We, as city employees, pride ourselves in our ability to serve your needs. **CITY of GREENVILE NORTH CAROLINA**









FY 2009 - 2013

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Mission Statement: The City of Greenville is dedicated to providing all citizens with quality services in an open, ethical manner, insuring a community of distinction for the future.









CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP)

FISCAL YEARS 2009 - 2013



Approved by City Council June 12, 2008



This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
TABLE OF CONTENTS	TC- 1
INTRODUCTION	INT- 1
DEPARTMENT SUMMARY	
Total Departmental Summary	TS- 1
FUNDING SUMMARY	
Total Available Funds	F- 1
Summary by Fund 2008 - 2009	F- 2
Summary by Fund 2009 - 2010	F- 5
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	
Information Technology Project Summary	
E-Government On-Line Technologies	IT- 1
Routing and Switching Upgrades and Expansions	IT- 2
Storage Area Network System (SAN)	IT- 3
LAN Telephony	IT- 4
Data Backup and Recovery System	IT- 5
City-Wide Network Infrastructure	IT- 6
AS 400 Computer System Expansion	IT- 7
Geographic Information System City-Wide Wireless	IT- 8 IT- 9
FIRE/RESCUE	
Fire/Rescue Project Summary	
Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Unit & Equipment	FR- 1

Fire/Rescue Station No. 7	FR-	2
Fire Engine For Station No. 7	FR-	3
Fire/Rescue Station No. 5 Traffic Signal	FR-	4
Vehicle Storage Space	FR-	5

Fire/Rescue Station No. 4 Maintenance	FR-	6
Fire/Rescue Station No. 6 Classroom/Community Room	FR-	7
Emergency Vehicle Traffic Light Preemption Program	FR-	8
Fire/Rescue Station No. 8	FR-	9
Fire Engine For Station No. 8	FR-	10
Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Maintenance	FR-	11
Fire/Rescue Technology	FR-	12

FINANCIAL SERVICES

Financial Services Project Summary		
Financial Management System	FS-	1

POLICE

Police Department Project Summary		
Property and Evidence Storage	PD-	1
Mobile Command Post	PD-	2
Public Safety Technology	PD-	3

RECREATION/PARKS

Recreation Department Project Summary		
Bradford Creek Outdoor Restroom/Shelter Facility	RP-	1
Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Restrooms	RP-	2
Park Specific Master Plans	RP-	3
Facility Feasibility Studies	RP-	4
Signage Replacement Project	RP-	5
City Pool Improvements	RP-	6
Drew Steele Center	RP-	7
River Birch Tennis Center Renovations	RP-	8
Play Equipment Renovation and Replacement	RP-	9
Park Maintenance Shelter and Storage Rooms	RP-	10
Gymnasium HVAC Systems	RP-	11
Land Banking Program	RP-	12
H. Boyd Lee Park Parking Lot Expansion	RP-	13
H. Boyd Lee Park Multi-Purpose Fields	RP-	14
Evans Park and Jaycee Park Irrigation System Upgrades	RP-	15
Parking Lot Resurfacing and Paving	RP-	16
Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations	RP-	17
ADA Paved Access Paths	RP-	18

60' Bucket Truck	RP-	19
Backhoe	RP-	20
Ball Field Bleachers	RP-	21
Town Common Bulkhead Feasibility Study and Inspection	RP-	22
Splash Parks	RP-	23
Portable Climbing Wall	RP-	24
Science and Nature Center Irrigation System	RP-	25
Park Land Development	RP-	26
Bradford Creek Soccer Complex, Phase II with Lighting & Parking	RP-	27
Sarah Vaughn Field Lights	RP-	28
River Park North Parking Lot	RP-	29
Boardwalk Trail	RP-	30
Jaycee Park Administration and Arts & Crafts Center Expansion	RP-	31
Climbing Walls/Climbing Rocks	RP-	32
South Greenville Recreation Center Renovations	RP-	33
Eppes Recreation Center Renovations	RP-	34
H. Boyd Lee Park - Phase II	RP-	35
Sports Connection Renovations	RP-	36
Park Development	RP-	37
Participant Identification Card System	RP-	38
Skate Park	RP-	39
Community Center Expansion	RP-	40
Andrew Best Freedom Park	RP-	41
Firetower Road Park Development	RP-	42

PUBLIC WORKS

Public Works Department Project Summary		
Sanitation: Residential Rear Load Refuse Truck	PWD-	1
Buildings/Grounds: Homestead Memorial Gardens' Mausoleum Roof	PWD-	2
Buildings/Grounds: Public Works Admin. Building Flooring Replacement	PWD-	3
Buildings/Grounds: Police - Fire/Rescue Building Maintenance	PWD-	4
Buildings/Grounds: Homestead Memorial Gardens' Administrative Roof	PWD-	5
Buildings/Grounds: Greenwood Cemetery Fence Renovations	PWD-	6
Buildings/Grounds: Public Works Complex Maintenance	PWD-	7
Buildings/Grounds: Greenwood Cemetery Road Renovations	PWD-	8
Engineering: Uptown City Parking Deck	PWD-	9
Engineering: Police - Fire/Rescue Building Improvements	PWD-	10
Engineering: Stantonsburg Road - Tenth Street Connector	PWD-	11
Engineering: Transportation Plan	PWD-	12
Engineering: Tenth Street - Evans Street Intersection Enhancement Project	PWD-	13
Engineering: Tenth Street Streetscape Project	PWD-	14
Engineering: Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase II	PWD-	15

Engineering: Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase III	PWD-	16
Engineering: Frontgate Drive Extension	PWD-	17
Engineering: Thomas Langston Road Extension	PWD-	18
Engineering: Sidewalk Construction Program	PWD-	19
Engineering: Local Transportation Improvements	PWD-	20
Engineering: Traffic Calming	PWD-	21
Engineering: Railroad Crossing Signal Improvements	PWD-	22
Engineering: Street Resurfacing	PWD-	23
Engineering: Replacement of Mast Arm Signal Poles	PWD-	24
Engineering: Oxford Rd Bridge Replacement	PWD-	25
Engineering: King George Rd Bridge Replacement	PWD-	26
Engineering: Storm Drainage Improvement Projects	PWD-	27
Engineering: Storm Drain Repair @ Public Works Facility & Beatty Street	PWD-	28
Engineering: Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements	PWD-	29
Engineering: Stormwater Management	PWD-	30
Engineering: Storm Drainage Retrofit Projects	PWD-	31
Engineering: South Tar River Greenway	PWD-	32
Engineering: Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II, Sections 2 & 3	PWD-	33
Engineering: Parkers Creek Greenway	PWD-	34
Engineering: Street Sweeping Transfer Station	PWD-	35
Engineering: Covered Vehicle Wash Facility	PWD-	36
Engineering: Public Works Yard Resurfacing	PWD-	37
Engineering: Sand, Salt, & Equipment Storage Building	PWD-	38
Fleet: Parts Room Expansion	PWD-	39
Fleet: New Paint/Body Shop Building	PWD-	40
Fleet: Maintenance Garage Roof	PWD-	41
Transit: Buses	PWD-	42
Transit: Intermodal Transportation Center	PWD-	43
Police - Fire/Rescue Caulk Building Exterior	PWD-	44
Work Order/Asset Management Software	PWD-	45
River Park North	PWD-	46

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development Project Summary		
Comprehensive Wayfinding System, Phase III	CD-	1
West Greenville Redevelopment Project	CD-	2
Center City Revitalization	CD-	3
Historic Park Theater Renovation	CD-	4
West 5th Streetscape Design & Construction	CD-	5

LIBRARY

Library Project Summary		
Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement	L-	1
Main Library Roof	L-	2
Radio Frequency Identification System	L-	3
Northwest Branch	L-	4
Southeast Branch	L-	5

VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

Vehicle Replacement Fund Summary	VRF-	1



This page intentionally left blank.

Introduction

The City of Greenville's Capital Improvement Program is a strategic plan, covering fiscal years 2009 through 2013. This plan will describe the Capital Improvement Program, its process, schedule, and funding sources. The following plan analysis will include commentary on total project requests, total "met" project funding allocations, analysis comparing the plan years, and a brief discussion of how funding is allocated among the departments. The main portion of this plan includes summary schedules of the projects for the next five years and detailed project descriptions. The summary schedules note which projects are funded and how they are funded with particular attention to the first two years, the 2008 - 2009 Budget and the 2009 - 2010 Plan, which were approved on June 12, 2008. This plan will be updated in detail biennially.

The Capital Improvement Program

This program oversees the projects and purchases considered "capital." Projects are considered capital if they cost \$10,000 or more and have a useful life of 10 years or more. Equipment is considered capital if it costs more than \$35,000 and has a useful life of at least five years or more.

Process

The Capital Improvement Program is a continual process that begins with an assessment of community needs presented within departmental requests. The Capital Improvement committee reviews all requests before meeting with departments. The committee consists of the City Manager, Assistant City Manager, Director of Financial Services, Financial Services Manager, and Financial Analyst. The committee's recommended plan is submitted to the City Council for inclusion in the two-year budget and annual operating plan.



Committee Meets with Departments

Schedule The Capital Improvement Schedule for Fiscal Year (s) 2009 - 2013:

October 17, 2007	CIP Request Forms given to departments
November 21, 2007	CIP requests due back to Financial Services
December 7 - 14, 2007	CIP Committee meetings with departments
January 26, 2008	Draft CIP Plan presented to City Council
March 10, 2008	Proposed CIP Plan presented to City Council
June 9, 2008	Public Hearing on Fiscal Year 2008 - 2009 Budget and 2009 - 2010 Plan
June 12, 2008	Adoption of CIP Plan in conjunction with the Fiscal Year 2008 - 2009 Budget and 2009 - 2010 Plan

Funding

Capital improvements can be funded in a number of ways.

GENERAL FUND:	GF	The General Fund is established to account for the
		revenues and expenditures in operating the general
		government functions of a nonproprietary nature. This
		fund receives ad valorem tax revenues, state shared
		revenues, licenses, permits, and fees.
POWELL BILL:	PB	State shared revenues allocated specifically for the
		purpose of maintaining streets and thoroughfares.
		This fund is funded through the gasoline tax.
SANITATION FUND:	SF	Enterprise fund for the City's sanitation management
		program. This fund is funded through user fees.
STORMWATER FUND:	SWF	Enterprise fund used to manage the stormwater
		program. This fund is funded through user fees.
VEHICLE	VRF	This fund is used to accumulate funds for the purchase
REPLACEMENT FUND:		of replacement vehicles. This fund is funded through
		quarterly payments from departments with vehicles.
BONDS:	В	This category includes sources such as General
		Obligation Bonds and Certificates of Participation
		(COPS) which are used to fund the larger, more costly
		projects.
CAPITAL RESERVE:	CR	This fund is used to accumulate funds to be used for
		future capital improvements. This fund is funded
		through transfers of excess unrestricted fund balance
		from the General Fund.
GRANTS / NCDOT:	G	Non-City Funds given to the City for projects. The
		largest source of these funds is the North Carolina
		Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and the federal
		government.
LEASE PURCHASE:	LP	City borrowings used mainly for equipment.

Plan Analysis

This analysis will compare the current five-year plan, spanning fiscal years 2009 - 2013, to the previous five-year plan, which was for fiscal years 2007 - 2011, with emphasis on the first two years since the 2008 - 2009 Budget will be adopted and the 2009 - 2010 Plan approved by July 1, 2008.



Total project requests in the 2009 - 2013 Capital Improvement Plan amount to \$142,694,966, which is \$10,470,836, or eight percent more than the previous five-year plan. Overall, of the 2009 - 2013 plan projects, only 38% of requested funding was "met", whereas 50% were in the previous plan. The difference in percentage met exists in the later years of the plans.



The "met" funding for the first two years of the five-year plan actually increased. Total project requests increased \$3,469,975, or six percent during the first two years. However, total met funding increased \$4,911,718, or 13%. This is the result of an emphasis in addressing funding for those projects occurring in the years when funding is addressed in the two-year proposed

budget and annual operating plan. However, identifying future needs is essential so that the priorities for the limited City of Greenville resources can be thoughtfully considered and alternative funding sources such as bonds and grants can be thoroughly researched.

The total project requests during the first plan year only increased \$417,106, or 1.53%. However, the amount of "met" funding increased four percent, or \$772,283. In the second plan year, 2009 - 2010, total requested funding increased almost ten percent, \$3,052,869; the amount of "met" funding increased 23%, or \$4,139,435. There are several reasons for the fluctuations in "met" funding levels.

During the first plan year, the major decreases, as a percentage of total funding, were bonds (10%) and capital reserve (10%), while grant / North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) funding increased 14%. Bonds are approved for a set amount and can only be replenished by issuing more bonds. The capital reserve is replenished after the audit is complete at the end of each fiscal year. If the undesignated fund balance is larger than 14% of the current year's budget, then that excess amount is transferred to the capital reserve. When these funds are transferred, they are usually designated toward already identified project needs. These funds are allowed to accumulate until there are sufficient funds to begin the project. So, capital reserve use will fluctuate widely as projects come on-line. The increase in grant/NCDOT funding represents the \$1 million grant for the Drew Steele Center and \$2 million for the South Tar River Greenway and \$3 million for the Intermodal Transportation Center. NCDOT funding will fluctuate as Funding for transportation projects reimbursable projects come on-line. generally includes a combination of federal, state and local funds with the percentage of contribution by each source varying by project.



During the second plan year, the major decreases were again in capital reserve (17%) and bonds (11%) for the same reasons as stated above. The major increase was also in the same funding source, grants/NCDOT (29%). This increase represents \$5.4 million for the Intermodal Transportation Center, \$3.5 million for the Stantonsburg Rd - Tenth St Connector project, and almost two million for two greenway projects.



There are seven departments/funds with capital improvement projects:

CD	Community Development
F/R	Fire / Rescue
IT	Information Technology
PD	Police Department
PW	Public Works
R&P	Recreation & Parks
VRF	Vehicle Replacement Fund

As shown in the above pie charts, Public Works and Community Development are the departments whose projects require the greatest amount of funding. However, these projects also generally have the largest amount of grant funding.

In the following section is a summary of all capital improvement project requests by department as well as a summary of funding sources for the first two plan years. Each project mentioned in these summaries has its own page detailing the project. These sheets are organized by department and then by department priority.



This page intentionally left blank.

TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDS SUMMARY

	Budget Year		Plannin	ig Years		
REVENUE SOURCES	2008 - 2009	2009 - 2010	2010 - 2011	2011 - 2012	2012 - 2013	TOTAL
General Fund	1,548,905	900,000	419,000	-	-	2,867,905
Powell Bill	1,355,000	1,655,000	405,000	375,000	375,000	4,165,000
Capital Reserve	705,000	545,195	-	859,000	920,565	3,029,760
						10 107 500
Bonds	8,020,833	4,241,667	875,000	-	-	13,137,500
Grants	7,049,667	11,582,333			-	18,632,000
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	11,002,000				10,002,000
Sanitation Fund	125,000	130,000	-	-	-	255,000
Stormwater Fund	2,040,000	1,675,000	535,000	-	-	4,250,000
Vehicle Replacement Fund	852,400	1,217,300	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	7,169,700
	1			ſ		
TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDS	21,696,805	21,946,495	3,934,000	2,934,000	2,995,565	53,506,865



			SUMMARY OF C	APITAL IMPROVE	MENT REQUES	TS BY DEPARTME	INTS					
	BUD	CET	PL					PLAN YEARS				
	YEA		YE				FUTURE CIP	PLAN TEAKS				
	2008			9-10		0-11	2011-12		2012-13		TO	
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY												
1 E-Government On-Line Technologies (GF,UM)	62,400		46,000	19,600	40,000	27,600		48,600		46,400	148,400	142,200
2 Routing and Switching Upgrades / Expansion (GF,UM)	58,000	7,000	62,500	25,000	25,000	130,000		155,000		35,000	145,500	352,000
3 Storage Area Network System (SAN) (GF,UM)	45,000	119,500	30,000	,		30,000		30,000		30,000	75,000	209,500
4 LAN Telephony (GF,UM)	30,000	123,000	50,000	175,000	39,000	181,000		25,000		40,000	119,000	544,000
5 Data Backup and Recovery System (GF,UM)	31,600	53,400	30,000	30,000		30,000		30,000		30,000	61,600	173,400
6 City-Wide Network Infrastructure (GF,UM)	32,000	95,000	25,000	111,000	40,000			35,000		40,000	97,000	281,000
7 AS 400 Computer System Expansion (GF,UM)	15,000	47,200		65,000	50,000	15,000				250,000	65,000	377,200
8 Geographic Information System (GF,UM) 9 City-Wide Wireless (IJM)		240,000	25,000	60,000 1,750,000	40,000	25,000		235,000 75,000		45,000 50,000	65,000	605,000 3,375,000
9 City-Wide Wireless (UM) SUBTOTAL	\$274,000	\$685,100	\$268,500	\$2,235,600	\$234,000	\$1,938,600	\$0	\$633,600	\$0	\$566,400	\$776,500	\$6,059,300
SUBTUTAL	\$274,000	\$065,100	\$206,500	\$2,233,000	\$234,000	\$1,930,000	\$U	\$033,000	\$U	\$300,400	\$770,500	\$0,039,300
FIRE/RESCUE												
1 Emergency Medical Services Unit & Equipt.(UM)		265,000									-	265,000
2 Fire/Rescue Station No. 7 (CR,UM)	110,000			1,250,000							110,000	1,250,000
3 Fire Engine For Station No. 7 (UM)				735,000								735,000
4 Fire/Rescue Station No. 5 Traffic Signal (GF,UM)			40,000								40,000	-
5 Vehicle Storage Space (UM)		150,000		150,000							-	300,000
6 Fire/Rescue Station No. 4 Maintenance (UM) 7 Fire/Rescue Station No. 6 Classroom (B,UM)	300,000	250,000		88,300							- 300,000	88,300 250,000
7 Fire/Rescue Station No. 6 Classroom (B,UM) 8 Emergency Vehicle Traffic Light Program (UM)	300,000	125,400		99,000		72,600		79,200		59,400	300,000	435,600
9 Fire/Rescue Station No. 8 (CR,UM)	220,000	125,400		125,000		1,060,000		77,200		37,400	220,000	1,185,000
10 Fire Engine For Station No. 8 (UM)	220,000			125,000		920,000	· · · ·		· · · · ·		-	920,000
11 Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Maintenance (GF)	76,151										76,151	-
12 Fire/Rescue Technology (GF,UM)	26,000		31,500	13,500	30,000	25,000		20,000		35,000	87,500	93,500
SUBTOTAL	\$732,151	\$790,400	\$71,500	\$2,460,800	\$30,000	\$2,077,600	\$0	\$99,200	\$0	\$94,400	\$833,651	\$5,522,400
FINANCIAL SERVICES												
1 Financial Management System								3,000,000				3,000,000
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000
SOBIOTILE	φu	40	÷	 	¢0	 	4 0	\$3,000,000	4 0	ΨŬ	\$ 0	\$0,000,000
POLICE												
1 Property and Evidence Storage (GF)			100,000								100,000	-
2 Mobile Command Post (UM)						150,000					-	150,000
3 Public Safety Technology (GF,UM)		* 2	* 100.000	* 0	45,000	\$150.000	**	45,000	*0	75,000	45,000	120,000
SUBTOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$45,000	\$150,000	\$0	\$45,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$145,000	\$270,000
RECREATION/PARKS												
1 Bradford Creek Restroom/Shelter Facility (UM)				225,000							-	225,000
2 Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Restrooms (CR)	150,000										150,000	-
3 Park Specific Master Plans (UM)		50,000		50,000							-	100,000
4 Facility Feasibility Studies (UM)		60,000		40,000							-	100,000
5 Signage Replacement Project (UM)		65,000		35,000							-	100,000
6 City Pool Improvements (UM)	4 000 000	80,000									-	80,000
7 Drew Steele Center (G) 8 River Birch Tennis Center Renovations (GF)	1,000,000 55,000										1,000,000 55,000	-
 9 Play Equipment Renovation and Replacement (GF,UM) 	194,010	15.000	85,000	15,000	110.000			120,000		130,000	389.010	280.000
10 Park Maintenance Shelter and Storage Rooms (UM)	174,010	40,000	05,000	13,000	110,000			120,000		130,000		40,000
11 Gymnasium HVAC Systems (CR,UM)	200,000	,		200,000							200,000	200,000
12 Land Banking Program (UM)	,	200,000		200,000		200,000		200,000		200,000	-	1,000,000
13 H. Boyd Lee Park Parking Lot Expansion (UM)		325,000									-	325,000
14 H. Boyd Lee Park Multi-purpose Fields (UM)		410,000									-	410,000

