District		
DEDADTMENT.	Public Works De	nortmont/Troffic	Sonvisoo			1	
DEPARTMENT:	Fublic WORKS De	partment trainc	Services				
DESCRIPTION:	There are 12 intersections in the downtown area and surrounding Central Business District that currently use mast arm poles and mast arms for the traffic signal systems. The collective number of poles at these locations is 40. This project is proposed as an on-going replacement project, with the intent to replace two intersection locations per funded year. The locations have been reviewed and prioritized, based on existing condition and need.						
JUSTIFICATION:	The conditions of the mast arm poles (and mast arms) are deteriorating each year. Some of the locations are currently exhibiting signs of structural fatigue, as a result of age and on-going affects from weather. A replacement program is mandatory, to offset the deteriorating needs that worsen with age. If nothing were done, there is an on-going maintenance cost to protect the poles from further accelerated deterioration. Also, locations can deteriorate to the point that they become a safety issue to the public (City liability).						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	If this project is ap The poles typically poles.					enance program. to prep and paint the	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$2,500 \$-	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	1						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL	100,000						
FUND 37 SW	,						
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100,000	
PROJECT TITLE:	Street Resurfacin	ng					
--	---	--	---	---	--	---------------------	--
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works - E	ngineering					
DESCRIPTION:	long) of streets in	el of funding this pr various locations t	roject would resurf throughout the Cit	ace approximately y including necess	ary street marking		
		evaluated and prioritized by condition prior to resurfacing. Just resurfacing alone (no preparation, no milling, no casting adjustments, no striping), the cost for a standard 24 foot wide, two lane street is approximately \$100,110 /mile. Adding milling brings the cost up to \$134,350/ mile.					
	Presently, the City maintains 268 miles of streets with a useful life of about 12 years, depending on traffic and original construction. At that point, streets begin a rapid deterioration resulting in increased maintenance cost, poor ride quality, and increased hazardous to the public. At a proposed funding level of \$200,000 for annual resurfacing we are falling significantly behind. Even at a funding level of \$500,000 per year, the cycling rate for resurfacing is up to 72 years for 268 miles of road assuming they are all two lane roads.						
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this project request is operating necessity to maintain streets in safe and smooth condition with minimum cost. Without this project, surface deterioration will accumulate and necessitate reconstruction of the entire street at a cost significantly higher than that of periodic resurfacing.						
	No alternatives we	ere considered.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		operational costs	exponentially each	succeeding year.	ailure to resurface . Costs will can ex ing.		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	Ŧ				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	2	3	1				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
GENERAL FUND	\$ 300,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
FUND 15 POWELL	200,000	225,000	265,000				
FUND 37 SW			-				
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,190,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Sidewalk Constr	uction Project				
		<u> </u>]
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works - E	ngineering				
DESCRIPTION:	funds will be used prioritized, and wil sidewalk for const	I other roadways of to construct sidev I be completed as ruction.	do not have sidewa walks along City ar funds permit. The	alks to provide saf nd State System ro city Council has	e travel ways for p badways. Projects prioritized approxin	edestrians. These are evaluated and mately 33.5 miles of
	The requested funding level will allow installation of approximately 5,000 linear feet of sidewalks in the year they are funded depending on location, site considerations and costs.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this program is to improve pedestrian safety, community character and appeal, as well as to encourage walking as a viable alternate means of transportation. This project is eligible for Powell Bill funding.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This program wou to additional sidev			d operation costs b	by approximately \$	5,650 per year due
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	3		2			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL	150,000		150,000			
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	PROJECT COST:	\$ 300,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Traffic Calming						
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund Traffic Calming requests. The City of Greenville's <i>Neighborhood Traffic Calming Guidelines</i> were approved by City Council in December 2008. These guidelines are used to review new requests for traffic calming devices. Based on the success of projects in various neighborhoods, new requests for traffic calming have been flowing in for more work in other neighborhoods. Currently, the following locations have requested traffic calming and are scheduled for evaluation in FY 12-13 and construction in FY 12-13 (subject to available resources and funding): S. Wright Road						
		McClellan Street Dellwood Drive Ellsworth Drive					
	Upon evaluation, eligible projects will be completed in the order that the requests were received and based on available funds.						
JUSTIFICATION:	vehicle speeds an	The primary justification of this program is to improve public safety and neighborhood character by reducing vehicle speeds and volume. The alternative to these projects is to reduce speed limits and increase enforcement activity.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	These projects wil will not increase o			nd will be installed	by consultants an	d contractors and	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY		1		2			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL		15,000		15,000			
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							

	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS			SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		1		2		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL		15,000		15,000		
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 30,000

PROJECT TITLE:	9th Street Rehab	ilitation				
	Dublic Marke F					1
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works - E	ngineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This project will consist of full depth repairs in critical locations, milling and resurfacing 9th Street from Evans Street to Dickinson Avenue.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Existing 9th Street is severely cracked and unable to withstand significant volumes of traffic. With the forthcoming construction of the 10th Street Connector project, this section of 9th Street will most likely see an increase in traffic for the duration of the project. Milling and resurfacing will enable 9th Street to withstand this increased traffic volumes.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will maintenance and					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		2				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL		115,000				
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 115,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Intermodal Trans	portation Center					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Tra	nsit					
DEFARIMENT.		insit					
DESCRIPTION:	This project will fund the design and construction of the Intermodal Transportation Center. This project constructs a complex that provides one location for intercity buses, taxi service, GREAT, PATS, ECU Transit and shuttle buses to drop off, exchange and pickup passengers. The location will be accessible to Uptown Greenville area and ECU. The intent is not to construct a bus station but to construct a support center for public transportation users that is a gateway for the City. This will allow for a more smooth and seamless transportation system for the public. The funding for this project is 80% Federal, 10% State and 10% Local dollars. Public/Private partnerships will be encouraged to offset construction and operational costs. Anticipated Funding for this project (shown below) is Federal (\$6,545,600) State (\$818,200) Local (\$818,200). Grant funds already secured amount to \$2,867,772.						
			FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL		
	Design/Land Acquis	ition	1,581,537	197,692	197,692		
	Construction	Construction 6,545,600			818,200		
		TOTAL	8,127,137	1,015,892	1,015,892	10,158,921	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	modes in the community. The Center will also provide a gateway into the City for public transportation users that reflects the future of Greenville. The project if not funded will delay the City's ability to develop a public transportation system that also supports riders of choice. The estimated annual operating cost associated with the building is \$148,250. The costs of operating this building will be included as part of the federal program that reimburses the City for 50% of its net losses.						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 1,685,618		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 235,252	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTL	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	1		1				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 363,057	\$-	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT			8,110,246				
BOND			.,, <u> </u>				
	1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 10,158,921	