				SUMMARY OF C	APITAL IMPROVE	MENT REQUES	TS BY DEPARTME	NTS					
		BUD	GET	PLA				FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
		YE	AR	YE4	AR								
		2008	3-09	2009	-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
	RECREATION/PARKS (Cont.)											-	-
	Evans Park and Jaycee Park Irrigation System (UM)		75,000				== ===					-	75,000
16	Parking Lot Resurfacing and Paving (CR,UM)	25,000	30,000		20, 200		50,000				100,000	25,000	180,000
17 18	Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations (GF,UM) ADA Paved Access Paths (GF)	10,525 40.000			29,300							10,525 40,000	29,300
18	60' Bucket Truck (UM)	40,000	110,000									40,000	110,000
20	Backhoe (UM)		110,000				50,000						50,000
21	Ball Field Bleachers (UM)		55,000		55,000		50,000					-	110,000
22	Town Common Bulkhead Study and Inspection (B)	50,000		500,000								550,000	-
23	Splash Parks (UM)		200,000								200,000	-	400,000
24	Portable Climbing Wall (UM)		40,000									-	40,000
25	Science and Nature Center Irrigation System (UM)				40,000							-	40,000
26	Park Land Development (UM)						200,000		1,000,000		500,000	-	1,700,000
27	Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Lighting (UM)		136,000		247,300		163,200					-	546,500
28	Sarah Vaughn Field Lights (UM)		65,000									-	65,000
29	River Park North Parking Lot (UM)										300,000	-	300,000
30	Boardwalk Trail (UM)				4 200 000				70,000			-	70,000
31 32	Jaycee Park Arts & Crafts Center Expansion (UM)		70,000		1,200,000							-	1,200,000 70,000
32	Climbing Walls/Climbing Rocks (UM) South Greenville Rec. Center Renovations (UM)		70,000						320,000		5,380,000		5,700,000
34	Eppes Recreation Center Renovations (UM)				1,000,000		5,500,000		320,000		5,360,000		6,500,000
34	H. Boyd Lee Park - Phase II (UM)				1,000,000		3,300,000		3,000,000			-	3,000,000
36	Sports Connection Renovations (UM)						276,000		5,000,000			-	276,000
37	Park Development (UM)						270,000		400,000			-	400,000
38	Participant Identification Card System (UM)								320,000			-	320,000
39	Skate Park (UM)						350,000					-	350,000
40	Community Center Expansion (UM)										1,500,000	-	1,500,000
41	Andrew Best Freedom Park	102,240										102,240	-
42	Firetower Road Park Development	88,700										88,700	-
	SUBTOTAL	\$1,915,475	\$2,026,000	\$585,000	\$3,336,600	\$110,000	\$6,789,200	\$0	\$5,430,000	\$0	\$8,310,000	\$2,610,475	\$25,891,800
	PUBLIC WORKS												
1	Residential Rear Load Refuse Truck (LP,SF)	125,000		130,000								255,000	-
2	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Mausoleum Roof (GF)	35,000										35,000	-
3	Administration Building Flooring Replacement (UM)						35,000						35,000
4	Police - Fire/Rescue Building Maintenance (GF)	143,324										143,324	-
5	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Admin. Roof (GF)	50,000										50,000	-
6	Greenwood Cemetery Fence Renovations (UM)						80,500					-	80,500
7	Public Works Complex Maintenance (GF,UM)	35,000	15,000									35,000	15,000
8	Greenwood Cemetery Road Renovations (GF)	35,000										35,000	-
9	Uptown City Parking Deck (CR,UM)							859,000	2 524 502	920,565	6,479,435	1,779,565	6,479,435
10	Police-Fire/Rescue Building Improvements (UM)	700.000		E 200 000					3,526,500		4 200 000	-	3,526,500
11 12	Stantonsburg Road-10th Street Connector Transportation Plan (G,GF,UM)	700,000 50,000		5,300,000 50,000			130,000		5,000,000 145,000		4,300,000 160,000	6,000,000 100,000	9,300,000 435,000
12	Tenth Street-Evans Street Intersection (UM)	50,000		50,000			130,000		145,000		102,500	100,000	287,500
13	Tenth Street Streetscape Project (UM)		· · · · ·				· · · ·		192,000		275,000		467.000
15	Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase II (CR,UM)		·	245,195	474,805				172,000		273,000	245,195	474,805
16	Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase III (UM)			,					681,250		2,325,000	,	3,006,250
17	Frontgate Drive Extension (UM)				341,000		874,000		,		,,	-	1,215,000
18	Thomas Langston Road Extension (B)	2,660,000										2,660,000	-
19	Sidewalk Construction Program (PB)	375,000		375,000		375,000		375,000		375,000		1,875,000	-
20	Local Transportation Improvements (PB,UM)	100,000	400,000		310,000		160,000		300,000			100,000	1,170,000
21	Traffic Calming (PB,UM)	30,000		30,000		30,000			30,000		30,000	90,000	60,000
22	Railroad Crossing Signal Improvements (PB)	50,000										50,000	-
23	Street Resurfacing (PB,UM)	650,000	150,000	900,000			1,000,000		1,200,000		1,200,000	1,550,000	3,550,000
24	Replacement of Mast Arm Signal Poles (PB,UM)	150,000	50,000	200,000			200,000					350,000	250,000

			SUMMARY OF C	APITAL IMPROV	EMENT REQUES	TS BY DEPARTM	IENTS					
	BUD	CET.	DI	AN				PLAN YEARS				
	YE			AN AR			FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
	2008	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11	2011-12		201	2-13	TO	TAL
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
PUBLIC WORKS (Cont.)												
25 Oxford Rd Bridge Replacement (PB,UM)			75,000			425,000					75,000	425,000
26 King George Rd Bridge Replacement (PB,UM)			75,000					430,000			75,000	430,000
27 Storm Drainage Improvement Projects (B)	1,898,000										1,898,000	-
28 Storm Drain Repair @ Beatty Street (SWU)	420,000										420,000	-
29 Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements (SWU)	610,000		1,135,000								1,745,000	-
30 Stormwater Management (SWU, UM)	450,000		475,000		300,000			300,000		300,000	1,225,000	600,000
31 Storm Drainage Retrofit Projects (SWU)	80,000		65,000		235,000						380,000	-
32 South Tar River Greenway (G)	2,200,000										2,200,000	-
33 Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II (G)	150,000		1,050,000	0.11.000							1,200,000	-
34 Parkers Creek Greenway (G,UM)	180,000		874,000	246,000			l		·		1,054,000	246,000
35 Street Sweeping Transfer Station (SWU)	180,000									250.000	180,000	-
36 Covered Vehicle Wash Facility (UM)	200.000	400.000								350,000	-	350,000
37 Public Works Yard Resurfacing (UM,SF,SWU)	300,000	100,000				250.000					300,000	100,000
38 Sand, Salt, & Equipment Storage Building (UM)		250.000				350,000	·					350,000
39 Parts Room Expansion (UM)		250,000		050.000								250,000
40 New Paint/Body Shop Building (UM)				950,000							-	950,000
41 Maintenance Garage Roof (UM)			750.000	70,000							-	70,000
42 Buses (G,GF)	2 2 49 000		750,000								750,000	-
43 Intermodal Transportation Center (G,GF,CR)	3,348,000	(0.000	6,000,000								9,348,000	-
44 Police/Fire-Rescue Caulk Building Exterior (UM)		60,000										60,000
45 Work Order/Asset Management Software (UM)	20.055	70,000									-	70,000
46 River Park North	38,955	¢1.005.000	#17 700 105	¢0.001.005	¢0.40,000	¢2.054.500	¢1.004.000	¢11 000 750	¢1 005 5/5	¢15 501 005	38,955	+24.252.000
SUBTOTAL	\$15,043,279	\$1,095,000	\$17,729,195	\$2,391,805	\$940,000	\$3,254,500	\$1,234,000	\$11,989,750	\$1,295,565	\$15,521,935	\$36,242,039	\$34,252,990
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT												
1 Comprehensive Wayfinding System (Phase III) (UM)		473,000						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				473,000
2 West Greenville Redevelopment Project (B,UM)	1,594,450	473,000	1,350,000			1,250,000		1,250,000		1,250,000	2,944,450	3,750,000
3 Center City Revitalization (B)	1,285,050		625,000		875,000	1,250,000		1,250,000		1,250,000	2,944,450	3,750,000
4 Historic Park Theater Renovation (UM)	1,265,050		025,000	160,000	875,000						2,765,050	160,000
				100,000								100,000
Wort 5th Streetscape Design & Construction (IIM)				250,000		2 000 000				1 250 000		4 550 000
5 West 5th Streetscape Design & Construction (UM)	¢2 970 500	\$472.000	¢1.075.000	250,000	¢975.000	2,900,000	0.9	150,000	0.9	1,250,000	- ¢E 720 E00	4,550,000
5 West 5th Streetscape Design & Construction (UM) SUBTOTAL	\$2,879,500	\$473,000	\$1,975,000	250,000 \$410,000	\$875,000	2,900,000 \$4,150,000	\$0		\$0		\$5,729,500	4,550,000 \$8,933,000
SUBTOTAL	\$2,879,500	\$473,000	\$1,975,000		\$875,000	, ,	\$0		\$0		\$5,729,500	
LIBRARY	\$2,879,500		\$1,975,000		\$875,000	, ,	\$0		\$0		\$5,729,500	\$8,933,000
SUBTOTAL LIBRARY 1 Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM)	\$2,879,500	680,394	\$1,975,000		\$875,000	, ,	\$0		\$0		- \$5,729,500 - -	\$8,933,000 680,394
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY 1 Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) 2 Main Library Roof (UM)	\$2,879,500		\$1,975,000		\$875,000	\$4,150,000	\$0		\$0		\$5,729,500	\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM)	\$2,879,500	680,394 78,741	\$1,975,000	\$410,000	\$875,000	, ,	\$0		\$0		- \$5,729,500 - - - -	\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM)	\$2,879,500	680,394	\$1,975,000		\$875,000	\$4,150,000	\$0		\$0		- \$5,729,500 - - - - -	\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY 1 Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) 2 Main Library Roof (UM) 3 Radio Frequency ID System (UM) 4 Northwest Branch (UM)	\$2,879,500	680,394 78,741	\$1,975,000	\$410,000	\$875,000	\$4,150,000	\$0	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	- \$5,729,500 - - - - - 50	\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY 1 Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) 2 Main Library Roof (UM) 3 Radio Frequency ID System (UM) 4 Northwest Branch (UM) 5 Southeast Branch (UM)		680,394 78,741 128,098		\$410,000		\$4,150,000 245,175		\$1,400,000		\$2,500,000 2,675,985		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY 1 Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) 2 Main Library Roof (UM) 3 Radio Frequency ID System (UM) 4 Northwest Branch (UM) 5 Southeast Branch (UM)		680,394 78,741 128,098		\$410,000		\$4,150,000 245,175		\$1,400,000		\$2,500,000 2,675,985		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Northwest Branch (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) SUBTOTAL		680,394 78,741 128,098		\$410,000		\$4,150,000 245,175		\$1,400,000		\$2,500,000 2,675,985		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316
SUBTOTAL	\$0	680,394 78,741 128,098	\$0	\$410,000	\$0	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175	\$0	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL IBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) SUBTOTAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	\$0 852,400 \$852,400	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$887,233	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0	\$0	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$245,175	\$0	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331 \$297,331	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL	\$0	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233	\$0	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0	\$0	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$245,175	\$0	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331 \$297,331	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL IBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) SUBTOTAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	\$0 852,400 \$852,400	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$887,233	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0 \$0 \$11,987,692	\$0	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$0 \$18,605,075	\$0	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331 \$297,331	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Northwest Branch (UM) SOUTHAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	\$0 852,400 \$852,400 \$21,696,805	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$0 \$5,956,733	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300 \$21,946,495	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0 \$0 \$11,987,692	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$3,934,000 OURCE LEGENE	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$0 \$18,605,075	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,934,000	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331 \$297,331 \$0 \$22,894,881	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Northwest Branch (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL	\$0 \$0 852,400 \$852,400 \$21,696,805	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$0 \$5,956,733 B - CR -	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300 \$21,946,495 BONDS CAPITAL RESER	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0 \$11,987,692 FUNDING S	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$3,934,000 OURCE LEGENE	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$245,175 \$0 \$18,605,075 NCDOT - PB -	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,934,000 NC DEPARTME POWELL BILL	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$297,331 \$0 \$0 \$22,894,881 NT OF TRANSPO	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL Subtromation SUBTOTAL LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Northwest Branch (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	\$0 \$0 852,400 \$852,400 \$21,696,805	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$0 \$5,956,733 B - CR - CR - G -	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300 \$21,946,495 BONDS CAPITAL RESER GRANTS	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0 \$11,987,692 FUNDING S	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$3,934,000 OURCE LEGENE	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$245,175 \$0 \$18,605,075 NCDOT - PB - SF	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,934,000 NC DEPARTME POWELL BILL SANITATION F	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$207,331 \$2	\$0	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL IBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) SUBTOTAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	\$0 \$52,400 \$852,400 \$21,696,805	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233 \$0 \$5,956,733 B - CR -	\$0 1,217,300 \$1,217,300 \$21,946,495 BONDS CAPITAL RESER	\$410,000 1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$1,152,887 \$0 \$11,987,692 FUNDING S	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$3,934,000 OURCE LEGENE	\$4,150,000 245,175 \$245,175 \$245,175 \$0 \$18,605,075 NCDOT - PB -	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,934,000 \$2,934,000 \$2,934,000 \$2,934,000 \$2,934,000	\$1,400,000 297,331 \$207,331 \$2	\$0 1,700,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,995,565 RTATION	\$2,500,000 2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$2,675,985 \$0		\$8,933,000 680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611

	SU	Immary of Fun	DING SOURCES	FOR CAPITA	L IMPROVEME	NT REQUESTS	BY DEPARTMENT	s			
							GET YEAR 08-2009				
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL
	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY										
1	E-Government On-Line Technologies (GF,UM)		62,400		Т						62,400
1	Routing and Switching Upgrades / Expansion (GF,UM)	7,000	58,000		+ +						65,000
2	Storage Area Network System (SAN) (UM)	119,500	45,000		+ +						164,500
4	LAN Telephony (GF,UM)	123,000	30,000								153,000
5	Data Backup and Recovery System (GF,UM)	53,400	31,600								85,000
6	City-Wide Network Infrastructure (GF,UM)	95,000	32,000								127,000
4	AS 400 Computer System Expansion (UM)	47,200	15,000								62,200
8	Geographic Information System (GF,UM)	240,000	15,000								240,000
0	SUBTOTAL	685,100	274,000								959,100
	SUBTUTAL	005,100	274,000		1	-	-	-	-	-	<i>737</i> ,100
	FIRE/RESCUE										
1	Emergency Medical Services Unit & Equipt.(UM)	265,000									265,000
2	Fire/Rescue Station No. 7 - Design (CR)	205,000			110,000						110,000
5	Vehicle Storage Space (UM)	150,000			110,000						150,000
7	Fire/Rescue Station No. 6 Classroom (B,UM)	250,000				300,000					550,000
8	Emergency Vehicle Traffic Light Program (UM)	125,400				500,000					125,400
9	Fire/Rescue Station No. 8 - Land Acquisition (CR)	125,400			220,000						220,000
11	Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Maintenance (GF)		76,151		220,000						76,151
12	Fire/Rescue Technology (GF)		26,000								26,000
1	SUBTOTAL	790,400	102,151	-	330,000	300,000	-	-	-	-	1,522,551
		· .	· .			,	L				
	RECREATION/PARKS										
2	Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Restrooms (CR)				150,000						150,000
3	Park Specific Master Plans (UM)	50,000									50,000
4	Facility Feasibility Studies (UM)	60,000									60,000
5	Signage Replacement Project (UM)	65,000									65,000
6	City Pool Improvements (UM)	80,000									80,000
7	Drew Steele Center (G)						1,000,000				1,000,000
8	River Birch Tennis Center Renovations (GF)		55,000								55,000
9	Play Equipment Renovation and Replacement (GF,UM)	15,000	194,010								209,010
10	Park Maintenance Shelter and Storage Rooms (UM)	40,000									40,000
11	Gymnasium HVAC Systems (CR)				200,000						200,000
12	Land Banking Program (UM)	200,000									200,000
13	H. Boyd Lee Park Parking Lot Expansion (UM)	325,000									325,000
14	H. Boyd Lee Park Multi-purpose Fields (UM)	410,000									410,000
15	Evans Park and Jaycee Park Irrigation System (UM)	75,000									75,000
16	Parking Lot Resurfacing and Paving (CR,UM)	30,000			25,000						55,000
17	Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations (GF)		10,525								10,525
18	ADA Paved Access Paths (GF)		40,000								40,000
19	60' Bucket Truck (UM)	110,000									110,000
21	Ball Field Bleachers (UM)	55,000									55,000