PROJECT TITLE:	Transit Maintena	nce Lift				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Tra	Insit				
DESCRIPTION:	The project is to replace the existing in-ground lift in the Transit section of Fleet Maintenance Shop. Fleet Maintenance is recommending to replace the existing in-ground unit with a ECO-90 (90,000 LBS) in-ground lift. This unit will be a three post lift section each section rate at 30,000 lbs. with one section fixed and two moving section with a travel range of 120 inches each.					
JUSTIFICATION:	The existing lift ca age, staff anticipa					0,000. Based on the
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Installation of the	new lift will reduce	repair costs asso	ciated with the pre	sent lift.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$-
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	2					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 24,500	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT	220,500					
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 245,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Transit Buses (G	REAT)					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Tra	neit					
		linoit					
DESCRIPTION:	This project will add two 35-foot hybrid electric buses to expand transportation services for the Greenville Area Transit System (GREAT). Two expansion buses will be ordered in FY13-14 with delivery anticipated in FY14- 15. New service would begin in FY14-15. Funding for this request is 80% Federal, 10% State, 10% Local Dollars. These buses are heavy duty hybrid electric transit buses. The impact of additional service will be more riders for GREAT, an increase in public transit service, and more mobility options for residents. Funding Total for two buses = \$950,000 (80% federal \$760,000) (10% state \$95,000) (10% local \$95,000)						
JUSTIFICATION:	grows and the price	e of fuel increase	s. Additionally serv	vice expansion will	allow for route mo	vill expand as the City odifications to serve 50,000 passenger	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	The expansion bu the hiring of two a for 50% federal su	dditional full time t					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 35,136				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$135,000	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE			
	· · · · · ·					1	
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY		1		1			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$ 95,000	\$-	\$ 120,000	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL		, -					
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT		855,000		1,080,000			
BOND				,,->-			
	I			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,150,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Upgrade Trash Truck to Knuckle Boom Loader					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Sa	nitation				
DESCRIPTION:	The proposed Vehicle Replacement Program for FY 12-13 includes the replacement of a trash truck. The trash truck is a flat bed truck with dump capabilities(Vehicle # 4912). The Sanitation Division intends to replace the trash truck with a knuckle boom loader. Knuckle boom loaders are used now to collect vegetation. The Division has a limited use for trash trucks and only needs one. The Vehicle Replacement Program has available within the fund approximately \$30,000 to purchase the replacement, but the knuckleboom loader will be approximately \$120,000. This request funds the \$90,000 that must be budgeted in the Capital Improvement Program to upgrade to a knuckle boom loader.					
JUSTIFICATION:	This Division converted to knuckle boom loaders for vegetation collection and now utilizes the loader and one refuse collector and a driver to collect vegetation. This operation no longer utilizes trash trucks to perform vegetation collection as it is more efficient with a loader.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:						el costs. The knuckle- oading refuse truck.
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 5,000				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$5,000
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	1					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL SANITATION	00.000					
GRANT	90,000					
BOND						
	ıl				ROJECT COST:	\$ 90.000

PROJECT TITLE:	Public Works Sa	nitation Division	Vehicle/Equipme	ent Parking Area			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Sa	nitation					
DESCRIPTION:	Repair the Sanitation Division's Vehicle Parking Areas in the Public Works yard. The areas will be dug down to the subgrade, new base installed, and the area resurfaced.						
JUSTIFICATION:	The Sanitation Division's parking area has failed in many areas. This project will provide a safe work surface for employees and minimize water and pollutants penetrating into the base and subgrade under the pavement which worsens the problem. Additionally, it minimizes repair costs that will grow in the future as the asphalt deteriorates.						
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	This parking area damage, tempora			peration but will pr	revent possible fut	ure equipment	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
						1	
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	2						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL			-	-			
SANITATION	180,000						
GRANT							
BOND							
L	ı			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 180,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Automated Sanit	ation Refuse Loa	ıder			
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Sa	nitation				
DESCRIPTION:	Replace one semi-automated rear loading refuse truck with one fully automated refuse truck. The crew size for the City's semi-automated rear loader is two (2) collectors and one (1) driver while fully automated refuse trucks require one (1)driver and a maximum of (1) collector. Some cities utilize a two person crew on the truck and others utilize only the driver. The Division plans to utilize this truck for garbage collection in areas with a high concentration of curbside customers. Estimated cost of the fully automated truck is \$300,000 while a semi-automated refuse truck is \$130,000.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Curbside custome Division to implem curbside custome	ent efficiencies as	sociated with curk	oside collection in		
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	The purchase of the personnel by one truck that is in the automated truck (\$175,000.	position for a savi FY 13-14 propose	ngs of approximate ed Vehicle Replace	ely \$40,000 per y ement Fund (VRF	ear. This truck will). The difference in	replace a garbage
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	• •,•••				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ 35,000 \$ -
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		1				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
SANITATION		175,000				
GRANT		- ,				
BOND						
	ı			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 175,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Covered Wash Pit with Steam Cleaners					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Sa	nitation				
DESCRIPTION:	This building will be a metal prefabricated building with a masonry facade to match other buildings on the Public Works complex. This facility will provide a cover over the area Sanitation Division presently utilizes to wash their vehicles at the end of each day. The cover will allow the crew to clean their vehicle in any weather condition. The cover will also prevent rain water from entering into the oil water separator system and the sanitary sewer system. This facility would also be outfitted with a steam cleaning system to allow for more thorough cleaning of our Sanitation equipment. The building will allow the City to comply with the Stormwater Best Management Practices for the Public Works facility operations.					
JUSTIFICATION:	This facility will all of the sanitary sev	•		-	•	eping rainwater out d.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This facility will inc	rease the Utility a	nd Maintenance c	ost of the Sanitatio	on Division by \$ 5,	000 per year.
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 5,000				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY			1			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
SANITATION			300,000			
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL	ROJECT COST:	\$ 300.000

PROJECT TITLE:	Watershed Maste	er Plans					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering					
DESCRIPTION:	master plans addr programmatic nee evaluates and stu capital projects the will also assist with will be utilized to c	ess both water qu ds to effectively m dies specific water at eliminate or red n developing prog letect illicit dischar construction activ	ality and quantity. nanage the City's s rsheds within the (uce existing and fur rams for water qua ges and to evalua vities within the ba	The plans also w stormwater system City's jurisdiction. uture drainage, flou ality monitoring and the the impact of ne sin areas. The su	ill prioritize capital A Watershed M These plans will id oding, and water of d flow measureme w development a	aster Plan (WMP) lentify stormwater juality problems. It nts. This information nd redevelopment	
	Lower GMR Middle GMR Upper GMR Hardee Creek Schoolhouse Branch Johnson Mill /	<u>FY 12/13</u> \$423,000	<u>FY 13/14</u> \$345,000	<u>FY 14/15</u> \$351,000	<u>FY 15/16</u> \$126,000 \$328,000	<u>FY 16/17</u>	
	Parkers Creek	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4054 000	<u> </u>	\$414,000	
	Total	\$423,000	\$345,000	\$351,000	\$454,000	\$414,000	
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u> OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	To comply with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) MS4 Phase II permit requirements, the City is required to identify stormwater controls and improve stormwater quality. Watershed Master Planning will assist in meeting these requirements and will provide a means to prioritize capital and programmatic needs for effective stormwater management within the City. The Engineering Division stormwater operating budget will increase by \$31,000 per year for engineering staff to maintain the inventory, update the GIS information and maintain the water quantity monitoring equipment. If						
	this project is appl maintenance, traff	roved, the City will ic control associat area and for the de	save the funds as ted with failed syst	ssociated with a re tems, and property	duced amount of r damage. Funds		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$31,000	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 368,255		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 204,455	
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS		SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY	1	1	1	1	1		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 351,000	\$ 454,000	\$ 414,000		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW	423,000	345,000					
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,355,255	