	SU	MMARY OF FUN	NDING SOURCES	FOR CAPITAL	IMPROVEME	NT REQUESTS I	BY DEPARTMEN	ſS			
]						GET YEAR 08-2009				
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL
	RECREATION/PARKS (Cont.)										
22	Town Common Bulkhead Study and Inspection (B)					50,000					50,000
23	Splash Parks (UM)	200,000									200,000
24	Portable Climbing Wall (UM)	40,000									40,000
27	Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Lighting (UM)	136,000									136,000
28	Sarah Vaughn Field Lights (UM)	65,000									65,000
32	Climbing Walls/Climbing Rocks (UM)	70,000									70,000
41	Andrew Best Freedom Park		102,240								102,240
42	Firetower Road Park Development		88,700								88,700
	SUBTOTAL	2,026,000	490,475	-	375,000	50,000	1,000,000	-	-	-	3,941,475
1	PUBLIC WORKS							125 000			125,000
	Residential Rear Load Refuse Truck (LP,SF)		35.000					125,000			.,
2	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Mausoleum Roof (GF)		143,324								35,000 143,324
4	Police - Fire/Rescue Building Maintenance (GF)		50,000								50,000
5	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Admin. Roof (GF)	15.000	,								,
7	Public Works Complex Maintenance (GF,UM)	15,000	35,000 35,000								50,000 35,000
8 11	Greenwood Cemetery Road Renovations (GF)		35,000			233.333	A(/ / 7				700,000
12	Stantonsburg Road-Tenth Street Connector (B,G,NCDOT) Transportation Plan (G,GF)		10,000			233,333	466,667 40,000				50,000
12	Thomas Langston Road Extension (B)		10,000			2,660,000	40,000				2,660,000
10				375,000		2,000,000					375,000
20	Sidewalk Construction Program (PB)	400,000		100,000							500,000
20	Local Transportation Improvements (PB,UM) Traffic Calming (PB)	400,000		30,000							30,000
21	Railroad Crossing Signal Improvements (PB)			50,000							50,000
22	Street Resurfacing (PB,UM)	150,000		650,000							800.000
23 24	Replacement of Mast Arm Signal Poles (PB,UM)	50,000		150,000							200.000
24	Storm Drainage Improvement Projects (B)	50,000		150,000		1,898,000					1.898.000
27	Storm Drain Repair @ Beatty Street (SWU)					1,070,000			420,000		420.000
20 29	Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements (SWU)								610,000		610,000
30	Stormwater Management (SWU)								450,000		450,000
31	Storm Drainage Retrofit Projects (SWU)								80,000		80,000
32	South Tar River Greenway (G)						2,200,000		00,000		2,200,000
33	Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II (G)						150,000				150,000
34	Parkers Creek Greenway (G)						180,000				180,000
35	Street Sweeping Transfer Station (SWU)						100,000		180,000		180,000
37	Public Works Yard Resurfacing (UM,SF,SWU)	100,000						_	300,000		400,000
39	Parts Room Expansion (UM)	250,000						-	300,000		250.000
43	Intermodal Transportation Center (G,GF)	230,000	335,000				3,013,000				3,348,000
44	Police/Fire-Rescue Caulk Building Exterior (UM)	60,000	333,000				3,013,000				60,000
44	Work Order/Asset Management Software (UM)	70,000									70,000
46	River Park North	,0,000	38,955								38,955
	SUBTOTAL	1,095,000	682,279	1,355,000	_ [4,791,333	6,049,667	125,000	2,040,000	-	16,138,279

	SL	JMMARY OF FUI	NDING SOURCE	S FOR CAPITAL	IMPROVEME	NT REQUESTS	BY DEPARTMEN	٢S			
		h				חווס	GET YEAR				
							08-2009				
						20	00 2007				
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL
	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT										
1.	Comprehensive Wayfinding System (Phase III) (UM)	473,000									473,000
1	West Greenville Redevelopment Project (B)					1,594,450					1,594,450
						1,285,050					1,285,050
	SUBTOTAL	473,000	-	-	-	2,879,500	-	-	-	-	3,352,500
	LIBRARY										
1	Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM)	680,394									680,394
		78,741									78,741
4		128,098									128,098
	SUBTOTAL	887,233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	887,233
		1									
	VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF)										
	Purchases									050.400	050.100
	SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	852,400	852,400
	TOTAL MET / UNMET NEEDS	5,956,733	1,548,905	1,355,000	705,000	8,020,833	7,049,667	125,000	2,040,000	852,400	27,653,538
	TUTAL MET / UNMET NEEDS	5,950,733	1,548,905	1,355,000	705,000	8,020,833	7,049,007	125,000	2,040,000	852,400	27,003,038
		B - BONDS NCDOT - NC DEPARTMENT OF TH CR - CAPITAL RESERVE PB - POWELL BILL								ORTATION	
			G - GF - LP -	grants General fun Lease Purch			SF SWU - VRF -		N FUND FER UTILITY EPLACEMENT FUNI)	

	SUMMARY OF FUNI	DING SOURCE	S FOR CAPITAL	IMPROVEM	ENT REQUEST	S BY DEPARTMEN	ITS			
						DGET YEAR 009-2010				
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL
						•		-	•	•
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY						1			1	ſ
1 E-Government On-Line Technologies (GF,UM)	19,600	46,000								65,600
3 Routing and Switching Upgrades / Expansion (GF, L	IM) 25,000	62,500 30,000								87,500 30,000
4 Storage Area Network System (SAN) (UM) 5 LAN Telephony (GF,UM)	175,000	30,000								30,000
6 Data Backup and Recovery System (UM)	30.000	30,000								60,000
7 City-Wide Network Infrastructure (GF,UM)	111,000	25,000								136,000
8 AS 400 Computer System Expansion (GF,UM)	65,000	23,000								65,000
9 Geographic Information System (GF)	60,000	25,000								85,000
10 City-Wide Wireless (UM)	1,750,000	20,000								1,750,000
SUBTOTAL	2,235,600	268,500	-	-	-	-		-	-	2,504,100
			•			•			•	•
FIRE/RESCUE										
2 Fire/Rescue Station No. 7 (UM)	1,250,000									1,250,000
3 Fire Engine For Station No. 7 (UM)	735,000									735,000
4 Fire/Rescue Station No. 5 Traffic Signal (GF,UM)		40,000								40,000
5 Vehicle Storage Space (UM)	150,000									150,000
6 Fire/Rescue Station No. 4 Maintenance (UM)	88,300									88,300
8 Emergency Vehicle Traffic Light Program (UM)	99,000							_		99,000
9 Fire/Rescue Station No. 8 (UM)	125,000 13,500	24 500								125,000 45,000
12 Fire/Rescue Technology (GF,UM) SUBTOTAL	2,460,800	31,500 71,500						-		45,000
SOBIOTAL	2,400,800	71,500	-	-	-	-		-	-	2,532,300
POLICE										
1 Property and Evidence Storage (GF)		100,000								100,000
SUBTOTAL	-	100,000	-	-	-	-		-	-	100,000
RECREATION/PARKS						-				
1 Bradford Creek Restroom/Shelter Facility (UM)	225,000									225,000
3 Park Specific Master Plans (UM)	50,000									50,000
4 Facility Feasibility Studies (UM)	40,000									40,000
5 Signage Replacement Project (UM)	35,000	05.000						-		35,000
9 Play Equipment Renovation and Replacement (GF,		85,000						-		100,000
11 Gymnasium HVAC Systems (UM)	200,000									200,000
 Land Banking Program (UM) Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations (UM) 	200,000 29,300									200,000 29,300
17 Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations (UM)21 Ball Field Bleachers (UM)	29,300									29,300
22 Town Common Bulkhead Study and Inspection (B)	55,000				500,000			+		500,000
25 Science and Nature Center Irrigation System (UM)	40,000				500,000					40,000
27 Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Lighting (UM)	247,300									247,300
Zi brautoru creek soccer complex Lighting (UM)	247,300									247,300

	SU	MMARY OF FUNI	DING SOURCE	S FOR CAPITA	_ IMPROVEM	ENT REQUESTS	BY DEPARTMENT	S			
	r					DUI	DGET YEAR				
							DGET YEAR 009-2010				
						20	507-2010				
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	PB	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL
	RECREATION/PARKS (Cont.)										
31	Jaycee Park Arts & Crafts Center Expansion (UM)	1,200,000									1,200,000
34	Eppes Recreation Center Renovations (UM)	1,000,000									1,000,000
	SUBTOTAL	3,336,600	85,000	-	-	500,000	-		-	-	3,921,600
	PUBLIC WORKS										1
1	Residential Rear Load Refuse Truck (LP,SF)	-						130,000			130,000
11	Stantonsburg Road-Tenth Street Connector (B,G,NCDOT)					1,766,667	3,533,333				5,300,000
12	Transportation Plan (G)						50,000				50,000
15	Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase II (CR,UM)	474,805			245,195						720,000
17	Frontgate Drive Extension (UM)	341,000									341,000
19	Sidewalk Construction Program (PB)			375,000							375,000
20	Local Transportation Improvements (UM)	310,000									310,000
21	Traffic Calming (PB)			30,000							30,000
23	Street Resurfacing (PB)			900,000							900,000
24	Replacement of Mast Arm Signal Poles (PB)			200,000							200,000
25	Oxford Rd Bridge Replacement (PB)			75,000							75,000
26	King George Rd Bridge Replacement (PB)			75,000							75,000
29	Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements (SWU)								1,135,000		1,135,000
30	Stormwater Management (SWU)								475,000		475,000
31	Storm Drainage Retrofit Projects (SWU)								65,000		65,000
33	Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II (G)						1,050,000				1,050,000
34	Parkers Creek Greenway (G,UM)	246,000					874,000				1,120,000
40	New Paint/Body Shop Building (UM)	950,000									950,000
41	Maintenance Garage Roof (UM)	70,000									70,000
42	Buses (G,GF)		75,000				675,000				750,000
43	Intermodal Transportation Center (G,GF,CR)		300,000		300,000		5,400,000				6,000,000
	SUBTOTAL	2,391,805	375,000	1,655,000	545,195	1,766,667	11,582,333	130,000	1,675,000	-	20,121,000
	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		г				· · · · ·				
2	West Greenville Redevelopment Project (B)					1,350,000					1,350,000
3	Center City Revitalization (B)					625,000					625,000
4	Historic Park Theater Renovation (UM)	160,000									160,000
5	West 5th Streetscape Design & Construction (UM)	250,000									250,000
	SUBTOTAL	410,000	-	-	-	1,975,000	-		-	-	2,385,000

	SU	MMARY OF FUN	MARY OF FUNDING SOURCES FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS										
							DGET YEAR 009-2010						
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	UNMET	GF	РВ	CR	В	G / NCDOT	SF	SWU	VRF	TOTAL		
	LIBRARY												
4	Northwest Branch (UM)	1,152,887									1,152,887		
	SUBTOTAL	1,152,887	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,152,887		
	VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases								[1			
	SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1,217,300	1,217,300		
	TOTAL MET / UNMET NEEDS												
ĺ		11,987,692	900,000	1,655,000	545,195	4,241,667	11,582,333	130,000	1,675,000	1,217,300	33,934,187		
			B -BONDSNCDOT -NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTACR -CAPITAL RESERVEPB -POWELL BILLG -GRANTSSFSANITATION FUNDGF -GENERAL FUNDSWU -STORMWATER UTILITYLP -LEASE PURCHASEVRF -VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND										

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUD YEA		PL/ YE/				FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
		2008	3-09	2009	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	T0	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY												
1	E-Government On-Line Technologies (GF,UM)	62,400		46,000	19,600	40,000	27,600		48,600		46,400	148,400	142,200
2	Routing and Switching Upgrades / Expansion (GF,UM)	58,000	7,000	62,500	25,000	25,000	130,000		155,000		35,000	145,500	352,000
3	Storage Area Network System (SAN) (GF,UM)	45,000	119,500	30,000			30,000		30,000		30,000	75,000	209,500
4	LAN Telephony (GF,UM)	30,000	123,000	50,000	175,000	39,000	181,000		25,000		40,000	119,000	544,000
5	Data Backup and Recovery System (GF,UM)	31,600	53,400	30,000	30,000		30,000		30,000		30,000	61,600	173,400
6	City-Wide Network Infrastructure (GF,UM)	32,000	95,000	25,000	111,000	40,000			35,000		40,000	97,000	281,000
7	AS 400 Computer System Expansion (GF,UM)	15,000	47,200		65,000	50,000	15,000				250,000	65,000	377,200
8	Geographic Information System (GF,UM)		240,000	25,000	60,000	40,000	25,000		235,000		45,000	65,000	605,000
9	City-Wide Wireless (UM)				1,750,000		1,500,000		75,000		50,000	-	3,375,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$274,000	\$685,100	\$268,500	\$2,235,600	\$234,000	\$1,938,600	\$0	\$633,600	\$0	\$566,400	\$776,500	\$6,059,300
					FUNDING SO	OURCE LEGEN							

BONDS CAPITAL RESERVE GRANTS GENERAL FUND LEASE PURCHASE

B -CR -G -GF -LP -

NCDOT -PB -SF SWU -VRF -

NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION POWELL BILL SANITATION FUND STORMWATER UTILITY VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

Information Technology Summary

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOR	ENT PROGE	RAM							
PROJECT TITLE:	E-GOVERNM	ENT ON-LINE	TECHNOLOG	ES								
							r					
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	Gĭ			PRIORITY		1				
DESCRIPTION:	provide the ab tools, such as become an im on-line service	ould provide or ility for the pub Key Performar portant compores, and providin sharing and dis	lic to interact wince Indicators a nent of the City g access to a g	ith governmen Ind Dashboard 's continuing el growing amoun	t in an efficient s to departmen ffort of interacti t of informatior	manner, and onts. E-Governing with the pu n. Additionally	offer ir nent h blic by	nportant nas / offering				
	FY 08-09	Line-Of-Busine					\$	33,800				
		Business Intell					\$	28,600				
	FY 09-10		ystem (Phase)			\$ \$	10,000				
		Online Media I	ntent Managem	ent			ъ \$	36,000 19,600				
	FY 10-11		Expansion, Inte		xpansion, Pub	lic Kiosk	\$	67,600				
	FY 11-12											
	FY 12-13											
JUSTIFICATION:	The Informatic Expansion of t capabilities of staff many ber	sence within the on Technology I the current E-G the current env nefits by offerin services, and in	Department be overnment sys rironment to me g better and eff	lieves that the tem and composet these needs ective access	need for on-line onents will be r s. E-Governme	e services will required to extend ent offers the p	only g end th ublic a	row. e				
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 08-09	Software Main	tenance. Softw	are Support. H	lardware Maint	enance.	\$	3,000				
		Training	····, ···,			,	Ţ	-,				
	FY 09-10	Software Main Training	tenance, Softw	are Support, H	lardware Maint	enance,	\$	5,000				
FUNDS APPROVED TO		\$190,000		FU	NDS EXPEND		1	\$125,960				
TONDS AFFROVED IN	DATE.		\$125,96U									
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	DUDAET	DI 411			(= + = 0	0.15055						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT	YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund	\$62,400	\$46,000	\$40,000	(0.00-								
Unmet	-	19,600	27,600	48,600	46,400							
L	TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$290,600											

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	ROUTING AN			AND EXPANS	IONS								
								_					
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	GY			PRIORITY		2					
DESCRIPTION:	Rescue station enhance the C Enhanced sec These enhanc	ns. Second, it was it was a second of Greenvill urity is needed	would create a e's ability to pro when deliverin also expand wi	disaster recover ovide easily acting data to the p	rovide service t ery site at Fire cessible, yet se ublic and supp at City sites a	Station #7. Fir ecure data to th orting a mobile	ially, i ie put work	t would blic. force.					
	FY 08-09	8600 Core Sw	itch				\$	40,000					
		Firewall Redur					\$	25,000					
	FY 09-10		s Switch 4050				\$	33,000					
		& SSL-VPN A	•	nent System In	tegration		\$	29,500					
			eless Installatio	ons			\$	25,000					
	FY 10-11	10-11 Network Replacement of Switches and Routers											
	FY 11-12	11-12 Network Replacement of Switches and Routers / Enhancements											
	FY 12-13												
	secondary ser a site loss and most of our ap an added burd	ver site for disa meet our goal plications going len of securing	aster recovery. s of continuing g mobile and th these applicati	This will require business in the ne desire to del ons and data w	budget years. (e duplicate equ e event of a cat iver more data while making th ind securing ou	ipment to be a astrophe at Cit to the citizens, em externally a	ble to y Hal this h availa	withstand I. With nas placed ble.					
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10 Year	lv Maintenance	Э				\$	6,500					
	FY 10-11 Year	-					\$	3,500					
	FY 11-12 Year FY 12-13 Year						\$ \$	4,500 1,500					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	DATE: \$432,000 FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE: \$392,129											
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT	YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund	\$58,000	\$62,500	\$25,000										
Unmet	7,000	25,000	130,000	155,000	35,000								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:		\$497,500					

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	STORAGE AREA NETWORK SYSTEM (SAN)										
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	GY			PRIORITY		3			
DESCRIPTION:		ould provide a s ery site and exp				additional serv	er for s	secondary			
	FY 08-09	Central SAN p SAN Drive Sho VMWARE Ser VMWARE Sof Racks UPS's SAN SATA Ex	vers tware	age Shelf, and	Drives		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	60,000 25,000 23,500 18,000 7,000 11,000 20,000			
	FY 09-10	SAN Expansio	•				\$ \$	30,000			
	FY 10-11		VUpgrades to Hardware & Software								
	FY 11-12	SAN Expansio									
	FY 12-13	2-13 SAN Upgrades									
JUSTIFICATION:	at City Hall. VI servers. The S processor mor dynamically ex VMWARE, and server. When without interru	AN will enable MWARE has al GAN gives the fir re suitable for it cpanded and gi d particularly th a failure is dete ption to the use ded to build an	ready proven it reedom to purc s intended func ven and taken e VMOTION co ected, VMOTIO ers. This is clear	self and drama hase a server ction. Disks are away from mul omponent, mor N has the capa rly valuable for	atically lowers of with a much sn e centrally store tiple servers w hitors and man- ability to move	overall cost of c maller form fact ed, the disk spa ith little adminis ages the health a server to ano	leployii or and ice can strative n of any ther lo	ng a be effort. / virtual cation			
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 08-09	Yearly Mainter	nance				\$	18,300			
	FY 09-10	Yearly Mainter					\$	2,500			
FUNDS APPROVED TO											
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT Y	'EARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	\$45,000	\$30,000									
Unmet	119,500		\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000						
						JECT COST:		\$284.500			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	LAN TELEPH												
FROJECT IIIEL.													
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	GY			PRIORITY		4					
DESCRIPTION:	expanding Voi redundant con technology to into a single lo	ce Over Interne nponents for a VoIP in the futu cation accessil	et Protocols (V disaster recove ure. A Call Pile ole from anywh	oIP). The purce ery site and allo ot Server would	hase of CS100 ow for further m d combine voic ments of the p	and data netwo 00 components higration away f email, fax, and roject would inc	woule rom F emai	d provide PBX messages					
	FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12	CallPilot\$ 23,0OCS Servers and Software\$ 30,009-10LAN Telephony Infrastructure enhancements\$ 25,0PBX, Radio & Cellular integration\$ 200,010-11LAN Telephony Infrastructure enhancements\$ 40,0Video conferencing enhancement / replacement\$ 180,011-12LAN Telephony Infrastructure enhancements\$ 25,0											
JUSTIFICATION:	continued dep needed at rem components w the PBX. Dep 24/7/365 maki PBX cannot be new platform, accessible fror allows remote	loyment of Voll ote City facilitie ill not only prov artments such ng redundancy e upgraded unl CS1000. Call m anywhere, m users to view i	P allows the re es saving mont vide redundand as Police and a public safety ess we upgrad Pilot combines aking employe ncoming calls.	duction in the r hly costs as we by but it will also Fire / Rescue r requirement. e the hardware voicemail, fax, es more acces PBX, radio an	number of high ell as cabling in o build the plate ely on their pho The current re a first. A better and email me ssible, production d cellular integ		e lines on av uter r ftware o mov ingle sive.	s that are e CS1000 way from networks e on the e to the location It also e					
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10 Soft	ware Assuranc ware Assuranc ware Assuranc	e & Yearly Mai	ntenance			\$ \$ \$	26,600 33,600 30,600					
FUNDS APPRO	VED TO DATE:												
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT	YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund	\$30,000	\$50,000	\$39,000										
Unmet	123,000	175,000	181,000	25,000	40,000								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:		\$663,000					