PROJECT TITLE:	Stormwater Eme	rgency Repairs				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would provide funds for emergency repairs to the City's storm drainage system that cost more than \$35,000 and less than \$100,000. Older sections of the system have deteriorated to a point that these sections can fail without warning thus requiring immediate repair or replacement. Typically these projects are designed in-house but the work is contracted due to their size and complexity.					
JUSTIFICATION:	This project is req system.	uired to maintain p	oublic safety and t	o maintain the inte	grity of the City's s	stormwater drainage
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will savings due to the					proved there will be damage.
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY FUNDS APPROVED TO I	\$- \$-	\$-		<u>Total Ope</u>	erating Savings erating Increase NDED TO DATE:	\$-
TUNDS AFTROVED TO I		φ -		FUNDS EXPER	DED TO DATE.	р -
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	2	4	3	5	2	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW	76,864	81,458				
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 458,322

PROJECT TITLE:	Stream Bank Sta	bilization				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	aineerina				
DEFARIMENT.	FUDIC WORKS/EII	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:	In an effort to add	ress these concer	ns, the City develo		nhancement Prog	
	City for eroded str costs associated v	The Stream Bank Stabilization project provides an avenue for property owners to apply for funding from the City for eroded stream banks located on private property. The project can provide up to 100% funding for the costs associated with bank stabilization measures. If no projects are funded or if there is a remaining balance for projects constructed within a fiscal year, the account balance for this project will be rolled over from year to year.				
JUSTIFICATION:	This project is part of the overall Stream Enhancement Program developed in 2011 to address the community concerns over property loss and stream degradation due to in stream erosion. A criteria system is used to prioritize the projects based on need and cost. If this program is not funded, stream banks will continue to erode resulting in a loss of private property, a possible threat to structures, and an increased sediment load in the stream.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	The City will assess projects for eligibility; categorize projects as either bank stabilization or stream restoration; and prioritize those that are bank stabilization. Additionally, City Staff will develop preliminary cost estimates for bank stabilization projects, survey, design, and acquire permits for the project. Annual staff time to complete this work is approximately \$10,000. Once the staff level work has been completed, the City will manage the construction contracts. The City will maintain the project area for the life of the project. This includes but is not limited to periodic inspections and repairs as needed. Anticipated annual maintenance costs are \$5,000.00.					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 5,000				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ 75,000
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	3	5	4	6	3	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW	50,000	50,000				
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL		¢ 675.000
					ROJECT COST:	\$ 675,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Stream Restorati	on				
DEDADTMENT.	Public Works/En	aincorina				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering				
DESCRIPTION:		ort to address thes	e concerns, the C	ity developed the S	Stream Enhancem	
	The Stream Restoration project provides an avenue for property owners to apply for assistance from the City for eroded stream banks located on private property. The project provides a tool to evaluate and rank projects indentified as Stream Restoration. These projects will be funded with grants from State and Federal Agencies. This request provides the matching funds for any grants received. The City only provides any necessary cost share for any grants awarded. If no projects are funded or if there is a remaining balance for projects constructed within a fiscal year, then the account balance for this project will be rolled over to the next year. Sufficient funds may be accumulated over time to fund a stream restoration project.					
JUSTIFICATION:	concerns over pro	perty loss and stre	eam degradation of	• •	osion. If these pro	ress the community jects are not funded, e stream.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	If this program is funded and will ind point that somethi	crease the cost of				ion project will not be inding area to the
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$5,000
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
	[APPROPRIAT]
	L					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	4	6	5	7	4	
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ 76,000	\$ 76,000	\$ 126,000	\$ 126,000	\$ 126,000	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW	50,000	50,000				
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 630,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Public Works Yard - Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan					
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	aineerina				
		gg				
DESCRIPTION:	This project will build a facility to store the sand/salt mixture that is used for snow removal operations which will protect the sand/salt mixture from erosion as well as reduce the risk of contamination to stormwater runoff. It would also protect sand and snow removal equipment ensuring the equipment is in good working order when needed for adverse weather conditions. In addition, this project will build a pesticide storage facility and a stormwater management facility. The pesticide storage facility will provide proper containment in the case of a spill and the stormwater management facility will treat runoff from equipment parking areas. The specific projects are identified below and costs of construction will be split across several funds as noted under the appropriation section.					
		Pesticide Storage Stormwater Qualit			\$200,000 \$200,000	
		Sand, Salt and Ec				
JUSTIFICATION:	Works yard. This	plan is required u	nder the City's Na	tional Pollutant Dis	scharge Eliminatio	Plan for the Public n System (NPDES) into the stormwater
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Industrial permit. These projects will reduce the risk of contaminated stormwater entering into the stormwater system thus allowing Public Works to remain in compliance with the Plan by implementing good housekeeping practices to ensure that industrial operations do not impact water quality. This project is not expected to increase personnel requirements, but will increase maintenance costs by \$7,500 per year due to the on-going maintenance of the stormwater quality control facility.					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	. ,				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$7,500
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
						1
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY		2		2		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$ 150,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL				200,000		
FUND 37 SW		250,000		200,000		
FUND SANITATION						
FUND TRANSIT				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 800,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements - Minor						
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Eng	gineering					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund minor repairs and upgrades to the City's storm drainage system. Throughout the city there are aged and deteriorated storm drainage systems that are in need of repair or replacement. Projects planned for construction over the next five years are outlined below. These projects involve staff's evaluation and design of the project however construction of storm drainage infrastructure will be completed by a contractor.						
		Washington Street (9th to 10th Street)\$40,000Moore Road Drainage\$80,000Brook Valley Pipe Replacement (Oxford Road)\$50,000West 5th Street (Thomas Forman Park)\$165,000Moyewood Pond\$75,000McClellan Street Pipe Replacement\$35,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	These projects are required to maintain the stormwater flow, reduce flooding and prevent catastrophic street failures. The alternative to these projects is to allow the problems to go uncorrected and make repairs to deteriorated pipe only when there is a failure. This solution will likely lead to higher repair costs due to related damage to surrounding systems. Localized flooding issues will go un-addressed and will likely worsen as development continues and pipes continue to age.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This project will re requirements. If th of repetitive mainte	nis project is appro	oved there will be	additional savings			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	•				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ \$	- 44,500
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$	-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEC YEA	
PRIORITY		3		3			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 35,000	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL							
FUND 37 SW		410,000					
GRANT							
BOND						¢	445 000

PROJECT TITLE:	Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements - Major						
	Dublic Marke/En						
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/En	gineering					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund major repairs and upgrades to the City's storm drainage system. Throughout the city there are aged and deteriorated storm drainage systems that are in need of repair or replacement. Projects planned for construction over the next five years are outlined below. These projects involve the evaluation, design, and replacement of existing or construction of new storm drainage infrastructure.						
	Recommend fund	Recommend funding these projects through the anticipated FY 2014 bond issuance.					
		Haw Drive Design Town Creek Culve Town Creek Culve	0		\$1,000,000 \$450,000 \$2,550,000		
JUSTIFICATION:	failures. The alte deteriorated pipe of damage to surrou development cont drain to the Town	These projects are required to maintain the stormwater flow, reduce flooding and prevent catastrophic street failures. The alternative to these projects is to allow the problems to go uncorrected and make repairs to deteriorated pipe only when there is a failure. This solution will likely lead to higher repair costs due to related damage to surrounding systems. Localized flooding issues will go un-addressed and will likely worsen as development continues and pipes continue to age. More specifically the 10th Street Connector project will drain to the Town Creek Culvert placing a large demand on a system in poor condition. The City has also been approached by the Airport stating their willingness to participate in the project addressing the Haw Drive drainage system.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will additional savings property damage.					proved there will be control, and	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPEN	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIAT]	
	L						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTL	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY			2	4			
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,450,000	\$ 2,550,000	\$-		
FUND 15 POWELL				·			
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
	·I			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 4,000,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Traffic Signal and Street Sign Software						
DEPARTMENT:			Public Wor	ks/Engineering			
DESCRIPTION:	The City of Green aid the City in the effective planning proactive traffic sig	ability to collect ar and budgeting for	a consultant's deve nd manage critical street sign replac	elopment and/or im data associates w ement and mainte	ith each asset whi nance. Inventories		
	MPO Reimbursen City Portion	nent	\$ 96,000 24,000 \$ 120,000				
JUSTIFICATION:	This software syst	em will allow City	personnel to coun	t, locate, and mon	itor the maintenan	ce and condition of	
	This software system will allow City personnel to count, locate, and monitor the maintenance and condition of the City's sign, signal and controller inventories. Initial data collection will be a part of this effort. Data collected will benefit system inventory for planning purposes (system deficiencies, inventory, etc.)						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Federal funds will The funds necess					cost of \$120,000.	
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-	
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
PRIORITY							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
UNMET	\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
FUND 15 POWELL	-,						
FUND 37 SW							
GRANT							
BOND							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 120,000	

PROJECT TITLE:	Pavement Manag	ement Software	and Inventory			
DEPARTMENT:			Public Wor	ks/Engineering		
DESCRIPTION:	The City of Green aid the City to bet and repair probler	ter allocate resour	ces, preventing pr	oblems through ju	dicious maintenan	
	MPO Reimbursen City Portion	nent	\$ 120,000 30,000 \$ 150,000	-		
JUSTIFICATION:	Data collected will	benefit system in	ventory for plannir	ng purposes (syste	em deficiencies, inv	ventory, etc.)
	Eadard funda will	he weed to even	ut this initiative on	d will be evelople.		
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Federal funds will The funds necess					cost of \$150,000.
DEDOONNEL						
PERSONNEL OPERATING					erating Savings erating Increase	
CAPITAL OUTLAY				<u></u>	<u> </u>	Ŷ
			I			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	\$ 150,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 150,000

PROJECT TITLE:	TRANSIT SHORT RANGE PLAN					
DEPARTMENT:			PUBLIC WO	ORKS/TRANSIT		
DESCRIPTION:	The Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP) is a five year comprehensive operating and capital program for public transportation services provided by Greenville Area Transit (GREAT). The plan will identify and address unmet transit needs in the City and neighboring communities and will include an overview of university and rural general public transit. The plan will provide a guide for improvements in current services and route expansions/modifications along with a financial plan for accomplishing the recommendations. In addition, the plan will develop a detailed set of guidelines to guide the placement of, and amenities at, GREAT bus stops. The plan will also present a vision of what transit will look like in the region over the next 25 years as a planning tool for the future. The City will hire a consultant to develop this plan. Federal and state funds will be used to support this plan which is estimated at a maximum of \$100,000. Federal share at 80% (\$80,000), State share at 10% (\$10,000), and Local share at 10% (\$10,000).					
JUSTIFICATION:	This Short Range Transit Plan is needed in order to plan for the future of public transportation in the City and the Greenville Urban Area and how to meet the increasing demand for transit services. This information will be used to determine where future transit services need to be implemented and help justify these funding requirements. The Plan seeks to learn more about existing transit services with the goal of identifying areas with potential unserved demand and improving service in the future.					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	No operating cost	s to be incurred.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
TRANSIT FUND	\$ 10,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT	90,000					
BOND						
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Transit Bus Was	her				
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Tra	ncit				
DEFARIMENT.		ansit				
DESCRIPTION	This project involves the major repair/rehabilitation of the existing bus washer, which currently does not work properly. This repair/rehabilitation will replace pumps, motors, tanks, lines, and the controlling electrical system. It will extend the useful life of the bus washer 10 years.					
<u>JUSTIFICATION</u>					ey should be wash extremely inefficier	
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	buses will be sign	ificantly cleaner ar	nd the time current	ly spent washing t	a result of this proj he buses by hand the transit operation	will be spent
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ -
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 90,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
TRANSIT FUND	\$ 90,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
				TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 180,000

PROJECT TITLE:	POLICE-FIRE RE	SCUE/HEADQUA	RTER			
DEPARTMENT:			PUBLIC WORK		6	
DESCRIPTION:	building by 14,000) square feet. The ice and the Fire/Re	headquarters was	designed to allow	for expansion of t	the third floor of the he third floor to be administrative office
		Design Construction Furnishings Total	\$275,000 \$3,001,500 <u>\$300,000</u> \$3,576,500			
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justifi would be required					s expansion, we oven to be difficult.
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will	increase maintena	ance expenses an	d contracts approx	timately \$30,000 p	er year.
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ 30,000				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$-	\$ 3,690,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 3,690,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Hop Tyson Road	Improvements						
DEPARTMENT:	Public Works/Engineering							
DESCRIPTION:	Improvements include the addition of a 5 foot wide sidewalk, concrete curb and gutter as well as widening the existing pavement to 28 feet from back of curb to back of curb. The construction includes filling all existing drainage ditches except the main outfall ditch which is parallel to Belvoir Road. Some of the roadside ditches will remain as much shallower swales that will drain to yard inlets. These swales can be easily mowed with a push lawn mower. The yard inlets will convey water into the piped system that otherwise cannot drain into the street.							
		It is invisioned this project would meet the criteria to be funded by the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). Community Development will review FY 2014 CDBG funding to see if request can be funded.						
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u>	Since the City annexed this area staff has recieved several requests to make improvements to the neighborhood. Specifically, to remove the roadside ditches and install curb and gutter. This will create a positive, more aesthetically pleasing appearance for the neighborhood and encourage existing and new residence to invest in the community as a whole.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	This proposal will no costs. Once the pro					e and operational		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase			
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-		
	-		APPROPRIAT	ION SCHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
	\$-	\$ 300,000	\$ 325,000	\$-	\$-			
FUND 15 POWELL								
FUND 37 SW GRANT								
BOND								
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 625,000		