		CAPITAL IN	Y OF GREE MPROVEME JECT WOR		AM								
PROJECT TITLE:		UP AND RECOV	ERY SYSTEM										
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIC	ON TECHNOLOG	ξΥ			PRIORITY		5					
DESCRIPTION:	ability to read library that ba	rould provide a se current backup to cks up the Windo to expand the cu	echnologies ar ows systems is	nd perhaps inc also reaching	orporate newer capacity. This	options as we project is the	ell. Th most	ne tape cost-					
	FY 08-09	File Storage Lib Backup Softwar Installation Serv	e vices				\$ \$ \$	65,000 15,000 5,000					
	FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12	Backup Equipm Backup Equipm Backup Equipm	ent Upgrades ent Upgrades				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	60,000 30,000 30,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	Hall. The curr	FY 12-13 Backup Equipment Upgrades \$ 30,000 Foday we do not have a secondary Data Center site that could quickly recover from a catastrophe at City tall. The current secondary site only provides for remote administration not rebuilding or transition of he server farm to allow the City to continue operations.											
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Yearly Maintena Yearly Maintena Yearly Maintena Yearly Maintena	ance ance				\$ \$ \$	6,500 4,500 1,500 1,500					
FUNDS APPROV	ED TO DATE:	D TO DATE: \$65,000 FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE: \$63,											
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUR	RE CIP PLAN '	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT	YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund Unmet	\$31,600 53,400	\$30,000 30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000								
		TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$235,000											

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM			
PROJECT TITLE:	CITY WIDE N	ETWORK INF	RASTRUCTUR	E				
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	GY	1		PRIORITY		6
				J				
DESCRIPTION:	links instead o project will also buildings in wh technology wil	f the combinati o cover data ca hich PCs will be I increase the c lled fiber runs.	on of leased da abling needs wi located. Insta cost-effectivene Internet Protoc	ata lines such a ithin existing, n alling Coarse W ess of this optio	a main data/pho as T1, ISDN, ar ewly constructe /avelength-Divi n by increasing i installations w	nd DSL current ed, or newly ac sion Multiplexin g the bandwidth	ly in us quired ng (CV n from	se. This I City VDM) the
	FY 08-09 FY 09-10	Fiber install to CWDM Conce Fiber to Statio IP Cameras Network Enha	n #7 ncements				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	20,000 20,000 32,000 15,000 40,000 25,000
	FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Future Networ	k king/ Fiber Opt king/ Fiber Opt	tic Components tic Components tic Components	6		\$ \$ \$ \$	60,000 51,000 40,000 35,000 40,000
JUSTIFICATION:	while elimination administrative quantity of fibe	ng the monthly overhead of m er strands and i	recurring char aintaining thes s less costly in	ges for the leas e lines. CWDN comparison to	crease security sed data lines, I will provide m new installatio n prosecuted h	as well as redu ore bandwidth ns. In the past	from the two years	he he same ears,
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter	nance nance				\$ \$ \$ \$	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500
FUNDS APPROV	ED TO DATE:	\$425,700		<u>FU</u>	NDS EXPEND	ED TO DATE:		\$391,992
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT	YEARS
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
General Fund	\$32,000	\$25,000	\$40,000	\$0	\$0			
Unmet	95,000	111,000		35,000	40,000			

TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$378,000

		CI	TY OF GREE										
					RAM								
			OJECT WOR										
PROJECT TITLE:	AS/400 COMF	PUTER SYSTE	M EXPANSIO	N									
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLC	OGY			PRIORITY		7					
DECODIDITION			- O't l- AO(400				0/40	^					
DESCRIPTION:	computers: on Dispatching ar Building Perm	e computer pro nd Records Ma its, Fleet Mana	e City's AS/400 oviding the Poli inagement; one gement, Code 'Supplies Inven	ce and Fire/Re providing all C Enforcement, (scue Departme City Departmen Occupational L	ents with Comp ts with Accoun icenses, Parkir	outer iting, ng Tio	Aided Payroll, :kets,					
	objects, netwo supplemental and their relati design results Solution will er	are Security Software and StandGuard Network Security will secure, monitor, and audit access to s, network services, and resources on the AS400. StandGuard Network Security provides a mental layer of public and private authorities to resources with a focus on your users and groups, eir relationship to databases, applications, and objects. This phased approach and object-based results in a highly effective, low-maintenance, flexible security solution. Disaster Recovery on will ensure AS400 capability in the event of a disaster. Additional Sungard HTE modules, Work & Facilities Management, Planning, and Engineering, will be added to the AS400.											
	FY 08-09	Momony & Dia	k Upgrados				¢	15,000					
	FT 00-09	Memory & Dis ByteWare Sec	curity Software				\$ \$	7,200					
			er Recovery Sc	olution for Remo	ote Site		\$	40,000					
	FY 09-10		Facilities Mana				\$ \$	65,000					
	FY 10-11 FY 12-13	Planning & En	igineering and k Upgrades, Ad	Memory & Disk	Upgrade		\$ \$	65,000 250,000					
JUSTIFICATION:			n needs to upd										
			and upgrade d										
			cials, Payroll, et is identified add										
	system.							U					
		Voorly Mointe	2222				¢	0 4 4 0					
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10	Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter					\$ \$	8,440 13,140					
	FY 10-11	Yearly Mainter					Ψ \$	13,440					
		really mainter	nanoo				Ψ	10,110					
		<u> </u>	1					^					
FUNDS APPRO	ED TO DATE:	\$1,206,663		<u>FU</u>	NDS EXPEND	ED TO DATE:		\$798,656					
			APPRO	PRIATION SC									
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN `	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT	YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund	\$15,000	\$0	\$50,000	2011-2012	2012 2013								
Unmet	47,200	65,000	15,000	-	250,000								

		CAPITAL	IMP	OF GREI ROVEM CT WOF	ENT	PROG	RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	GEOGRAPHI			VOTEMO							
PROJECT TITLE.	GEOGRAFHI			I STEMS	•						
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATIO	N TECHNOLO	GY]			Pl	RIORITY		8
DESCRIPTION:	implemented i planning, deci work in Planni provided bene and decision-r for further GIS departmental systems and to	ould expand the n the Planning sion-making, ar ng, Public Worl fits such as: tin naking capabili development i GIS developme echnologies. As d resources to	Depa nd ma ks, P me sa ties, n all ents a s tecl	artment in apping. O olice and avings on and increa City depa as well as hnology c	1993. Fire/R tasks ased o rtment addre ontinu	This ha e past se escue. C increase lata shari s, there i ss the int	s proven to be veral years, G GIS developme ed performanc ing across dep is a need to clo tegration of GI	e a va IS de ent in e of p oartm osely S with	luable tool evelopment those dep processes, ents. Beca mesh the h other City	for ar has i artme new a ause o variou / infor	nalysis, ncluded ents has analytical of the need is mation
			FY	(08-09	FY	09-10	FY 10-11	F	Y 11-12	F	Y 12-13
	Softwa	al Photography are Application tware Upgrade	\$	175,000 25,000 40,000 240,000	\$ \$	25,000 <u>60,000</u> 85,000	\$ 25,000 \$ 40,000 \$ 65,000	\$	190,000 25,000 20,000 235,000	\$ \$ \$	25,000 20,000 45,000
JUSTIFICATION:	needs for City and integration with the contin cost of mainta shared. IT is y provide data to	epresents City- wide developm and aerial ph aued GIS developm ining current ac working with de o staff in office support increas	nent, iotogi opme erials epartr and r	hardware raphy. It i ent of Pitt of the Cit ments to a	e upgra is also Count ty and automa	ades, City necessa y and Gro surround ate proce	y-wide GIS dat ary to develop eenville Utility ling extended sses in order t	ta acc the C Com territo to stre	cess, softw ity's GIS ir pany. By o prial jurisdic eamline da	are in coord doing ction c ta ana	stallation dination so, the can be alysis and
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 08-09 FY 09-10 FY 10-11	Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter	nance	е						\$ \$ \$	8,000 8,000 8,000
FUNDS APPRO	/ED TO DATE:	\$739,000				<u>F</u> U		DED -	TO DATE:		\$445,872
				APPRO	JPRIA		CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR		FUTU	RE CI	P PLAN `	YEARS		SUBSEQU	ENT	YEARS
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	20	10-2011	201	1-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund	\$0	\$25,000		\$40,000							
Unmet	240,000	60,000		25,000		235,000	45,000				
							TOTAL PRO	JEC	T COST:		\$670.000

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE	ENT PROGP	RAM							
PROJECT TITLE:	CITYWIDE V	VIRELESS										
DEPARTMENT:	INFORMATI	ON TECHNOLO	GY			PRIORITY		9				
DESCRIPTION:	services city infrastructure networks and	would install Wik wide. WiMAX has s. In a fixed wire the cable TV's ts mobile variant	s the potential teless configurate coaxial cable in	to replace a nu tion, it can repla nfrastructure wh	mber of existin ace the telepho hile offering Int	g telecommuni one company's ernet Service F	icatio cop	ons oer wire				
	FY 09-10	WiMAX Fixed Mobile Infrastr Installation		for 250 subscril	bers		\$ \$ \$	850,000 620,000 280,000				
	FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	11-12 Additions & Expansions \$										
JUSTIFICATION:		enhance the ab City department		wireless service	es to the new F	Public Safety pr	rojec	t as well as				
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter Yearly Mainter	nance nance				\$ \$ \$	100,000 100,000 25,000 15,000				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:		-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	IEN	YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund Unmet		\$1,750,000	\$1,500,000	\$75,000	\$50,000							
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	<u> </u>	\$3,375,000				

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUD YEA		PL/ YE/				FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
		2008	3-09	2009	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
1	FIRE/RESCUE Emergency Medical Services Unit & Equipt.(UM)		265,000									-	265,000
2	Fire/Rescue Station No. 7 (CR,UM)	110,000			1,250,000							110,000	1,250,000
3	Fire Engine For Station No. 7 (UM)	,			735,000							· -	735,000
4	Fire/Rescue Station No. 5 Traffic Signal (GF,UM)			40,000	,							40,000	· -
5	Vehicle Storage Space (UM)		150,000		150,000							-	300,000
6	Fire/Rescue Station No. 4 Maintenance (UM)				88,300							-	88,300
7	Fire/Rescue Station No. 6 Classroom (B,UM)	300,000	250,000									300,000	250,000
8	Emergency Vehicle Traffic Light Program (UM)		125,400		99,000		72,600		79,200		59,400	-	435,600
9	Fire/Rescue Station No. 8 (CR,UM)	220,000			125,000		1,060,000					220,000	1,185,000
10	Fire Engine For Station No. 8 (UM)						920,000					-	920,000
11	Fire/Rescue Station No. 3 Maintenance (GF)	76,151										76,151	-
12	Fire/Rescue Technology (GF,UM)	26,000		31,500	13,500	30,000	25,000		20,000		35,000	87,500	93,500
	SUBTOTAL	\$732,151	\$790,400	\$71,500	\$2,460,800	\$30,000	\$2,077,600	\$0	\$99,200	\$0	\$94,400	\$833,651	\$5,522,400
					FUNDING SC	OURCE LEGEN	D						

GF - GENERAL FUND SW LP - LEASE PURCHASE VR	- /U - F -
LP - LEASE PURCHASE VR	F -

NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION POWELL BILL SANITATION FUND STORMWATER UTILITY VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND Γ-
	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	EMS UNIT & E	EQUIPMENT							
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE	-				PRIORITY	1		
DEPARTMENT.	FIRE/RESCUE	-				FRICKITT	I		
DESCRIPTION:	This project we the increasing					hicle and equip	ment to meet		
JUSTIFICATION:	growth of the c justification for	the specific this equipmen	c location to pla t is the anticipa	ace this unit has ated growth, su	s not been dete bsequent incre	ermined. The p	lls in this area,		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will increase pr	arconnol by 12	total					
<u>OPERATING IMPACT.</u>				iotal.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
				PRIATION SC					
			AFFRU	PRIATION SC	, NEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund									
Unmet	\$265,000								
L					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$265.000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRE/RESCUE	E STATION NO	D. 7							
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE	E				PRIORITY	2			
DESCRIPTION:	proposed local and the continu- citizens in this of the city and furnishings to of the City's fire a in the Insurance department will	This project would add a new Fire/Rescue station to serve the area on the south side of Greenville. The proposed location would be on Firetower Road in the area of the existing firetower. With annexations and the continued growth in the area, a station is needed to provide the same level of service to the citizens in this area of the city. This project would purchase approximately two acres on the south side of the city and construct a 8,100 square foot, four-bay Fire/Rescue station, and install the necessary urnishings to operate the facility. This strategic location of the facility will provide a response time within he City's fire and rescue response objective of four minutes or less. It will also meet guidelines set forth n the Insurance Service Office rating schedule. Within this facility, the City's Information Technology department will locate backup network equipment to improve off-site redundancy.								
	FY 09-10	Design Construction				\$110,000 \$1,250,000				
JUSTIFICATION:	to decrease re	sponse time in ending on the and Headquar	this area. Insi location of the ters Station. C	urance Service call, this area i contracting with	Office Study s s presently ser a volunteer fir	howed we nee viced by Statio e department is	n 3 with back up			
OPERATING IMPACT:	Total annual o additional 12 p						efits for an			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	APPRO	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUIU	RE CIP PLAN	TEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund	¢110.000									
Capital Reserve Unmet	\$110,000	\$1,250,000								
		ψ1,200,000								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,360,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROGI	RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRE ENGINE	FOR STATIO	N #7						
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	-]		PRIORITY	3		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a pumper/ladder truck (Quint) for Station 7. In addition, a one-time purchase (\$110,000) of loose equipment such as hose, tools, medical cache, etc. would also be required for this new piece of equipment. This equipment would be purchased the same year as the truck as the truck cannot become operational without it.								
JUSTIFICATION:	of approximate equipment that	ely 20 years as	a front line en al Fire Protecti	gine. The prim on Association	ary justification	quipment will ha			
OPERATING IMPACT:		impact would i ntenance as th		ed operational	costs to run the	e truck and eve	ntually		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund		¢725.000							
Unmet		\$735,000							
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$735,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	TRAFFIC SIG	NAL FOR FIR	E/RESCUE ST	ATION #5				
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	E]		PRIORITY	4	
DESCRIPTION:	This project w	ould add a traff	ic signal at the	intersection of	Greenville Blv	d. and Rollins I	Drive.	
JUSTIFICATION:	require the pla	cement of a tra ve. The lack of	affic signal to p	rovide access t	o Greenville Bl		Grande project e/rescue station negatively	
OPERATING IMPACT:	The operating maintenance.	impact would t	be limited to the	e additional util	ity cost of oper	ating the light a	nd any required	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	APPRO	FUNDS EXPE		TE:		
	BUDGET	PLAN		RE CIP PLAN		SUBSEOU	ENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010 \$40,000	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	3083240		
Unmet		φ 4 0,000						
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$40,000	