PROJECT TITLE:	STREET LIGHTIN	IG IMPROVEMEN	ITS							
DEPARTMENT:		PUBLIC WORKS - ADDED BY CITY COUNCIL JUNE 14, 2012								
DESCRIPTION:	This project will ad	his project will add street lights to under lit City neighborhoods.								
JUSTIFICATION:	Adequate street li	dequate street lighting is necessary in all areas of the City for citizen safety and well being.								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Unknown at this ti	me.								
PERSONNEL					erating Savings					
OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY				<u>Total Op</u>	erating Increase	\$-				
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS				
PRIORITY										
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
GENERAL FUND	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000		\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND				TOTAL	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100.000				

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

			BUD Ye			PL/ YE/					FUTURE C	IP I	PLAN YEARS					
			2012	2-13		2013	3-14	20	14	- 15	20	015	- 16	2	2016	- 17	TOT	ΓAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		MET	UNMET	M	ET	UNMET	MET		UNMET	MET		UNMET	MET		UNMET	MET	UNMET
•																		
ſ	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT]																
1	Parking Pay Stations (B)	\$	50,000	\$ -	\$	•	\$-	\$-		\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$ 50,000	\$-
2	First Street / Town Common Parking (B)		100,000	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	100,000	-
3	Wayfinding - Phase II (UM)		-	150,000		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	150,000
4	West Greenville Acquisition (UM)		-	500,000		-	500,000		-	500,000		-	-		-	-	-	1,500,000
5	West Fifth Streetscape (UM)		-	1,200,000		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	1,200,000
6	Evans Gateway (B)		-	-	1,50	00,000	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	1,500,000	-
7	Dickinson Avenue Parking (UM)		-	-		-	275,000		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	275,000
8	Dickinson Avenue Streetscape (UM)		-	-		-	150,000		•	1,750,000		-	-		-	-	-	1,900,000
9	Uptown Theatre (UM)	l	-	-		-	-		•	2,000,000		-	-		-	-	-	2,000,000
10	Small Business Incubator (G, UM)		-	-		-	-		•	3,000,000		-	-		-	-	-	3,000,000
11	ACCELA Permitting Software (UM)		-	-		-	-		•	225,000		-	-		-	-	-	225,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$	150,000	\$ 1,850,000	\$ 1,50	00,000	\$ 925,000	\$-		\$ 7,475,000	\$-		\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$ 1,650,000	\$10,250,000

PROJECT TITLE:	Parking Pay Stat	ions Phase II							
DEPARTMENT:	Community Deve	lonment							
DELARTMENT.									
DESCRIPTION:	Although the City Of Greenville continues to promote mass transit through the existing GREAT bus system as well as with the planned Intermodal Transportation Center, passenger vehicles and the associated parking requirements remain an integral part of the urban environment. The installation and use of parking meters is one of a variety of parking management strategies that local governments employ in urban settings, and one that the City of Greenville is utilizing in the Central Business District. Based on the early success of the pilot parking pay station project in the Reade and Cotanche Street area, the City's Redevelopment Commission will install additional parking pay stations along streets in the Central Business District based on recommendations from the City's ongoing Strategic Parking Review.								
JUSTIFICATION:	efficiencies in thei	Parking pay stations provide remote monitoring to enforcement personnel that allow those personnel to gain efficiencies in their operations. The pay stations also provide a limited but important stream of revenue that may be utilized for other parking improvements in the Central Business District.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Parking pay statio	ns require periodio	c maintenance to i	nclude battery rep	lacement and rem	oval of graffiti.			
PERSONNEL					erating Savings				
OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY				<u>Total Ope</u>	erating Increase	\$-			
CAITIAL COTLAT	φ -								
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$ 85,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ 85,000			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
			[[]			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY	1								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-				
FUND 15 POWELL									
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT	50.000								
BOND	50,000			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 50,000			

PROJECT TITLE:	First Street - Tow	n Common Park	ing					
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development							
	community Dore	iopinoni -						
DESCRIPTION:	The adopted Town Common Master Plan calls for reconfiguration of portions of First Street that adjoin the Town Common such that the street is narrowed and the residual portions of the street are utilized to provide on- street parking. While a traffic impact analysis and subsequent design are required, it is expected that such a project might yield between 150 and 250 parking spaces in the corridor.							
JUSTIFICATION:	Other than a few parking spaces near the Town Common boat ramp and several more along the south side of First Street, there are no other City-controlled parking spaces near the First Street corridor that can be used by park visitors or patrons at events such as Sunday in the Park. Future success and usage of the park will depend upon readily available parking. Such parking may also serve to catalyze private development along the First Street Corridor.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Maintenance of purequired in the ear and striping requir	rly years following	completion of the					
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$-		
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-		
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY	2							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-			
FUND 15 POWELL								
FUND 37 SW								
GRANT								
BOND	100,000							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 100.000		

PROJECT TITLE:	Wayfinding Phas	e II								
	Community Development									
DEPARTMENT:	Community Deve									
DESCRIPTION:	One of the key work plans items for the Redevelopment Commission of Greenville during the last two years has been the design of a comprehensive wayfinding system for the City of Greenville. It is expected that installation of the first phase of the system will be completed during the fall of 2011. The second phase of the project will serve to further raise Greenville's profile to visitors by adding entrance signs at key City gateways such as NC 43 near Bells Fork or NC 11 near the industrial park. In addition, the opportunity exists to add information kiosks at locations such as the PGV Airport, Intermodal Transportation Center, and Convention Center which include maps of Greenville with key destinations and other promotional or historical information about the City.									
	FY 2012-13									
	Fabrication & Insta	allation \$150,000								
JUSTIFICATION:	Greenville's new wayfinding system serves several purposes, perhaps none more important than the marketing of key attractions and destinations within Greenville's center city and other districts. As a regional hub for commerce, education, medical services and industry, it is important that visitors to Greenville be able to easily navigate the city and make their way to key destinations. A comprehensive wayfinding system serves just that purpose, as well as providing Greenville with brand identity and a sense of place.									
		There will be limit	ted maintenance of	costs to the system	n in the early years	I Fund and grant , with costs rising in roximately 15 years.				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -				
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-				
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS									
PRIORITY	3									
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017					
	\$ 150,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-					
FUND 15 POWELL										
FUND 37 SW										
GRANT										
BOND					ROJECT COST:	\$ 150.000				