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	VEHICLE STO	RAGE SPACI	E							
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE	E				PRIORITY	5			
DESCRIPTION:	This project we Fire/Rescue a	This project would add two (2) two-bay metal storage buildings to house reserve and back-up Fire/Rescue apparatus. One structure could be erected at existing Fire/Rescue facilities and the other at or near Public Works. Each building to be approximately 50' x 60' of metal construction and with 14' x 14' doors.								
JUSTIFICATION:		ecure space to	house the app	aratus. Each fi		must have suit roaches a \$1 m	able indoor, iillion investment			
OPERATING IMPACT:	The operating maintenance of				onsisting of an a	additional opera	ating and			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-				TE:				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$150,000	\$150,000								
L	1				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$300,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	MAJOR MAIN	ITENANCE TO	STATION 4						
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	E				PRIORITY	6		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace existing roof shingles with an architectural grade shingle, paint the interior of the station, including the truck room, replace all heating, ventilating, and cooling (HVAC) components, including ductwork if needed, and replace all carpet throughout the building.								
	FY 09-10	Carpet Paint HVAC Roof Kitchen	(base & carper (2 coats)	t)		\$ 6,800 \$ 19,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 7,500 \$ 88,300			
JUSTIFICATION:	interior paint p the interior air end of their life	ments have bee present an ageo handler units v e expectancy a e to the building	d and worn app vith more efficie nd are showing	earance. The ent units will sa	replacement of ve energy. The	f the exterior H ^v e roof shingles	AC units and		
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		nt HVAC syster vent water dam	• •	•	osts. Replacir	ng the roof will o	decrease repair		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund									
Unmet		\$88,300							
						JECT COST:	\$88,300		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	CLASSROOM	COMMUNITY		TION FOR STA	ATION 6					
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE					PRIORITY	7			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a classroom/community room addition for Station 6. This addition would include a large room that could be divided with the use of movable walls, office areas, a small break area, and restroom facilities. The total size of the addition would be approximately 3,000 square feet.									
JUSTIFICATION:		ve as a facility	that the genera			ted city facilitie area would be	s in this area, it used for future			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project re- operating costs				Maintenance a	nd utilities will i	ncrease			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE		<u>TE:</u>	-			
	BUDGET	PLAN				SUBSEOU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	3003240				
Bond	\$300,000	2003-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Unmet	\$250,000									
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$550,000			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	EMERGENC				M						
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	JE				PRIORITY	8				
DESCRIPTION:	This project v	vould modify t	raffic signals to a	allow Fire/Rescue	e vehicles to pro	eempt them.					
JUSTIFICATION:	& Moseley Dr & 14th St. & Elm St. & Charles Blv & Plaza Mall & Landmark S & Hooker Rd & Memorial Dr. & Mall Dr. Memorial Dr. & Carolina Ea The primary j benefit the er as well as the Blvd. that are through the c	Greenville Blvd.Memorial Dr.Greene St.Greene St.5th St.& Moseley Dr.& Clubway& 5th St.& 1st St.& 14th St& 14th St.& Dickerson& 2nd St.& Albemarle& Elm St.& Moye10th St.& 3rd St.& Pitt& Charles Blvd.& Farmville Blvd & Charles Blvd& 4th St.& Washington& Plaza Mall& 5th St.& ECU& Airport Rd.& Evans& Landmark St.& 3rd St.& College Hill& Pactolus& Cotanche& Hooker Rd.Firetower Rd.& Elm St.14th St.& Reade& Memorial Dr.& Corey Rd.& Evans St.& Evans& Reade& Mall Dr.& Evans St.& Dickinson& Arlington Blvd& Farmville& Hwy 43SDickinson& Hwy 43SDickinson									
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposa	I will not incre	ase personnel co	ost.							
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPEN	IDED TO DATE	<u> </u>	-				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SCH	IEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR										
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet	\$125,400	\$99,000	\$72,600	\$79,200	\$59,400		\$59,400				
	ψ120, 1 00	ψ53,000	ψι 2,000	ψι 5,200	ψυυ,του		φ03,700				
				 	TOTAL PROJE	ECT COST:	\$435,600				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRE/RESCUE	E STATION NO	D. 8							
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE	E				PRIORITY	9			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a new Fire/Rescue station to serve the South Central High School area of the city. The proposed location has not been finalized. This project would purchase approximately two acres, construct a 8,100 square foot, three-bay Fire/Rescue station, and install the necessary furnishings to operate the facility.									
	FY 09-10 Design \$125,000 FY 10-11 Construction \$1,280,000									
JUSTIFICATION:		ons and the co	atioued growth	in the area as	station is neede	ad to provide th	e same quality			
<u>oconnication.</u>	of service to the response time meet guideline of this project is serviced by St department is now. The alte annexations co	the citizens in the within the City as set forth in the s to provide the ation 5 with ba- not an alternation rnative would bo ontinue toward	is area of the of 's fire and resc ne Insurance S e same quality ck up from Sta ive since their be to not build t the proposed	ity. This strate ue response of ervice Office (I service to all c tion 3 and Stati response time he station and oypass, serving	egic location of bjective of four SO) rating sche itizens of the ci ion 2. Contract would be greate continue with p	this facility will minutes or less edule. The prin ty. This area is ing with a volu er than our res present service of Station 5 will	provide a s. It will also nary justification s presently nteer fire ponse time is			
OPERATING IMPACT:					his includes sa		efits for an			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR YEAR									
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund										
Capital Reserve	\$220,000	405 000	4 000 000	L						
Unmet		125,000	1,060,000							
L	<u>I</u>				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,405,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRE ENGINE	FOR STATIO	N #8						
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	Ē				PRIORITY	10		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a pumper/ladder truck (Quint) for Station 8. Station #8 is scheduled to be built in FY 2010 - 2011. In addition, a one-time purchase (\$110,000) of loose equipment such as hose, tools, medical cache, etc. would also be required for this new piece of equipment. This equipment would be purchased the same year as the truck, since the truck cannot become operational without it.								
JUSTIFICATION:	operate up-to-	date equipmen		ational Fire Pro	tection Associa	ation (NFPA) S	ustification is to tandard 1901		
OPERATING IMPACT:	The operating	impact would i	nclude increas	ed operational	costs to run the	e truck and eve	ntually		
	additional mai	ntenance as th	e truck ages.						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund		2000 2010		2011 2012					
Unmet			\$920,000						
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$920,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	STATION 3 B	UILDING UPG	RADE							
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCUE	E				PRIORITY	11			
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	ould replace ex	κisting carpet, p	vaint the interior	r of the station,	, and perform o	ther repairs as			
JUSTIFICATION:	interior paint p	resent an ageo		earance. Prev	rious roof and H	ed in 1987. Th IVAC repairs w				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project wi	ll not result in a	any additional,	on-going opera	ational expense	∋s.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund	\$76,151									
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$76,151			

		CITY OF GREENVILLE										
	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM											
		PRC	DJECT WOR	KSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRE/RESCUI	E TECHNOLO	GY									
		_		1								
DEPARTMENT:	FIRE/RESCU	E				PRIORITY	12					
DESCRIPTION:	collection softwards dict agency. This wards the second se	This project would replace Fire / Rescue's Emergency Management System's (EMS) existing field data collection software in order to be in compliance with the National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) standards dictated by the North Carolina Pre-Hospital Medical Information System (NCPREMIS) agency. This was identified as a system to be replaced as a part of the Public Safety project but was spun off as a separate project.										
	FY 08-09 FY 09-10 FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	FY 09-10EMS Inventory System Software\$ 45,000FY 10-11Firefighter GPS based Locator System (Hardware & Software)\$ 55,000FY 11-12Hardware Upgrade (Phase I)\$ 20,000										
JUSTIFICATION:	the EMS softw enroute with th		ommittee woul expansion of s	d provide the c oftware capabi	apability to furt lities will over t	her extend qua	ality of care while emand on aging					
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 09-10 Soft FY 10-11 Soft FY 11-12 Soft	ware Maintena ware Maintena ware Maintena ware Maintena ware Maintena	nce & Support nce & Support nce & Support			\$8 \$9 \$2	,000 ,000 ,000 ,000 ,000					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-					
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR											
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund	\$26,000	\$31,500	\$30,000									
Unmet		\$13,500	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$35,000							
	1	1			TOTAL PRO		\$181.000					



This page intentionally left blank.

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

	BUDGET YEAR			PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS YEAR									
		200	8-09	200	9-10	201	10-11	201	1-12	201	12-13	TO	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
1	FINANCIAL SERVICES Financial Management System SUBTOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 FUNDING S	\$0 OURCE LEGEN		\$0	3,000,000 \$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	- \$0	3,000,000 \$3,000,000
			B - CR - G - GF - LP -	BONDS CAPITAL RESER GRANTS GENERAL FUNE LEASE PURCHA	RVE		NCDOT - PB - SF SWU -	POWELL BILL SANITATION F STORMWATER	UND				

STORMWATER UTILITY VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	FINANCIAL N	IANAGEMENT	SYSTEM									
DEPARTMENT:	FINANCIAL S	ERVICES				PRIORITY	1					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would install a new financial management system to assist the Financial Services Department in its stewardship of the City of Greenville's finances. The current core financial software applications, like payroll, have been in place for almost 17 years. The current system can no longer meet the department's needs. Modern financial management systems are comprehensive financial tools that encompass all financial processes. A fully integrated database system would increase productivity by reducing data entry. This integration facilitates fiscal oversight and monitoring. These new systems have built-in audit controls. An integrated database also enhances an organization's reporting capability through embedded report writers and GASB reporting modules.											
JUSTIFICATION:			-	re fiscal stabilit			urrent software partment.					
OPERATING IMPACT:		on-going opera en, those costs			e installed, ho	wever, as the s	ystem has not					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>D DATE:</u>	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-					
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund Unmet				\$3,000,000								
				<i>40,000,000</i>								
	I				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$3,000,000					

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

	BUDGET YEAR		PLAN YEAR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS								
		200	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
1 2 3	POLICE Property and Evidence Storage (GF) Mobile Command Post (UM) Public Safety Technology (GF,UM) SUBTOTAL	\$0	\$0	100,000 \$100,000	\$0 FUNDING SC	45,000 \$45,000 DURCE LEGEN	150,000 \$150,000 D	\$0	45,000 \$45,000	\$0	75,000 \$75,000	100,000 - 45,000 \$145,000	- 150,000 120,000 \$270,000
			B - CR - G - GF - LP -	BONDS CAPITAL RESER GRANTS GENERAL FUNE LEASE PURCHA)		PB - SF SWU -	POWELL BILL SANITATION F STORMWATER					

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	OFF-SITE ST	ORAGE FOR F	PROPERTY A									
DEPARTMENT:	POLICE]		PRIORITY	1					
DESCRIPTION:	Department's need to store property and evidence securely.											
JUSTIFICATION:	The Greenville Police Department Property and Evidence Room does not have enough space to store the more than 43,000 pieces of property and evidence. The lack of space has caused problems with storage, retrieval and disposition. Inadequate space also creates a safety hazard.											
OPERATING IMPACT:												
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-			NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-					
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUI	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund		\$100,000										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$100,000					

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	MOBILE CON	IMAND POST											
DEPARTMENT:	POLICE					PRIORITY	2						
DESCRIPTION:	This project would purchase a 25-foot vehicle to serve as a mobile office/substation/command center. The Police Department has long experienced a need for off-site office space; however, the transitional nature of crime and quality of life problems throughout the community make a fixed off-site location inefficient.												
JUSTIFICATION:	office wherever location and u time. This will will be equipped	er an immediate sed by officers allow a centra ed for use as a er, or mutual aid	e problem or sit dedicated to th I point of focus mobile comma	tuation dictates nat problem/situ in a community and center in th	. The mobile o uation for intern y or neighborho e event of an e	ffice can be st nediate to extended. Additional xtended crime	ationed at a ended periods of Illy, this vehicle						
OPERATING IMPACT:													
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DAT	<u>re:</u>	-						
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund			¢1E0.000										
Unmet			\$150,000										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$150,000						

CITY OF GREENVILLE													
		-	MPROVEME		AM								
PROJECT TITLE:	PUBLIC SAF	ETY TECHNOL	_OGY										
DEPARTMENT:	POLICE]	ł		PRIORITY	3						
DESCRIPTION:	intent is to pro information. H	afety project wo ovide tools for fie However, there a een implemente	eld reporting, e are additional s	easier access to	o records, and	better integration	on of						
	FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	FY 11-12Equipment Tracking, Vehicle Tracking, Bookings\$45,000											
JUSTIFICATION:	officers to add system. As th	ftware modules o dress departmer ne system expan sustain an appro	ntal needs. The	ese modules wi ese needs, addi	ill allow for a m itional hardwar	nore integrated	and efficient						
OPERATING IMPACT:	FY 10-11 FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Software Main	ntenance & Sup ntenance & Sup ntenance & Sup	port		\$8,100 \$8,100 \$8,100							
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>O DATE:</u>	\$750,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	\$6,700						
			APPRO	PRIATION SCI	HEDULE								
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR YEAR												
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund			\$45,000			ſ							
Unmet	Ţ			\$45,000	\$75,000								
		┦────┦				 							
	TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$165,000												

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS YEAR YEAR YEAR											
		200		2009		201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO	
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	
		IVIL I	OT THE	IVIE I	OT WILL I		OT THE	IVIL I	ONNET		ONNET	IVIL I	ONNIE I
	RECREATION/PARKS	†											
1	Bradford Creek Restroom/Shelter Facility (UM)	Ť			225,000							-	225,000
2	Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Restrooms (CR)	150,000										150,000	-
3	Park Specific Master Plans (UM)		50,000		50,000							-	100,000
4	Facility Feasibility Studies (UM)		60,000		40,000							-	100,000
5	Signage Replacement Project (UM)		65,000		35,000							-	100,000
6	City Pool Improvements (UM)		80,000									-	80,000
7	Drew Steele Center (G)	1,000,000										1,000,000	-
8	River Birch Tennis Center Renovations (GF)	55,000										55,000	
9	Play Equipment Renovation and Replacement (GF,UM)	194,010	15,000	85,000	15,000	110,000			120,000		130,000	389,010	280,000
10	Park Maintenance Shelter and Storage Rooms (UM)	202.000	40,000		202.000							-	40,000
11	Gymnasium HVAC Systems (CR,UM)	200,000	200,000		200,000		200.000		200.000		200,000	200,000	200,000
12	Land Banking Program (UM)		200,000		200,000		200,000		200,000		200,000	-	1,000,000
13	H. Boyd Lee Park Parking Lot Expansion (UM)		325,000									-	325,000
14	H. Boyd Lee Park Multi-purpose Fields (UM)		410,000 75,000									-	410,000
15 16	Evans Park and Jaycee Park Irrigation System (UM) Parking Lot Resurfacing and Paving (CR,UM)	25,000	30,000				50,000				100,000	25,000	75,000 180,000
10	Aquatics and Fitness Center Renovations (GF,UM)	10,525	30,000		29,300		50,000				100,000	10,525	29,300
18	ADA Paved Access Paths (GF)	40,000			27,500							40,000	27,500
19	60' Bucket Truck (UM)	10,000	110,000									-	110,000
20	Backhoe (UM)		110,000				50,000					-	50,000
21	Ball Field Bleachers (UM)		55,000		55,000		50,000					-	110,000
22	Town Common Bulkhead Study and Inspection (B)	50,000	,	500,000	,							550,000	-
23	Splash Parks (UM)	,	200,000	,							200,000	-	400,000
24	Portable Climbing Wall (UM)		40,000								,	-	40,000
25	Science and Nature Center Irrigation System (UM)		,		40,000							-	40,000
26	Park Land Development (UM)						200,000		1,000,000		500,000	-	1,700,000
27	Bradford Creek Soccer Complex Lighting (UM)		136,000		247,300		163,200					-	546,500
28	Sarah Vaughn Field Lights (UM)		65,000									-	65,000
29	River Park North Parking Lot (UM)										300,000	-	300,000
30	Boardwalk Trail (UM)								70,000			-	70,000
31	Jaycee Park Arts & Crafts Center Expansion (UM)				1,200,000							-	1,200,000
32	Climbing Walls/Climbing Rocks (UM)		70,000									-	70,000
33	South Greenville Rec. Center Renovations (UM)								320,000		5,380,000	-	5,700,000
34	Eppes Recreation Center Renovations (UM)				1,000,000		5,500,000					-	6,500,000
35	H. Boyd Lee Park - Phase II (UM)						27(000		3,000,000			-	3,000,000
36	Sports Connection Renovations (UM)						276,000		400,000			-	276,000
37 38	Park Development (UM) Participant Identification Card System (UM)								400,000 320,000			-	400,000 320,000
30 39	Skate Park (UM)						350,000		320,000			-	350,000
39 40	Community Center Expansion (UM)						330,000				1,500,000	-	1,500,000
40 41	Andrew Best Freedom Park	102.240									1,300,000	102,240	1,300,000
41	Firetower Road Park Development	88,700										88,700	-
	SUBTOTAL	\$1,915,475	\$2,026,000	\$585,000	\$3,336,600	\$110,000	\$6,789,200	\$0	\$5,430,000	\$0	\$8,310,000	\$2,610,475	\$25,891,800
					FUNDING SC	OURCE LEGEN							
B - BONDS CR - CAPITAL RESERVE					VF		NCDOT - PB -	NC DEPARTME POWELL BILL	INT OF TRANSPO	ORTATION			
G - GRANTS							SF	SANITATION F					
			GF -	GENERAL FUND			SWU -	STORMWATER	UTILITY				
	LP - LEASE PURCHASE VRF - VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND												

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	BRADFORD		OOR RESTRO	OM / SHELTE	R FACILITY								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	1						
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add two restrooms and shelter facilities on the Bradford Creek golf course. These facilities would be located on or around holes number #6 or #7 on the front nine and between holes number #14 and #15 on the back nine. Each restroom/shelter facility would need to have two restrooms (one men's and one women's) as well as a covered shelter area and possibly a water fountain or drink machine area.												
JUSTIFICATION:	johns on the course and	s are needed fo ourse as restro d surrounding r rience as well a	oms. They are neighborhoods.	e often vandaliz The restroom	zed, unsanitary facilities would	and basically d provide a mu	an eyesore to						
OPERATING IMPACT:		erating the buil ng cost, an occ					mited to the						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-			NDED TO DA	TE:							
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund Unmet		\$225,000											
		,,000											
					TOTAL PRO		\$225,000						

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	BRADFORD C	CREEK SOCC	ER COMPLEX	RESTROOMS	6							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	2					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add restrooms to the Bradford Creek Soccer Complex as part of Phase II of the Bradford Creek Soccer Complex renovations.											
JUSTIFICATION:	where port-a-jo program increa and their famili	ohns are no lor ased participati ies are using th	nger the best of	otion for this lo 00% from the p ns. In addition	cation. The paper of the paper	ast year alone, Currently, the 8	our soccer 350 participants					
OPERATING IMPACT:			trooms would b asional repair c				imited to the					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-]	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-					
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE Capital Reserve	2008-09 \$150,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
	φ100,000											
					TOTAL PROJ		\$150,000					

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	PARK SPECI	FIC MASTER I	PLANS										
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS]		PRIORITY	3						
DESCRIPTION:	This project would develop "park-specific" master plans to guide future improvements, modifications, and additions to new or existing community parks within the City's park system. A comprehensive master plan looks at all aspects of parks and recreation facilities and services city-wide from a total community perspective; it gives the "big picture." Park-specific master plans go into more detail about one particular park, specifically the Town Common and Phil Carroll property in FY 2009 and River Park North and the future River Hills Park in FY 2010. These details can guide essential decisions regarding the "what and where" of future park development or additions in order to avoid waste, duplication, placement of facilities in an inappropriate park, environmentally inappropriate place, or a place that will interfere with later development or follow-on needs.												
JUSTIFICATION:						decisions about							
	development c	of Greenville's (community-wid	e parks and er	isuring tax-pay	er dollars are s	pent wisely.						
OPERATING IMPACT:			pe limited to the	e time required	to develop a r	equest for prop	osals (RFP) and						
	to monitor the	project.											
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-						
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE								
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund													
Unmet	\$50,000	\$50,000											
					TOTAL PROJ	IECT COST:	\$100,000						