PROJECT TITLE:	West Greenville	Property Acquisi	tion						
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development								
DESCRIPTION:	The Center City - West Greenville Revitalization Plan calls for acquisition of a variety of real estate holdings in the West Greenville Redevelopment area. Specifically, the Plan calls for the purchase at fair market value of commercial properties such as night clubs, convenience stores and other heavy commercial uses that do not conform with the land use patterns recommended within the Revitalization Plan. Although many such properties have been acquired over the last three years through the use of 2004 General Obligation bond funds, additional properties remain to be purchased and those bond funds have been expended.								
	2012-2013	2012-2013							
	Real estate acquis	sition \$500,000							
	2013-2014								
	Real estate acquis	sition \$500,000							
	2014-2015								
	Real estate acquis	sition \$500,000							
JUSTIFICATION:	West Greenville redevelopment projects are planned in conformance with the Center City - West Greenville Revitalization Plan by the Redevelopment Commission of Greenville, with final approval authority resting with the City Council. Projects are outlined each year in the Annual Work Plan of the Redevelopment Commission. The acquisition of nightclubs and heavy commercial uses that encroach on residential development is a key component of West Greenville's continuing revitalization.								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:		e use of general o				y the City Council are ille City Council and			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase	\$- \$-			
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR PLAN YEAR FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS								
PRIORITY	4 2 1								
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
UNMET	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$-	\$-				
FUND 15 POWELL									
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND					ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,500,000			

PROJECT TITLE:	West Fifth Street	scape Phase II (0	Cadillac to Tyson)				
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development							
DESCRIPTION:	The design and construction of functional and aesthetic improvements to streets in West Greenville send a clear signal to residents and investors that West Greenville is ready for positive change. Streetscape improvements include modification of sidewalks and streets to enhance pedestrian safety, lighting improvements, public transit stops, planting of scenic trees and vegetation, storm water improvements, and the potential for civic art projects that celebrate the history and sense of place that make West Greenville special. Planning for the second phase of West Fifth streetscape work from Cadillac Street to Tyson Street including realignment of the W. 5th and Tyson/14th Street intersection got under way during the 2011-2012 fiscal year.							
	FY 2012-13							
	Phase II Streetsca Cadillac Street to	•						
JUSTIFICATION:	serve as the guidi City. Those same right-of-way of We Park. It is importan	Through the use of 04' GO bond funds, the Redevelopment Commission has completed a master plan that will serve as the guiding document for future streetscape improvements in West Greenville and the larger Center City. Those same funds were used for final design and construction of a pilot project that improved the public right-of-way of West Fifth Street from Memorial Drive to Cadillac Street as well as portions of Thomas Foreman Park. It is important that the streetscape planning and construction process continue in accordance with the schedule adopted by both the Redevelopment Commission and the Greenville City Council.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Long term mainter a customary part of the responsibility of	of the City's opera	ting budget. Maint	enance of the road		et lights and signs is /est Fifth Street is		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase			
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-		
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY	5							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
UNMET	\$ 1,200,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-			
FUND 15 POWELL								
FUND 37 SW								
GRANT								
BOND						¢ (000 000		
					ROJECT COST:	\$ 1.200.000		

PROJECT TITLE:	Evans Gateway F	Project						
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development							
DESCRIPTION:	The design and construction of functional and aesthetic improvements to streets in Uptown Greenville sends a clear signal to residents and investors that Uptown Greenville is ready for positive change. Streetscape improvements include modification of sidewalks and streets to enhance pedestrian safety, lighting improvements, public transit stops, planting of scenic trees and vegetation, storm water improvements, and the potential for civic art projects that celebrate the history and sense of place that make Uptown Greenville special. The Evans Gateway project will create and attractive front door for Greenville's Uptown Commercial District at the street's intersection with the new Tenth Street Connector. Design of the Evans Gateway project got under way during FY 2011 - 2012.							
JUSTIFICATION:	Through the use of	of 04' GO bond fur	ds. the Redevelor	oment Commission	has completed a	master plan that will		
OPERATING	serve as the guiding document for future streetscape improvements in West Greenville and the Uptown Commercial District. The Evans Street project will make an important connection at the terminus of the 10th Street Connector Project. This intersection of 10th and Evans Streets will become the most prominent gateway into the Uptown Commercial District bringing customers and other visitors to attractions and businesses in the Uptown.							
BUDGET IMPACT:	a customary part o	or the City's opera	ting budget.					
PERSONNEL	¢			Total On	erating Savings	¢		
OPERATING								
CAPITAL OUTLAY	Ť							
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-		
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY		1						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
UNMET FUND 15 POWELL	\$-	\$-	\$-		\$-			
FUND 37 SW								
GRANT								
BOND	1,500,000							
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,500,000		

PROJECT TITLE:	Dickinson Avenu	e Parking							
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development								
DESCRIPTION:	-	all piece of proper	ty that will accomr	nodate between 2	4-36 vehicle space	cessary to purchase es. The new public enue.			
JUSTIFICATION:	private investment Transportation Ce following the recor	Parking is the lifeblood of commerce in the urban environment. The Dickinson Avenue corridor is poised for private investment following potential public investments to include a Science Center and Intermodal Transportation Center. Much as the 300 and 400 blocks of Evans street blossomed with private investment following the reconfiguration of the public right-of-way in the late 1990's, Dickinson Avenue is likely to follow a similar path once the City provides amenities such as parking and refurbished streetscapes.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Maintenance of public be required in the and striping required	early years followi	ng completion of t			enance funding will s such as resurfacing			
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase				
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY		3							
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
UNMET	\$-	\$ 275,000	\$-	\$-	\$-				
FUND 15 POWELL									
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND				TOTAL	ROJECT COST:	\$ 275,000			

PROJECT TITLE:	Dickinson Avenu	e Streetscape						
		-						
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development							
DESCRIPTION:	The design and construction of functional and aesthetic improvements to streets in Greenville's urban core send a clear signal to residents and investors that Greenville is ready for positive change. Streetscape improvements include modification of sidewalks and streets to enhance pedestrian safety, lighting improvements, public transit stops, planting of scenic trees and vegetation, storm water improvements, and the potential for civic art projects that celebrate the history and sense of place that make Greenville special. Improvements to Dickinson Avenue are called for in the City's adopted Streetscape Master Plan and will extend from Reade Circle to the Tenth Street Connector overpass. 2013 - 2014 Design \$150,000 2014 - 2015 Construction \$1,750,000							
JUSTIFICATION:	serve as the guidi Commercial Distri Dickinson Avenue retail establishme	ng document for fu ct. The City's adop corridor to be train nts and other ente or following compl	uture streetscape oted Center City - nsformed into an e rtainment venues.	improvements in V West Greenville R entertainment distr Much as the Eva	Vest Greenville an evitalization Plan ict comprised of re ns Street refurbish	calls for the staurants, galleries		
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Long term mainter a customary part o			such as bus shelt	ers, plantings, stre	et lights and signs is		
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ -		
				TION SCHEDULE]		
	<u> </u>		AFFRUERIA					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
PRIORITY		4	4					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			
UNMET	\$-	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$-	\$-			
FUND 15 POWELL								
FUND 37 SW								
GRANT								
BOND						•		
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,900,000		

PROJECT TITLE:	Uptown Theatre								
DEPARTMENT:	Community Development								
DESCRIPTION:	Known today as the Park theatre, but historically known as White's or State theatre, this landmark in Greenville's Uptown Commercial District has served as an entertainment venue to generations of citizens since its opening in 1914. The theatre has been idle for the past decade, as it has passed through several ownership groups. The theatre was purchased by the Redevelopment Commission in December of 2008 for the purpose of restoring the theatre and making it available for community use. Prior to a community fundraising process that will lead to ultimate restoration of the theatre, some exterior repairs will be necessary in order to preserve the structure. It is estimated that complete restoration of the theatre will cost \$3 million.								
	FY 2015	FY 2015							
		Comprehensive theatre restoration \$500,000 City Contribution \$1,500,000 Community Fundraising & Grant Funds							
JUSTIFICATION:						nsive Plan, describe			
		the Uptown Commercial District as Greenville's "entertainment center". As such, an operating community theatre building can provide a venue for stage shows, music and other events that are currently unavailable in the area.							
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:					ment, and day to c n periodic mainten				
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ -			
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-			
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS			
PRIORITY			2						
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017							
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,000,000	\$-	\$-				
FUND 15 POWELL									
FUND 37 SW									
GRANT									
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 2,000,000			

PROJECT TITLE:	West Greenville Small Business Incubator											
DEPARTMENT:	Community Deve	lopment										
DESCRIPTION:	In furtherance of c Redevelopment C Development of a creative businesse fledgling companie	ommission has pr business incubato es in diverse indus	ioritized developm or in West Greenvi stries by providing	ent of a small bus ille would support	iness incubator in the creation and d	West Greenville. evelopment of small,						
		\$1,500,00 City Co	ontribution omic Development	Administration Fu	inds							
JUSTIFICATION:	percent, job creati create the highest Greenville will prov	on remains a key percentage of job vide both neighbol ch workers with er a combination of g	priority. Most emp is in the United Sta rhood residents ar mployment created grant funds to inclu	loyment related re ates. Development ad entrepreneurs t d by new business ude those from the	search asserts that t of a small busine hroughout the regi es who get their st economic Develo	art in the incubator.						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Although in the ea to be owned and r			nt, the incubator's	operating plan ma	y call for the building						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-				erating Savings erating Increase							
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-						
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
PRIORITY												
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
UNMET	\$-	\$-	\$ 3,000,000	\$-	\$-							
FUND 15 POWELL												
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND					ROJECT COST:	\$ 3,000,000						

PROJECT TITLE:	ACCELA Govern	ment Software											
DEPARTMENT:	Community Deve	lonment											
DEL AITMENT.	Community Deve	iopinent .											
DESCRIPTION:	Computerized Permitting & Tracking Software is a permit tracking municipal software module for managing all aspects of the municipal and county government building permit process. Building permits include those issued by multiple municipal departments, including Planning, Building, Public Works, and Engineering. This software will use templates to guide the user through the entry of critical information which our organization needs to track accurately. The integrated document management software will provide easy distribution of building permit documents, including drawings and letters of approval from other agencies. GIS is a cornerstone of our municipal software system, allowing users to search, locate, and analyze building permit data through the integrated GIS. Computerized Permitting & Tracking Software will be completely customized to our workflow and permit tracking requirements. Notifications, alerts, stop actions, and automation features will ensure our building permit issuance process is prompt and organized, requiring less paperwork and administrative time for staff members. The licensing software manages business licenses, contractor licenses for permits, liquor licenses, and any other licenses which our department can organize and track. The business license software ensures the timely processing of fees and notices. This software package will include a full range of reports to include monthly and annual, concerning permits statuses and fees collected.												
JUSTIFICATION:	"Computerized Pe by utilizing the flex appropriate perso	kible configuration	of the system to a	issign, schedule, a	ind route assignme								
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	Implementation of staff to concentrat users to interface	e on other plannin	ig functions. In ad			mit reviews allowing departments and							
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase								
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-							
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS							
PRIORITY			5										
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017								
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 225,000	\$ -	\$ -								
FUND 15 POWELL	→ -	~ -	- 220,000	₹	₹								
FUND 37 SW													
GRANT													
BOND													
	1			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 225,000							

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

			BUD YE)GE1 EAR	Г		AN AR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS													
			201	2-13		201	3-14		201	4 - 15			2015	5 - 1	6		201	6 - 17		TOT	AL	
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE		MET	l	UNMET	MET	UN	MET	MET	UNN	ET		MET		UNMET	MET		UNM	ET	MET	U	INMET
-																						
ſ	LIBRARY]																				
1	Main Library Public Elevator (GF,UM)	\$	21,999	\$	4,667	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$ 21,999	\$	4,667
2	Security Cameras (GF)		28,000		-	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-	28,000		-
	Carver Library Roof (GF)		-		-	62,800		-	-		-		-		-		-		-	62,800		-
	SML South Basement (UM)		-		-	-		-	-		-		-		750,000		-		-	-		750,000
ľ	SUBTOTAL	\$	49,999	\$	4,667	\$ 62,800	\$	•	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	750,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 112,799	\$	754,667

PROJECT TITLE:	Sheppard Memo	rial Library Main	Library Public El	evator		
DEPARTMENT:	Sheppard Memo	rial Library				
DEL ANTIMENT.	oneppara memo					
DESCRIPTION:	in operation since time. The library h	the 1960's. No si as maintained a n quipment. Continu	gnificant repairs o nonthly inspection	r upgrades have b and limited mainte	een made to the e enance contract th	his elevator has been levator since that at has extended the a new pump, motor
	The main library h					
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	levels. The elevation pressed, but the elevation pressed, but the elevation patrons alike. Statest to patrons alike. Statest for again that alerts the polistaff are unaware determined that we provide reliable see eventually fail commezzanine level at the pump, motor at the pump.	or no longer provide elevator does not g move to the desire ff know to press the estart or a droppe in and the elevator ce of an emergene of the problem. A e must replace the ervice into the futu inpletely. If this ele- ind the administration k months, the libra dor. If that trend c intil the elevator fa and electrical pane expect reliable and	des reliable service go to the desired flu- ed floor, but does in the button again, and d call, they someti- should engage. A cy. Police arrive a fiter paying for num- e pump, motor and re. We are told by evator is not working tive office areas ex- tive office areas ex- any has expended of ontinues, additional ils completely (white els is a one-time ex- trouble-free operation	e. At times it will c oor. At other times not go all the way ind the elevator will mes do not realize At times they have as a result of the en nerous maintenan d electrical panels y the elevator com ng, staff and patror kcept via stairs.	Irop a call, which n is it experiences a there. This is alarn continue on. Whi- e that they can pre- pressed the emer mergency call from ce service calls, it in this elevator to pany that it is poss is will be unable to ded service related sts will accrue at th i time.) The estimation once the repair or. If the new equip	heans the button is false start, which ming for staff and en patrons ss the button for the gency call button in the elevator, and has been ensure that it will sible the elevator will b access the calls to our elevator he rate of over the cost to replace is made, our patrons poment lasts as long
DEDOONNEL						
PERSONNEL	\$-				erating Savings	
				Total Ope	erating Increase	\$-
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$-					
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	1					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$ 21,999	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
UNMET	4,667	-	-	-	-	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 26,666