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	FACILITY FE	ASIBILITY STU	JDIES										
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS]		PRIORITY	4						
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund feasibility studies to help gather relevant information about each Recreation and Parks facility and its operation. This data would be analyzed to determine the best possible course of action for each facility. The department has numerous facilities that are in need of repair, improvement, renovation, replacement, or analysis to determine whether they are meeting their potential. Instead of haphazardly spending money to address "today's issue", these studies would facilitate carefully-considered decisions regarding each facility's future to ensure investments make sense for the future of the facility. There are several aging and deteriorating facilities within our Recreation & Parks system that will need significant modifications or improvements in the near future, including Eppes Recreation Center, South Greenville Recreation Center, the Sports Connection, the Teen Center, the Elm Street Gym (in preparation for its conversion into the Drew Steele Center), and Extreme Park. This project would fund five individual studies over two fiscal years, averaging \$20,000 per study.												
JUSTIFICATION:													
	be foolhardy. the future. The	This research s en each facility	should include 's capacity, usa		ons and specif ue potential sh	ic services nee ould be studied	esearch would ded today and in . This will allow						
OPERATING IMPACT:			be limited to the	e time required	to develop a r	equest for prop	osals (RFP) and						
	to monitor the	project.											
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>D DATE:</u>	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-						
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE								
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund Unmet	\$60,000	\$40,000											
L				<u> </u>	TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$100,000						

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	SIGNAGE RE	PLACEMENT	PROJECT										
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	5						
DESCRIPTION:	This project would develop design standards, design, and create new signage to replace almost all signs associated with the City of Greenville's parks, trails, and recreation facilities to include identifying, entrance, in-park, and in-facility signs. This project would also add landscaping whenever possible and wherever appropriate. Sign installation would be contracted. The total project would stretch over two fiscal years.												
JUSTIFICATION:	In general, cur	rent park signa	aae is inferior. i	nadequate and	inconsistent.	While a few ar	e creativelv						
	events they ref Parks Departm facility. We w making modifie draws an obvio	ference are pro nent. In addition vill use the fina cations to creat bus relationship	ovided by the C on, most of our I design selecte te departmenta o between the	ity of Greenvill signs don't con ed for the Wayf I identity, but m Recreation and	e or are operativey the quality inding Project a naintaining a co	ed by the Recr of the particul as a model for lor scheme an nent and the C	ar park or our signage, id look that Sity of Greenville.						
OPERATING IMPACT:	Some operation	ng impact woul	d be incurred b	out would be lin	nited to sign an	d landscaping	maintenance.						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	40000			<u>[E:</u>	-						
	APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE												
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013								
General Fund Unmet	\$65,000	\$35,000											
					TOTAL PRO	DJECT COST:	\$100,000						

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	CITY POOL IN	MPROVEMEN	rs						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS]		PRIORITY	6		
DESCRIPTION:	room benches	and fixtures.	ew building faç This project wo ŋ, develop new	uld also add a	picnicking area				
JUSTIFICATION:	While the pool, deck, and filter system have been renovated and improved in recent years, the building, entryway and locker room gives the perception of a dilapidated, neglected, inner-city facility. Before other aquatic facilities are created in the city, existing facilities must be maintained to the fullest possible extent. This facility was created to serve a need that should not be neglected in deference to other areas of the city.								
OPERATING IMPACT:	Some addition	al landscaping	maintenance r	may be necess	ary.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet	\$80,000								
<u> </u>					TOTAL PRO	DJECT COST:	\$80,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	DREW STEEL	E CENTER							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS]		PRIORITY	7		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would convert the Elm Street Gym into the Drew Steele Center, a totally accessible indoor recreation, community, and special-populations facility. Over \$400,000 has been raised toward the project by the Drew Steele Foundation, and another fund raiser is anticipated for spring/summer 2008. In January 2009, we will apply for a \$500,000 Parks and Recreation Trust Fund (PARTF) grant as a match for this project, with actual work scheduled to begin shortly after grant announcement. Considering the uniqueness and impact of this proposal, PARTF funding is likely. The City's contribution is anticipated to bring the total project budget to at least \$1 million. (Additional support may be sought from other grants with the possible involvement of ECU.)								
JUSTIFICATION:		es to meet the	e needs of all its			on efforts. Whi also important	-		
OPERATING IMPACT:	costs will incre to help create	ase. We are h an operational or fee waivers f	opeful that fun endowment to	draising efforts offset some of	toward its cor the expenses	struction will be	e fruitful enough the operation		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Grant	1,000,000								
Unmet	1,000,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST.	1,000,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	RIVER BIRCH	TENNIS CEN	TER RENOVA	TIONS				
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	8	
DESCRIPTION:	This project would resurface eight tennis courts and add a new fence around them. The original fence was installed in early 1980s and needs to be replaced. The fence is rusty and warped in many places, allowing tennis balls to roll under and off courts. Door frames are warped from age. The court surfaces are scheduled to be resurfaced every three years, however, it has been seven years since these eight tennis courts have been resurfaced.							
JUSTIFICATION:	Safe and aesth courts are also					rogramming. G	Good quality	
OPERATING IMPACT:	No additional o	cost or ongoing	cost once proj	ject is in place.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
General Fund Unmet	\$55,000							
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$55,000	

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	PLAY EQUIP	MENT RENOV	ATION AND R		T FOR VARIO	JS PARKS				
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	9			
DESCRIPTION:				replace older e as, and replace			s in parks, meet uipment.			
				ntract installatic uidelines for sa			play equipment			
JUSTIFICATION:	formulated cor number of play the program of accident attribu Repairing and	ncerning the typ y equipment ac f updating our p uted to faulty e replacing indiv	bes and design cidents and ge blay equipment quipment can r ridual pieces as	of play equipn neral concerns to ensure it m	nent in respons over play equ eets these guid cial liability tha worn and dang	se to nationwic ipment. We n delines. The n t far exceeds gerous does no	this investment. ot allow us the			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not affect p	ersonnel or sig	nificantly incre	ase operationa	Il or maintenar	nce costs.			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN '	YEARS	SUBSEQ	UENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$194,010 15,000	\$85,000 15,000	\$110,000	\$120,000	\$130,000					
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$669,010			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	PARK MAINT	ENANCE SHE	LTER AND ST	ORAGE ROO	MS				
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	10		
DESCRIPTION:							fing membrane. uildings is 135'		
JUSTIFICATION:	This project would keep the understructure from rotting, provide good dry storage areas and prevent structural damage and major maintenance.								
OPERATING IMPACT:	This will not in	crease personi	nel but would p	rotect equipme	ent and supplie	s from water da	amage.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	·		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet	\$40,000								
	•		-	-	TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$40,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	GYMNASIUM	HVAC						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	11	
DESCRIPTION:	 This project would install two 20-ton heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) units with duct system, control wiring, and electrical wiring in each gym listed below. Pitt County recently installed this same type of system in the high schools. FY 08-09 Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center Eppes FY 09-10 South Greenville H. Boyd Lee Park 							
JUSTIFICATION:	Providing patro	ons with climate	e-controlled en	vironments will	l increase the u	se of these fac	silities.	
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This would not	increase perso	onnel costs, bu	it would increas	se utility and co	ontracted servio	ces costs.	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-	
			APPRC	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS			JENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
General Fund								
Capital Reserve	\$200,000							
Unmet		\$200,000						
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$400,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	LAND BANKI	NG PROGRAM	1						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	12		
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	ould provide op	en space and	parkland for co	mmunity need	S.			
	as recommend account until a	ded in the City's ppropriate land	s Comprehensi I becomes ava	ve Master Plar ilable.	n. Funds would	e and recreatio d be held in a la	and bank		
	Presently, the expands, addit					nd. As the City ional needs.	grows and		
JUSTIFICATION:						jected commur ace and parkla			
OPERATING IMPACT:	As additional la	and is purchas	ed, personnel,	operations and	I maintenance	costs will increa	ase.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund	#000	#000	#000	#000	#000 000				
Unmet	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000				
						ECT COST:	\$1.000.000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	H. BOYD LEE	PARK PARK	NG LOT EXP	NSION					
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	13		
DESCRIPTION:	This project we area.	ould expand the	e existing parki	ng at H. Boyd	Lee Park and a	add lights in the	e new parking		
				Irking lot compl ding bushes, tr			vork, nightlights, and signs).		
JUSTIFICATION:				est is to develo ram participant		sly purchased	for the complex		
OPERATING IMPACT:			king, we will co to a severe ero		o repair the are	ea currently us	ed for parking.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet	\$325,000								
	<i>\\</i> 020,000								
<u> </u>					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$325,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	H. BOYD LEE	MULTI-PURP	OSE FIELDS						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS]		PRIORITY	14		
DESCRIPTION:		ging of turf, fo	ur baseball/sof	tball backstops	, bleachers, lig		l include grading, ur home plates,		
JUSTIFICATION:	Two additional multi-purpose fields would meet the demand from growing sports programs. Currently, we do not have adequate space for our youth baseball teams to practice and play games. There is no capacity for additional growth. When this project is complete, the full appropriation of funds would allow us to play Big Fry and Little Fry baseball games at this site. This project would also serve as a facility for our growing adult and youth flag football programs as well as Greenville Pop Warner Football and Pitt County Youth Lacrosse and the many corporate functions that are held at Boyd Lee Park.								
OPERATING IMPACT:	this project wo	uld include an		oplies and part-		ons. The opera but there would	tional impact of I also be a		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUI	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS		
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet	\$410.000								
	φ 4 10,000								
	L I		1	1	TOTAL PROJ	IECT COST:	\$410,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:		SYSTEM UPG	RADES AT EV	ANS PARK A	ND JAYCEE P	ARK			
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	15		
DESCRIPTION:	the most effec playing fields a	tive and efficien and water cons	nt use of water	resources. Re h targeted cov	ealization of this pr	s project ensur	erage, but also es quality quire upgrading		
	The following	list is in priority	order for upgra	ades:					
	FY 08-09	Evans Park Jaycee Park		\$45,000 \$30,000					
JUSTIFICATION:			necessity. The watering playing		ents will elimina	ate the potentia	I for waste and		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project we	ould not affect o	operations and	maintenance	personnel.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Unmet	\$75,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$75,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	PARKING LC	T PAVING AN	D RESURFAC	ING							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATIO	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	16				
DESCRIPTION:	This project w marking parki	•	xisting parking	lots by patchin	g holes and re	surfacing parki	ng lots to include				
	This project w participants.	ould improve p	aved parking a	reas thus impro	oving access a	nd safety for pr	ogram				
	FY 08-09	Perkins Comp Elm St. Gym	lex		\$30,000 \$25,000						
	FY 10-11	Town Commo River Birch Te	n ennis Center Pa	rking	\$30,000 \$20,000						
	FY 12-13Greenfield Terrace Park and Barnes Ebron Taft Community Center\$100,000										
JUSTIFICATION:		ustifications for th Disabilities A			rating necessit	y, emergency a	access, and				
		e of resurfacing			ue repairing da	amaged parking	g lots.				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This will pot in			oduco mon bo	ure for grading	arovel lote po	tobing bolog and				
OPERATING IMPACT.		terials. This wou					ching holes and				
			Ī			TE.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>o date.</u>	-				<u>1E.</u>					
	L			PRIATION SC							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	\$ 05,000				ļ						
Capital Reserve Unmet	\$25,000 30,000		50,000		100,000						
	30,000		50,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$205.000				
		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF		RAM						
-------------------	---	---	-------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--
PROJECT TITLE:	RENOVATION		CS & FITNESS	CENTER							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	17				
DESCRIPTION:	the outside gy events. Addin exiting from th small rooms in area clean up new energy-ef	This project would complete needed upgrades to the Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center. Replacing the outside gymnasium doors would provide better security and easier access to the facility for large events. Adding emergency lighting in locker rooms and exercise rooms would allow safe emergency exiting from the building. Enclosing a storage area with ten-foot brick wall and door and combining two small rooms into one room would increase usable space. In addition, this project would fund a complete area clean up to include painting, replacing mat flooring and improved ventilation. It would also install new energy-efficient, cost-saving light fixtures in workout areas. These prices include hardware, removal, labor, and a 10% contingency for unforeseen costs.									
	FY 08-09Replace outside gymnasium doors\$ 10,000Locker room emergency lighting\$ 525FY 09-10Storage space and improved ventilation\$ 15,000Workout area lights\$ 14,300										
JUSTIFICATION:	This project w	ould create lon	q-term financia	I savings, secu	ure the building	, increase the s	afety of				
			strive to meet t	-	-						
OPERATING IMPACT:		The cost of el				rograms and th indows and doo					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	\$10,525										
Unmet		\$29,300									
					TOTAL PROJ	FCT COST	\$39.825				

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	ADA PAVED	ACCESS PAT	HS							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	18			
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	This project would install paved access paths at River Park North and Town Common.								
	These paved paths would allow improve access around these facilities in accordance with the American with Disabilities Act (ADA).									
JUSTIFICATION:	Current paths	are not access formers and pa	sible for wheelc	hair users.			ver Park North.			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This will not in facilities.	crease person	nel, but would ı	reduce costs to	o maintain grav	el and dirt path	s at these			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-]		ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$40,000									
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$40,000			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	60' BUCKET 1	RUCK								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	19			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a 60-foot bucket truck for maintenance of trees, fences, park equipment, and lighting fixtures. A bucket truck is essential for trimming limbs and tall shrubs, replacing light bulbs, repairing scoreboards, cleaning gutters and hanging nets and windscreens.									
JUSTIFICATION:	expects from it	s parks and re	creation depart	tment. Without	t this equipmer	seable condition at the departme st-effectively in	ent will have to			
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This truck will	not require add	litional personn	iel, but will redi	uce contracted	-services costs				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$110,000									
	<i></i>									
<u> </u>					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$110,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE	ENT PROGI	RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	BACKHOE								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	20		
DESCRIPTION:	large loads of	trash and debr	d Backhoe for p is, such as tree s gravel, dirt, an	limbs, digging			r hauling away loading and		
	This piece of equipment is presently on NC State contract.								
JUSTIFICATION:	expects from i	ts parks and re	to maintain par creation depart hich, in the long	ment. Withou	t this equipmer	t the departme	nt will have to		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This backhoe	will not require	additional pers	onnel, but will	reduce contrac	ted-services co	osts.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>O DATE:</u>	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Unmet			\$50,000						
			+==,000						
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$50,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	BALL FIELD BLEACHERS									
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	21			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace spectator bleachers at softball and baseball fields.									
	Total number o	Total number of bleachers to be replaced is 11 sets of five row aluminum bleachers.								
JUSTIFICATION:	Current bleach necessary to e					achers. Replac	ements are			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This will not ind the City of Gre				retrofit bleach	ers and reduce	the liability to			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$55,000	\$55,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$110,000			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	TOWN COMMON BULKHEAD FEASIBILITY STUDY AND INSPECTION								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	22		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund a feasibility study and inspection of the existing sheet piling bulkhead where corrosion, weather, and other environmental deterioration have occurred. Realization of this project insures continued safe use of the large walkway along the Tar River.								
	This bulkhead was constructed approximately 30 years ago as an integral part of the redevelopment project that resulted in the Town Common Park. The bulkhead forms the wall that creates the esplanade concrete walk adjacent to and overlooking the Tar River. Thousands of people enjoy sightseeing, walking, jogging, and biking along this walkway.								
	An alternative method would be to wait until holes appear in the bulkhead wall and inspect each of these as they occur. This method risks environmental criticism as not being proactive in preventing sediment from washing into the Tar River. It is also more costly to contract small portions over a period of years.								
	The cost of an evaluation this year would be \$50,000.								
JUSTIFICATION:	inspection and	study is neces in to ensure pu	ıblic safety, ma	ine the condition	on of the retain	ing wall and a	scertain the best		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project wi	II not increase	personnel or m	naintenance co	sts.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE Bond	2008-09 \$50,000	2009-2010 \$500,000	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
	+30,000	<i></i>							
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$550,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	SPLASH PAR	KS								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	23			
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	ould build a wa	ter splash park	at Thomas Fo	reman Park ar	nd another futur	re location.			
<u>DECOMPTION.</u>	The advantage No lifeguards a	The advantage of a water park is that it is less expensive to build and operate than a swimming pool. No lifeguards are needed and less staff and maintenance are required. Non-swimmers and the handicapped can also use a splash park.								
JUSTIFICATION:	recreational op	portunities and	is project is to p d enhance the a sh parks for the	amenities at Th	nomas Forema					
OPERATING IMPACT:	The proposal v	will increase pe	ersonnel. mainte	enance. and ut	ilitv costs.					
					.,					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund	\$200,000				¢200.000					
Unmet	\$200,000				\$200,000					
					TOTAL PRO I	FCT COST	\$400.000			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	PORTABLE C	LIMBING WA	LL						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	24		
DESCRIPTION:	like climbing s electric brakes	urfaces that ac	commodate a l system, and for	ull range of cli	mbers. It inclu	ng wall with fou des a two-axle that allows up t			
JUSTIFICATION:	year, at variou Eppes and So 4th of July cele	s park program uth Greenville ebration and fe	ns and camps, Recreation Cei stivals, major b	including the P nters. It would paseball tourna	Police Athletic L also be used a ments, but a fe	eague (PAL) p at special event ee would be cha	s such as the		
OPERATING IMPACT:		d operational o	costs will likely			to transport and vhich may also			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet	\$40,000								
	φ+0,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$40,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	IRRIGATION	SYSTEM SCIE	NCE AND NA	TURE CENTE	R					
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	25			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would install an irrigation system around the grounds and plant beds adjacent to the Science and Nature Center. A pump would be installed to draw water for the irrigation system from the natural pond near the center.									
JUSTIFICATION:						d, and plant be pearance of th				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This installatio	n will reduce st	aff hours in wa	tering the grou	inds and plant	beds.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet		\$40,000								
		φ+0,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$40,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROGI	RAM		
PROJECT TITLE:	PARK LAND	DEVELOPMEN	NT				
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	26
DESCRIPTION:						the soon-to-be some accessil	annexed River bility and use.
JUSTIFICATION:	space for both The City's curr that will remain	passive and a rent Comprehe n true as the pl	ctive recreatior nsive Parks Ma an is updated.	nal opportunitie aster Plan reco We request a	s, the majority mmends its de park-specific m	with river acces of which would velopment, and naster plan be o d Recreation Tr	be outdoor. I we anticipate
OPERATING IMPACT:	As this project	is completed,	it will have a sig	gnificant impac	t on the mainte	enance budget.	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE		
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTUR		YFARS	SUBSFOU	ENT YEARS
	YEAR	YEAR					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013		
General Fund Unmet			\$200,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000		\$500,000
			<i>\</i> ,000	<i></i>	<i></i>		\$000,000
					TOTAL DOG		A 4 B 00 000
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$1,700,000

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	BRADFORD	CREEK SOCC	ER COMPLEX	, PHASE II WI	TH LIGHTING						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATIO	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	27				
DESCRIPTION:	the five regula	This project would add lighting to three soccer fields and a new paved parking lot. Currently only two of the five regulation-size soccer fields have lights. The current parking lot is gravel and is not wheelchair riendly, even though we hold a wheelchair soccer league at the complex. This project would pave and ght it.									
	FY 08-09	To light one fie	eld			\$136,000					
	FY 10-11	To light one fie	eld and do the I	parking lot impr	rovements	\$247,300					
	FY 12-13	To light one fie	eld			\$163,200					
JUSTIFICATION:	would reduce practices and we have expe	that presently maintenance o games. In add grienced for the ncreased by ne	n the two fields ition, these ligh previous three	with lights and ts and parking years. This part	d would allow f facilities will a st year alone, o	or additional nig ccommodate th our soccer prog	ght time he rate of growth gram				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not require	additional full-	time personnel.	, but will increa	ise utility costs.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet	\$136,000	\$247,300	\$163,200								
L	l	1			TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$546,500				