PROJECT TITLE:	Install Security Cameras at the Main, East, and Carver Libraries											
DEPARTMENT:	Sheppard Memor	rial Library										
DESCRIPTION:	that we currently c a complete cc installed at the DVR, 1 each power miscellaneous har installed at the	to not have. The p tv system that rec e East and Carver er supply with eme rdware e Main Library sha	proposed security s ords and stores vio Libraries shall be ergency backup, 1 Il be 19 dome colo	system will include deo data, 8 dome color high each 19" color mo or high resolution c	at each location:	2 channel DVR, 1						
JUSTIFICATION:	security at the ma open hours on we to grow as a varie	in library from the ekends. East and ty of security-relat and the high resol	time school reces Carver libraries ha ed incidents occur	ses daily until the lave no security gu ave no security gu at all library locati	ions. Adding this l							
OPERATING												
<u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>	minimal. Equipme operating budget. guards. The cost t year, and does no	ent maintenance a As a result, we w to employ two part t provide coverag er libraries, the co	and the replacement vill not have to pay t-time security gua e during <u>all</u> hours post would exceed \$	nt of broken equip ongoing wages ar rds at the main lib of operation. If we \$28,000 per year. I	ment can be absor nd benefits to hire rary is approximate	additional security ely \$15,500 pear type of coverage at						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY					erating Savings erating Increase							
FUNDS APPROVED TO I	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$						
			400000000000000000000000000000000000000									
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTI	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
PRIORITY	2											
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
GENERAL FUND	\$ 28,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
FUND 15 POWELL												
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT												
BOND												
				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 28,000						

PROJECT TITLE:	Reroof Older Sec	tion of Carver Li	brary			
	Sheppard Memo	rial Library				
DEPARTMENT:	Sheppard Memor	liai Library				
DESCRIPTION:						l experiencing leaks. been out of warranty
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u>	This is the area of that have occurred but it is only a mat materials and she	d have primarily da tter of time before	amaged ceiling tile	es. Minimal damag	e has occurred to	books and shelving,
OPERATING	This project would					
	would also reduce collection is kept.	operating costs b	y avoiding dama	ge to the collectior	n of books and the	shelves on which the
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ 9,000 \$ -
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	3					
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
GENERAL FUND	\$-	\$ 62,800	\$ -	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL			•			
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
	1			TOTAL F	ROJECT COST:	\$ 62,800

PROJECT TITLE:	Sheppard Memo	rial Library South	Basement			
DEDADTMENT.	Shannard Mama	iel Libren (]
DEPARTMENT:	Sheppard Memor	Tal Library				
DESCRIPTION:		d renovated in 200	01, this area of the	e new addition to th	e building was left	y. When the library t unfinished. This
<u>JUSTIFICATION:</u>	to grow in the futu	n library. In 2010, re. The main libra this space is cruci	the number of visi ry accounts over 6 al. The space is n	tors to the main lib 60% of the total nu eeded to allow for	rary totaled 266,7 mber of visitors to	total of 157,344 03. Use is expected the library system, ion for our expanding
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:						ill be maintenance.
	the cost of expans	ion will be much o rugal choice over	heaper than new	construction. Deve	eloping this 6,100	sement of the library, square feet of space s projected to cost in
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	\$ - \$ 3,000
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE]
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	ARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY				4		
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
UNMET	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ -	
FUND 15 POWELL		•	*		Ŧ	
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND						
	ı			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 750,000

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

	BUD Ye)get Ar		AN AR								
	201	2-13	201	3-14	201	4 - 15	201	5 - 16	201	6 - 17	TO	TAL
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET

	CONVENTION & VISITORS AUTHORITY													
1	CVA Office Relocation (G)	\$ 509,250	\$ -	\$ 1,002,750	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ •	\$ -	\$ 1,512,000	\$-	
2	Convention Center Expansion (G)	60,000	-	3,940,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,000,000		-
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 569,250	\$ -	\$ 4,942,750	\$ -	\$ 5,512,000	\$-							

		TROOL				
PROJECT TITLE:	Convention & Vis	sitors Authority C	Office Relocation			
DEPARTMENT:	Greenville-Pitt C	ounty Conventio	n & Visitors Auth	ority		
				0.11.9		
DESCRIPTION:	location in the Upi is anticipated to b six staff; a confere- mini-kitchen; 2 res outdoor seating al The allowance wo	town Greenville dis e approximately 2, ence room; a recep strooms; 4 public p rea; and pet break uld also include fu he new office facil	strict. The office v 500-3,000 square bitonist/display are parking spaces; 6 a area. The potent inding for furniture ity may be new co	vould be funded fro feet. It would incl ea; an area for stor staff parking place ial for temporary r e, fixtures & equipn	lude the following: rage/copier/server; s; 2 handicapped p notorcoach parking	y tax. The building individual offices for a break area with parking spaces; g would be a plus. e Authority's 20-year-
JUSTIFICATION:	facility~To be able Convention Center Visitor's Center ar assist in the revita	e to focus more on er due to our curren and allow more disp ilization of downto	our job as a Conv nt location at the e lay opportunities t wn, a core interest	vention & Visitors / entrance to the fac hat better portray t of the City of Gre	ility~To enhance the dynamics of ou	he front desk of the he aesthetics of the ur community~To ina University, and
OPERATING <u>BUDGET IMPACT:</u>						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$ -				erating Savings erating Increase	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	\$ 183,500		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$-
			APPROPRIA	TION SCHEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	URE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS
PRIORITY	1	1				
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
OCCUPANCY TAX	\$ 509,250	\$ 1,002,750	\$-	\$-	\$-	
FUND 15 POWELL						
FUND 37 SW						
GRANT						
BOND				TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 1,695,500

PROJECT TITLE:	Convention & Vis	sitors Authority (Convention Cente	er Expansion								
		,, .										
DEPARTMENT:	Greenville-Pitt Co	ounty Conventio	n & Visitors Auth	ority								
DESCRIPTION:	and Visitor's Author can accommodate booths. The exhit rooms.	ority (CVÀ). The c e groups of up to 3 oit hall can be sub	current convention 8,000 people, band divided into five s	facility consists of quets for up to 2,4 ections for pre-fun	a 30,000 square f 50 people, or 160 ction space or bre	_						
	-	Carolina facilities t	hat are newer and	more versatile. T	here is a real need							
JUSTIFICATION:	To help keep the market	Greenville Conver	tion Center comp	etitive in the North	Carolina meetings	s and convention						
	To offer groups the facility amenities necessary for a quality experience											
	To give the currer	nt facility a facelift										
	To respond to rea	uests from the cus	stomers over the li	fe of the facility for	r more breakout sp	bace						
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT:	There is no impac Occupancy Tax re		und operating bud	get as Conventior	Center operation	s are supported by						
PERSONNEL OPERATING CAPITAL OUTLAY						\$ \$						
FUNDS APPROVED TO		\$-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DATE:	\$ -						
		Ŧ				Ŧ						
			APPROPRIAT	TION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUT	JRE CIP PLAN YE	EARS	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
PRIORITY	2	2										
FUNDING SOURCE	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017							
	\$ 60,000	\$ 3,940,000	\$-	\$-	\$-							
FUND 15 POWELL												
FUND 37 SW												
GRANT BOND												
	1]			TOTAL P	ROJECT COST:	\$ 4,000,000						