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	SARAH VAUG	HN FIELD BA	ALL FIELD LIG	HTS					
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	28		
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	ould install ball	field lights with	light controls.					
JUSTIFICATION:	programs that	stimulate grow s thus maximiz	th and activity.	A lighted ball	field would allo	ortunity to partici ow staff the abilit with disabilities			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This will not in	crease person	nel, but would i	ncrease utility	costs.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Unmet	\$65,000								
L	1		1		TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$65,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:		ING FOR RIV	ER PARK NOF	RTH						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS]		PRIORITY	29			
DESCRIPTION:	This project w	ould patch hole	es, resurface ex	kisting parking	lots, and mark	parking spaces	i.			
	This project would improve paved parking areas, thus improving access and safety for park visitors and program participants.									
JUSTIFICATION:	Safety and op	erational neces	ssity are the pri	mary justification	ons for this pro	ject.				
	The alternative	e to resurfacing	g paved parking	g lot is to contin	nue repairing da	amaged park p	roperty.			
OPERATING IMPACT:					o repair the are	ea currently use	ed for parking.			
	This is not cos	t-effective due	to a severe er	osion problem.						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	1	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet					\$300,000					
	1		1	1	TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$300,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	BOARDWAL	(TRAIL							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	30		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would construct a section of boardwalk trail across a portion of the swamp near River Park North. The boardwalk would be approximately 1,000 linear feet and would connect to our current trail system.								
JUSTIFICATION:	River Park No would allow pa	rth is our Wate	visitors to the p r Tupelo Swam ace to walk am pical year .	p area. A sect	tion of boardwa	alk trail built thro	ough this area		
OPERATING IMPACT:		vould be neede trail free of del		orn and damag	ged wooden se	ctions as requir	red and to keep		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>O DATE:</u>	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Unmet				\$70,000					
L	1				TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$70,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	JAYCEE PAR	K ADMINISTR		RTS & CRAF	TS					
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS]		PRIORITY	31			
DESCRIPTION:	space and roo The first is to u which are almo Americans with security featur	This project would expand the Jaycee Administration and Arts & Crafts Center to provide more office space and rooms that would be used for meetings, classes, and events. This project has two objectives. The first is to update the Recreation and Parks Department's main administrative and operations offices which are almost 30 years old. The facility needs to have improvements similar to that of City Hall with Americans with Disabilities Act compliant access, IT capabilities for future growth, modern façade, and security features. Needed improvements are expanded office space, improved security, additional storage, and a better way to direct patrons around and through the facility.								
	The second objective is to expand the Arts & Crafts section of the building to allow the pottery program to expand. Presently, a 324 square foot (SF) room holds all pottery-related programs. Also, this single area is used for throwing, hand building, glazing, and storage. There are only six wheels, which severely restricts class size resulting in a constant waiting list for classes. The construction of a 1,200 SF addition would include floor drains, utility sink and faucet, storage shelving and a 300 SF storage closet. This expansion would make the space more conducive to pottery classes and allow more wheels which would increase class size.									
JUSTIFICATION:	main administ to the people v	rative and oper who work and v	ations offices s visit there. Exp	should be upda anding the Arts	ted to provide s and Crafts se	better security ection would ge	s Department's and functionality nerate more of class waiting			
OPERATING IMPACT:		will increase ma e off-set by the				costs. Some of	the additional			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SO	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund		A 4 000 555				ļ				
Unmet		\$1,200,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$1,200,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	CLIMBING W	ALLS / CLIMB	ING ROCKS								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	32				
DESCRIPTION:	attraction in ou second, about	This project would install manufactured climbing rocks in two City parks. These would be a very special attraction in our community parks. The larger of the two would be at River Park North (\$40,000) and the second, about 10' tall, would be placed at a more central location such as Evans Park or South Greenville (\$30,000).									
JUSTIFICATION:	desirability and	d popularity. Ir		may well help	us reach segm	ks and certainly lents of the pop	/ increase their pulation not				
OPERATING IMPACT:		River Park Noi				programming e ional expense v					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund											
Unmet	\$70,000										
	1		I		TOTAL PROJ	FCT COST	\$70.000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	OJECT TITLE: SOUTH GREENVILLE RECREATION CENTER RENOVATIONS										
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	RECREATION & PARKS PRIORITY 33									
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the architectural fees and construction of a new 22,000 square foot (SF) building to replace the existing 14,744 SF South Greenville Recreation Center, built in 1956. Over time, community needs for this building have changed. Also included in this project would be improvements to the playground and parking lots. The proposed project would enhance Greenville's redevelopment efforts in the southern part of the city. Currently, South Greenville Recreation Center is heavily used by Pitt County Schools, Police Athletic League (PAL) after-school program, basketball, summer camps, and special events. This new construction effort would be a tremendous asset to the South Greenville community. The new building would include two air conditioned gyms, indoor track, game room, climbing wall, weight room, computer lab, four classrooms, small library, and office space. The new building would provide citizens with a modern facility to hold the Pitt County School's Physical Education Classes, Police Athletic League (PAL) after-school program, summer camps, senior activities, basketball tournaments, and special events.										
	FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Architectural F Demolition & (\$ 320,000 \$ 5,380,000 \$ 5,700,000	-					
JUSTIFICATION:	is to upgrade t enhance the s community ne Schools Mond The addition o	he recreation of ite's productivit eds. The curre ay-Fridays fron f a second gym	frastructure and center building ty, create oppo- ent gymnasium n 8:00am-2:00 n would allow fo safety and sec	and add parkin rtunity to provic is used by the om. During this or morning use	g and addition le more progra adjacent Sout s time, the gyn by the comm	al gym space, ams, and meet h Greenville El- n area is closed unity. Finally, tl	current ementary d to the public. he new				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not increas	e personnel ar	d will most like	ly decrease fa	cility maintena	nce cost.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	4000	FUNDS EXPE		<u>TE:</u>	-				
	BUDGET	PLAN		PRIATION SC		SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS				
	YEAR	YEAR	0040 0044	0011 0010	0040 0040						
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Unmet	1			\$320,000	\$5,380,000						
L					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$5,700,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	PROJECT TITLE: EPPES RECREATION CENTER RENOVATIONS									
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	34			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the architectural fees and construction of a new 22,000 square foot (SF) building to replace the existing 18,000 SF Eppes Recreation Center, constructed in the early 1950's. Also included in this project would be improvements to the playground and parking lots. Over the years, community needs for the building have changed. The current Eppes Recreation Center is heavily used for an after-school program, basketball, summer camps, and special events. This new construction effort would be a tremendous asset to the West Greenville Revitalization efforts and the surrounding community. The new building would include two air conditioned gyms, indoor track, game room, 									
JUSTIFICATION:	building posse corridors whic which has bec not energy eff	esses significan h can not be se come slightly se	t safety and se een from the re- parated from the sults in higher of	curity risks due creation offices he foundation i electrical cost,	e to many build s. Other conce n some areas, and the gymn	the gymnasiun asium is not air	oints and game room floor n's windows are			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not increas	e personnel an	d will most like	ly decrease fa	cility maintenar	nce cost.			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR									
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund		¢4.000.000								
Unmet		\$1,000,000	\$5,500,000							
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$6,500,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	H. BOYD LEE	PARK - PHAS	SE II							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS]		PRIORITY	35			
DESCRIPTION:		ould build a sec II of this park.	cond gymnasiu	m and a pool a	at H. Boyd Lee	Park. This was	s in the master			
	This project would create a facility that will be able to house multiple programs simultaneously, as well as offer a pool for outdoor use.									
	Presently, one gymnasium is located at this site.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary ju based on com		nis project requ	lest is to compl	ete Phase II of	f the Master Pla	an which was			
OPERATING IMPACT:	The proposal	will increase pe	ersonnel, utility	and maintena	nce costs.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO				FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR			-	JUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Unmet				\$3,000,000						
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$3,000,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	SPORTS CO	NNECTION RE	NOVATIONS								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATIO	N & PARKS				PRIORITY	36				
DESCRIPTION:	provide a mod batting cage n	This project would renovate the Sports Connection gym and batting cage nets. The gym remodel would provide a modern facility with an air-conditioned gym, new wood floor, two additional basketball goals, batting cage nets, and additional office space to hold summer camps, senior activities, basketball tournaments, and special events.									
	FY 10-11	Renovations			\$ 276,000						
	These renovations are necessary to keep this facility operational and are in no way duplicated in the Community Center Expansion project.										
JUSTIFICATION:	carpeted gym The gym is no health hazard	. The batting ca afety hazard to	ne years old, st d and inside te age nets have i	ained, and has mperatures car not been replac	s several runs p n reach in exce ced since the C	presenting a sat less of ninety de City has owned	fety hazard. grees creating a the facility,				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not increas	e personnel an	d will most like	ly decrease fa	cility maintenar	ice cost.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet			\$276,000								
			ψ210,000								
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$276,000				

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	PARK DEVEL	OPMENT							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS]		PRIORITY	37		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would develop a neighborhood park in FY 2012 on approximately seven acres of property at Millbrook Street and Memorial Drive which the City will purchase soon. This park will extend the City's greenway because it is on Green Mill Run.								
JUSTIFICATION:	Drive, it contai opportunities.	ns only a smal	l playground ar is also located	Although, the nd shelter, there I along Green N	efore offering li	mited recreation			
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	As the park is	developed, per	rsonnel, operat	ions and maint	enance costs v	vill increase.			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet				\$400,000					
	1	<u> </u>	1		TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$400,000		

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF		RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	TITLE: PARTICIPANT IDENTIFICATION CARD SYSTEM								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS]		PRIORITY	38		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would purchase an identification system designed to meet the safety and security needs of Greenville Recreation and Parks Department facilities and services. It would provide a participant with a card which would be scanned when entering all recreation centers. The system would include customer and employee identification cards, door access and security systems, employee record keeping systems, participant emergency information, and smart card readers. In addition, locker rentals would be handled through the system, and equipment inventoried, checked out, and tracked through this system. Locations: GAFC, Bradford Creek, Boyd Lee Park, South Greenville Recreation Center, Eppes Recreation Center, Elm Street Gym, Sports Connection, River Birch Tennis Center, Teen Center, Jaycee Park & Arts/Craft Center.								
JUSTIFICATION:	The card syste	em would provi	de Greenville F	Recreation & Pa	ark's Departme	ent's recreation	facilities with		
	can provide fu freeing employ facility without the staff the ca	Il access contro vees to perform showing identi	ol by activating o other tasks. (fication or proc the identificati	signal devices Currently, partic lucing emerger on of everyone	to open doors cipants are allo ncy information	wed to enter a	nstiles, thereby ny recreation stem would give		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not increas	e personnel.						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund		2000 2010	2010 2011						
Unmet				\$320,000					
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$320,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	SKATE PARK								
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	N & PARKS]		PRIORITY	39		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would build a new skate park. The new location has yet to be determined. This park would contain various types of ramps, including mini half-pipes, platforms, and grind boxes, for skateboarders, skaters, and freestyle bikers.								
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification of this project is for popularity, participation, and space needs. Presently over 2,500 participants are registered in our skate park facility. This is a tremendous number for a facility this size. There is not enough room at the current facility for the expansion that is needed to accommodate current and future demand. The City of Greenville has produced many state and nationally ranked athletes through this facility and its programs. A new site would enable the department to build a skate park where local talent could continue to flourish and develop. State and national events could be held at the new facility which, if broadcast, would be great publicity for the City. The alternative is to keep maintaining the current facility and restrict participation levels because of lack of space.								
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will increase pa	art-time person	nel and mainte	enance costs.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE		<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund			ФОГО 000			ļ			
Unmet			\$350,000						
							¢250.000		
					TOTAL PROJ	ECT COST:	\$350,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	COMMUNITY	CENTER EXP	ANSION							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	40			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add to and transform the three existing separate facilities (The Sports Connection, Perkins Baseball Complex, and Teen Center) into a community park with a playground. The result will be an 8,000 square-foot community building with offices and meeting rooms to accommodate meetings and programs such as bridge classes, senior functions, summer camps, and teen programs. There will also be a gymnasium, a baseball/softball/sports training facility, baseball fields with restrooms, batting cages, concessions stands, and additional parking.									
JUSTIFICATION:	park as called within close pr We will apply f construction o	for in our compoximity to this a for a \$500,000	orehensive mas area unless chi Parks and Rec Ve will have th	ster plan. Ther Idren cross Gro reation Trust F ree years to sp	e are no playg eenville Boulev Fund (PARTF) (rounds or comr	in the			
OPERATING IMPACT:	A one-time on	erational cost o	of \$10,000 for a	site plan is rea	quired					
				·	aintenance cos	ts.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet					\$1,500,000					
					· ,,					
					TOTAL PRO I	FCT COST:	\$1,500,000			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	ANDREW BE	ST FREEDOM	PARK - PHAS		MENTS					
				1						
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	& PARKS				PRIORITY	41			
DESCRIPTION:	meets the nee jogging trail, th signage impro	ds of the park he paving of the vements and o discussed with	users and the e parking lot ar other park ame community lea	community at la ea, galvanized nities. This will aders. It will ha	arge. Work wil fence painting be a high visib ave very positiv	ffort to more ap I include the pa , landscape en ility project that re benefits for th	hancements, has been			
JUSTIFICATION:	the community NOTE: The o	v as discussed	in on-site mee	tings.)0, but \$88,700		and meet the e				
	F =									
OPERATING IMPACT:		of the irrigation	system. Howe	ever, that increa			o the current level of			
FUNDS APPROVED T	O DATE:	\$102,240		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	0			
		, ∠					-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTU		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
·	YEAR	YEAR								
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Capital Improvement	\$102,240									
L	1		1	1		DJECT COST:	\$102,240			
							ψ. 02,2 YU			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	FIRETOWER	ROAD PARK I	DEVELOPMEN	IT - PHASE II							
DEPARTMENT:	RECREATION	I & PARKS				PRIORITY	42				
DESCRIPTION:	This project we	ould continue t					ruction of a trail picnic shelter.				
JUSTIFICATION:	trails leading to	the various n		ire necessary f	to meet the nee	t lot" for younge eds of more are					
OPERATING IMPACT:	This request w	ill not significa	ntly increase m	aintenance, pe	ersonnel, or uti	lity costs.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet	\$88,700										
					TOTAL PROJ	FCT COST	\$88,700				



This page intentionally left blank.

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUD YE		PL4 YE4				FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
		2008		2009		201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO [.]	ΓAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
	PUBLIC WORKS												
1	Residential Rear Load Refuse Truck (LP,SF)	125,000		130,000								255,000	-
2	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Mausoleum Roof (GF)	35,000										35,000	-
3 4	Administration Building Flooring Replacement (UM)	4 42 22 4					35,000					4 42 22 4	35,000
-	Police - Fire/Rescue Building Maintenance (GF)	143,324 50,000										143,324	-
5 6	Homestead Memorial Gardens' Admin. Roof (GF) Greenwood Cemetery Fence Renovations (UM)	50,000					80,500					50,000	80,500
7	Public Works Complex Maintenance (GF,UM)	35,000	15,000				80,500					35,000	15,000
8	Greenwood Cemetery Road Renovations (GF)	35,000	15,000									35,000	15,000
9	Uptown City Parking Deck (CR,UM)	55,000						859,000		920,565	6,479,435	1,779,565	6,479,435
10	Police-Fire/Rescue Building Improvements (UM)							037,000	3,526,500	720,505	0, 177, 135	-	3,526,500
11	Stantonsburg Road-10th Street Connector	700,000		5,300,000					5,000,000		4,300,000	6,000,000	9,300,000
12	Transportation Plan (G,GF,UM)	50,000		50,000			130,000		145,000		160,000	100,000	435,000
13	Tenth Street-Evans Street Intersection (UM)	,							185,000		102,500	-	287,500
14	Tenth Street Streetscape Project (UM)								192,000		275,000	-	467,000
15	Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase II (CR,UM)			245,195	474,805							245,195	474,805
16	Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase III (UM)								681,250		2,325,000	-	3,006,250
17	Frontgate Drive Extension (UM)				341,000		874,000					-	1,215,000
18	Thomas Langston Road Extension (B)	2,660,000										2,660,000	-
19	Sidewalk Construction Program (PB)	375,000		375,000		375,000		375,000		375,000		1,875,000	-
20	Local Transportation Improvements (PB,UM)	100,000	400,000		310,000		160,000		300,000			100,000	1,170,000
21	Traffic Calming (PB,UM)	30,000		30,000		30,000			30,000		30,000	90,000	60,000
22	Railroad Crossing Signal Improvements (PB)	50,000	150.000									50,000	-
23	Street Resurfacing (PB,UM)	650,000	150,000	900,000			1,000,000		1,200,000		1,200,000	1,550,000	3,550,000
24	Replacement of Mast Arm Signal Poles (PB,UM)	150,000	50,000	200,000			200,000 425,000					350,000	250,000
25 26	Oxford Rd Bridge Replacement (PB,UM) King George Rd Bridge Replacement (PB,UM)			75,000 75,000			425,000		430,000			75,000 75,000	425,000 430,000
20 27	Storm Drainage Improvement Projects (B)	1,898,000		75,000					430,000			1,898,000	430,000
28	Storm Drain Repair @ Beatty Street (SWU)	420,000										420,000	
29	Storm Drainage Maintenance Improvements (SWU)	610,000		1,135,000								1,745,000	-
30	Stormwater Management (SWU, UM)	450,000		475,000		300,000			300,000		300.000	1,225,000	600,000
31	Storm Drainage Retrofit Projects (SWU)	80,000		65,000		235,000			,		,	380,000	-
32	South Tar River Greenway (G)	2,200,000		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		,						2,200,000	-
33	Green Mill Run Greenway, Phase II (G)	150,000		1,050,000								1,200,000	-
34	Parkers Creek Greenway (G,UM)	180,000		874,000	246,000							1,054,000	246,000
35	Street Sweeping Transfer Station (SWU)	180,000										180,000	-
36	Covered Vehicle Wash Facility (UM)										350,000	-	350,000
37	Public Works Yard Resurfacing (UM,SF,SWU)	300,000	100,000									300,000	100,000
38	Sand, Salt, & Equipment Storage Building (UM)						350,000					-	350,000
39	Parts Room Expansion (UM)		250,000									-	250,000
40	New Paint/Body Shop Building (UM)				950,000							-	950,000
41	Maintenance Garage Roof (UM)			750.000	70,000							-	70,000
42 43	Buses (G,GF) Intermodal Transportation Center (G,GF,CR)	3,348,000		750,000 6,000,000								750,000 9,348,000	-
43 44	Police/Fire-Rescue Caulk Building Exterior (UM)	3,340,000	60,000	0,000,000								7,340,000	60,000
44	Work Order/Asset Management Software (UM)		70,000									-	70,000
46	River Park North	38,955	70,000									38,955	-
-10	SUBTOTAL	\$15,043,279	\$1,095,000	\$17,729,195	\$2,391,805	\$940,000	\$3,254,500	\$1,234,000	\$11,989,750	\$1,295,565	\$15,521,935	\$36,242,039	\$34,252,990
						URCE LEGEND			, .,,	, -,			
			в-	BONDS			NCDOT -		IT OF TRANSPOR				
			CR -	CAPITAL RESERV	'E		PB -	POWELL BILL	IT OF TRAINSPUT	A LION			
			G -	GRANTS			SF	SANITATION FU					
			GF -	GENERAL FUND	F		SWU - VRF -	STORMWATER					
			LI -	LEASE FUNCTIAS	L		VIXI -	VEHICLE REPLA					

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	SOLID WAST		T: RESIDENTI	AL REAR LOA	D REFUSE TR	RUCKS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/SANITATI	ON			PRIORITY	1				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add two residential rear load refuse vehicles for the Sanitation Division. The proposal is to purchase two residential refuse vehicles to equip two additional crews proposed in the FY 2008 - 2009 budget. Presently, the City collects residential refuse from 16,500 single-family residences and 18,100 multi-family residences.										
JUSTIFICATION:	The series en alies		e en sie the e toue			sed in the FY (00/00 hudget				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will increase fu	el and mainter	ance expense	by \$10,000 pe	r year.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Sanitation Fund	\$125,000	\$130,000									
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$255,000				

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF		RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	HOMESTEAD	MEMORIAL G	GARDEN MAU	SOLEUM ROC	OF RENOVATI	ON			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	2		
DESCRIPTION:		ould renovate t	he mausoleum	roof at the Hor	mestead Memo	brial Gardens w	ith a new roof		
JUSTIFICATION:	The existing ro			n an annual bas	sis. Considerir	ng the purpose	of the structure,		
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This project wi	ll assist in the p	oroper mainten	ance of a critic	al structure an	d its operationa	l integrity.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR								
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09 \$35,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$35,000		

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	PUBLIC WOR	KS DEPARTN	IENTS FLOOR	CARPET REI	PLACEMENT					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	3			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace the carpeting in the Public Works Department's Administration Building with 18" carpet squares and the replacement of the floor covering in the Sanitation, Street Division, and Transit areas with 12" vinyl tiles.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary ju in these highly		nis project is to	provide a floor	ing that will be	easier to maint	ain and replace			
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>			appearance ar effective over		ity of these are	as. Currently, c	arpet cleaning			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:		I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:				
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet			\$35,000							
			. ,							
	I				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$35,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF		RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	POLICE-FIRE	RESCUE-BUI	LDING MAINT	ENANCE IMPI	ROVEMENTS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	4			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund major maintenance improvements for the interior of the Police-Fire/Rescue Building. This project calls for replacement of carpeting and tiled flooring. The kitchen will also be remodeled using commercial and industrial-quality components. Work will be performed by outside contractors due to the size of the project.									
JUSTIFICATION:	for employees	and citizens. E g many areas	•	e building is sh	lowing signs of	normal "wear				
OPERATING IMPACT:			e operations ar and potential s		e personnel, bu	ıt it will improve	e aesthetics and			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN '	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$143,324									
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$143,324			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	HOMESTEAD	MEMORIAL O	GARDEN'S AD	MINISTRATIO	N OFFICE RO	OF REPLACE	MENT			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	5			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would remove and dispose of the existing tin roof and deteriorated roof decking at Homestead Memorial Gardens Administration Office and install a new tin roof and decking. The exterior of the house will be cleaned upon completion of roof repair/replacement.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The existing ro	of has deterior	rated to the poi	nt that replace	ment is necess	ary.				
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This project is	essential in the	e preservation o	of the existing I	house.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09 \$50,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$50,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	GREENWOO	D CEMETERY	FRONT/REAR		OVATIONS					
DEPARTMENT:		KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	6			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would install decorative aluminum fencing and gates as well as brick columns at the 5th Street entrance to Greenwood Cemetery. This project will also install five-foot vinyl coated fence and gates at the rear of Greenwood Cemetery.									
JUSTIFICATION:		stification for th d rear of the ce		upgrade the u	nsightly fencing	g and improve v	vehicular access			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project w	ill enhance the	security and ap	opearance of G	Greenwood Cer	netery.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR									
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet			\$80,500							
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$80,500			

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	PUBLIC WOR	KS COMPLEX		CE PROJECT						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	7			
DESCRIPTION:	This project wo	ould paint the e	exterior of six P	ublic Works Co	omplex building	s and storage a	areas.			
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary ju	stification for th	nis project is to	maintain the a	ppearance of s	six building exte	rior areas with			
	paint color that	t was original a	t the time of co	onstruction.						
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project wi	Il enhance the	appearance of	the Public Wo	rks Complex.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>IE:</u>	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN `	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$35,000 \$15,000									
L					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$50,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	GREENWOOD	CEMETERY	ROAD WORK	RENOVATIO	NS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/BUILDING	S & GROUND	S		PRIORITY	8			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would renovate 10,400 linear feet of cemetery roads in Greenwood Cemetery. The unpaved roads have numerous potholes and uneven areas that are difficult to maintain and are less desirable for patrons to utilize.									
JUSTIFICATION:			his project is to creening for ma		etery road cond	itions by prope	rly grading the			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal Greenwood Ce		erations and ma	aintenance cos	ts and will be a	ı major improve	ment to			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-]	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR									
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09 \$35,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$35,000			
CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
--	---	--------------------	----------------	--	--------------------------	------------------	-------------------	--	--	
PROJECT TITLE:	UPTOWN CIT	Y PARKING D	ECK							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	9			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would build a parking deck to be located in the uptown area on property to be acquired by the City. The deck will serve to meet the demand for additional parking associated with the revitalization projects in and around this area. The deck is planned for a minimum of 400 spaces on five stories. The complement of spaces will be broken down to an appropriate ratio of public (short-term spaces) and leased private spaces. The public spaces will be used to assist visitors in accessing businesses and services in the downtown area. The deck will be a prestressed concrete construction with brick veneer to complement surrounding architecture. It will have controlled access, appropriate lighting and security. The deck will be privately operated under contract with the City.									
	Design and other related soft costs for the deck are estimated at \$292,000 with property acquisition estimated at \$567,000. The construction cost of the deck is estimated to be \$7,400,000 based on a price of \$18,500 per space. This will provide for a quality facility that will be viewed as an asset in efforts to revitalize downtown.									
FY 11-12 Land Design					\$ 567,000 \$ 292,000					
	FY 12-13	Construction			\$ 7,400,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	The purpose of	of this project is	to meet the ne	ed of public/pri	ivate parking ir	n the uptown an	ea.			
OPERATING IMPACT:		enance of the fa		ed to cost the C	City approximat	ely \$3,000 with	n periodic larger			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>O DATE:</u>	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Capital Reserve				\$859,000	\$920,565					
Unmet				<i>\</i> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	6,479,435					
						JECT COST:	\$8,259,000			
					TOTALTRO		ψ0,200,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	POLICE - FIF	RE/RESCUE HI	EADQUARTER	RS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOF	RKS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	10		
DESCRIPTION:	by 14,000 squ to be shared b	This project would expand Police-Fire/Rescue Headquarters by expanding the third floor of the building by 14,000 square feet (SF). The headquarters was designed to allow for the expansion of the third floor to be shared by the Police and Fire/Rescue personnel. This area is to be used primarily for administrative office space and support services.							
	FY 11-12	Design Construction Furnishings			 \$ 225,000 \$ 3,001,500 \$ 300,000 \$ 3,526,500 				
JUSTIFICATION:		ustification for the required to seek							
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will increase m	aintenance per	rsonnel by one,	, at a cost of ap	oproximately \$3	0,000 per year.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR				SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund									
Unmet				\$3,526,500					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$3,526,500		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	STANTONSE	SURG ROAD -	IENIHSIRE	ET CONNECT	OR			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	11	
DESCRIPTION:		enth Street and			e intersection o ed railroad cros			
	[\$2 million eac the cost of pla	h City, Pitt Cou nning, design, a	Inty Memorial land acquisition	Hospital (PCMI of this project	. This would be	lina University e paid over a 3	(ECU)] to cover	
	FY 07-08 FY 08-09 FY 09-10 FY 11-12	FY 08-09DesignCity & local partners\$7FY 09-10R/W AcquisitionCity, local partners, NCDOT\$5,3						
JUSTIFICATION:	of Greenville's and Uptown G University, Pitt improving accor- Transportation This project wi and response Center. The w relieve traffic of Also, landscap	Redevelopment reenville redeving county Memore essibility for the (NCDOT) has a (NCDOT) has a (NCDOT	nt Commission elopment stud rial Hospital, a bir constituenci completed a f west access w gency vehicles ision of Farmv ig Dickenson A medians, and	a, and a key co y. This project nd the Chambo es in the area. easibility study with a railroad g on the east signal lile Boulevard a venue and We sidewalks sho	mponent in a re has been ident or of Commerce The North Car on this project. rade separation de of Greenville and the connect	ecent East Car tified by East C e as a key com rolina Departm n essential to in a to the Region tion to Tenth S	ponent in ent of mproving access al Medical treet would	
OPERATING IMPACT:								
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SO	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
Bond	\$233,333	\$1,766,667						
Grant	466,667	3,533,333						
NCDOT				5,000,000	4,300,000		\$4,363,000	
				I	TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$15,300,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	TRANSPORTATION PLAN									
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	12			
DESCRIPTION:	Urban Area. E and Bicycle Pr software was o included an ex future transpor Subarea and O	This project would fund the development of a Comprehensive Transportation Plan for the Greenville Urban Area. Elements of this Plan address Public Transportation, Thoroughfare Planning, Pedestrian and Bicycle Programs, and Collector Streets. In FY 2005-2006, a travel model using new Transcad software was developed to reflect a larger Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) area, which included an external origin and destination survey. The travel model and survey data will be used in future transportation studies the Greenville Urban Area. In FY 2006-2007, studies of the Ayden Subarea and Greenville's Southwestern Subarea will be performed, using the new model. In FY 2007- 2008, studies of the Simpson Subarea and the Eastern Bypass "pre-TIP" area will be performed.								
	FY 08-09	Greenville Sou	uthwestern Sub	area Study			\$ 50,000	00		
	FY 09-10	Simpson Suba Eastern Bypas		\$ 50,000 \$ 65,000						
	through NCDC	Funding for these projects is based on an 80% - 20% cost share. The 80% is Federal funds coming through NCDOT and 20% local funds from the General Fund. The Eastern Bypass study in FY 2009 - 2010 will be funded by Pitt County.								
JUSTIFICATION:	regulations to allocation of fe	As an established Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), we are required by federal law and regulations to develop transportation plans for the Greenville Urban Area. We receive an annual allocation of federal funds to perform transportation planning. The Planning Work Program documents the studies, costs, and responsibilities for the upcoming year.								
OPERATING IMPACT:										
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DAT	<u>'E:</u>		-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	/EARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS	٦		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund	\$10,000									
Grant	40,000	50,000	400.005	4 /	100.005					
Unmet			130,000	145,000	160,000			\neg		
	L				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$535.000	0		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	TENTH - EVA	NS STREET I	NTERSECTIO	N ENHANCEM	ENT PROJEC	Т			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	13		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would enhance the Tenth Street – Evans Street intersection by improving pedestrian accessibility, creation of a focal point that marks the entrance to the downtown area, and promoting revitalization of the Tenth Street Corridor. The Project will extend from Reade Circle to Eleventh Street along Evans Street and from Greene Street to Charles Boulevard along Tenth Street. Improvements include new sidewalks, trees, street lighting, reconstruction of the traffic signal support system at the intersection, street furniture, and new public transportation access. There will be key architectural features that will identify this intersection as the gateway into downtown. This project marks the initial phase of a sister project entitled "Tenth Street Streetscape Project" which will include similar improvements to Tenth Street from the project limits of the Tenth Street – Stantonsburg Road Connector Project east to Elm Street. The project is expected to begin in the fall of 2007 and be completed in approximately six months.								
		NCDOT Fundi			\$ 185,000 \$ 102,500				
JUSTIFICATION:							wn Gateway" is d Greenville as		
OPERATING IMPACT:		will not increas al costs by \$2,5		nd maintenance	e personnel, bi	ut will increase	maintenance		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Powell Bill									
Unmet				\$185,000	\$102,500				
						JECT COST:	\$287.500		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	TENTH STRE	ET STREETSO	CAPE PROJEC	т					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	14		
DESCRIPTION:	Tenth Street C sidewalks, tree points of acces as to make ac address conce	This project would improve Tenth Street from Elm Street to the project limits of the Stantonsburg Road – Tenth Street Connector Project. Improvements will be to enhance the streetscape of Tenth Street with sidewalks, trees and landscaping, street furniture, planted medians where appropriate, and improved points of access for public transportation. The project will improve this major inner-city thoroughfare so as to make access to downtown more aesthetically pleasing and pedestrian friendly. The project will also address concerns over pedestrian access along the corridor and, in particular, the College Hill Drive area near the ECU campus. A related project is the Tenth – Evans Street Intersection Enhancement Project, which will serve as the							
	initial phase of	this larger pro	ject. The const	ruction schedu	le for the street	Project, which w tscape project v ad – Tenth Stre	vill be		
	FY 11-12 FY 12-13 FY 13-14	Design Right of Way A Construction	Acquisition		 \$ 192,500 \$ 275,000 \$ 1,925,000 \$ 2,392,500 				
JUSTIFICATION:	appearance of	the City street	scape. These	streetscape im	provements wi	improve the o Il present a mo rel along this co	re aesthetically		
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		will not increas al costs by \$2,5		nd maintenance	e personnel, bi	ut will increase	maintenance		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR YEAR						ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund Unmet				\$192,000	\$275,000		\$1,925,000		
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$467,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET							
PROJECT TITLE:	BROWNLEA	DRIVE EXTEN	SION, PHASE	II			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	15
DESCRIPTION:		ould construct a e from Fourtee					
	Presently, Bro	wnlea Drive is	designated as	a minor thoroug	ghfare on the C	City's Thorough	nfare Plan.
		h a court case s eptember 2024					on this portion of
	The proposed project will be constructed within the dedicated right-of-way and complete the last segment of Brownlea Drive between Tenth Street and Fourteenth Street.						
	Phase III of this project will connect Tenth Street to Sixth Street.						
	FY 09-10	Construction			\$ 720,000		
JUSTIFICATION:	partial "inner-c cannot be com continue to gro	stification for th ity" loop provid upleted as reco ow on Tenth an ieenth Street w	ling improved a mmended by the fourteenth S	ccess for the e he City's Thoro Streets and Gre	entire city. With bughfare Plan a eenville Bouleva	nout this project and traffic cong ard. The portic	estion will on from Tenth
	No alternative	of this project	was recommer	ded in the Tho	roughfare Plan	Update Study	
OPERATING IMPACT:		will not increas al costs by \$1,§		nd maintenanc	e personnel, bu	ut will increase	maintenance
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013		
Capital Reserve		\$245,195					
Unmet		474,805					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$720,000

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	BROWNLEA	DRIVE EXTEN	SION, PHASE	111				
DEPARTMENT:		KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	16	
DESCRIPTION:	Tenth Street th wetlands. Thi	This project would construct approximately 1,500 linear feet of multi-lane roadway from Sixth Street to Tenth Street that will include a crossing over of approximately 700 feet of regulated floodway and wetlands. This project, in conjunction with the Brownlea Drive Extension, Phase 1 and 2 projects, will complete Brownlea Drive from Greenville Boulevard to First Street.						
	FY 11-12 FY 12-13	Feasibility Design Right of Way Construction			\$ 100,000 \$ 232,500 \$ 348,750 \$ 2,325,000 \$ 3,006,250	-		
JUSTIFICATION:	primary justific Brownlea Driv improved acce recommended	Presently, Brownlea Drive is designated as a minor thoroughfare on the City's Thoroughfare Plan. The primary justification for this project request is expansion to meet City growth. This project, along with the Brownlea Drive Extension from Tenth Street to Fourteenth Street, will form an "inner-city" loop providing improved access for the entire City. Without this project, the loop cannot be completed as recommended by the City's Thoroughfare Plan. No alternative of this project was recommended during the recent Thoroughfare Plan Update Study.						
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		will not increas al costs by \$19	•	nd maintenanc	e personnel, b	ut will increase	maintenance	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-	
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
Powell Bill Unmet				\$681,250	\$2,325,000			
				ψ001,200	ψ2,020,000			
							\$3,006,250	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	FRONTGATE	DRIVE EXTEN	ISION					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	17	
DESCRIPTION:		ould construct a re from Thomas						
		ntgate Drive (fo roughfare Plan.		as Tobacco Ro	oad) is designat	ted as a minor	thoroughfare on	
	FY 09-10 Design Right-of-Way Acquisition \$ 100,000 FY 10-11 Construction \$ 241,000 \$ 10,000 \$ 374,000 \$ 1,215,000 \$ 1,215,000							
JUSTIFICATION:		istification for the						
	would delay th would require	ne need for con	structing anoth	er Fire Station	in this area unt	il additional de	velopment	
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This proposed	l project will inc	rease operatio	nal costs by ap	proximately \$1	,100 per year.		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-	
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE Powell Bill	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
Unmet		\$341,000	\$874,000					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,215,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET							
PROJECT TITLE:	THOMAS LAN	IGSTON ROA	D EXTENSION				
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	18
DESCRIPTION:		ened outside la				nd gutter roadw Iping between I	ay with Memorial Drive
	Presently, there are no roads in this area. The residential development to the north of the proposed road has access points on Greenville Blvd. and Memorial Dr., but no connection to Evans St. This forces all eastbound and southbound traffic onto Greenville Blvd. The proposed roadway will provide an additional east/west connection between Memorial Dr. and Evans St. and will provide direct access to Evans St. for the existing residential development.						
	This thoroughfare will serve a large area of land in the southwestern quadrant of the City's planning area.						
	FY 08-09 Right-of-Way Acquisition \$ 200,000 Construction \$ 2,460,000 \$ 2,660,000						
JUSTIFICATION:	development to Rd., and Mem	o the north, this orial Dr.	s project is nec	essary to reliev	ve congestion o	to serving the on Greenville B ted to use this p	lvd., Fire Tower
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This proposed	project will inc	rease operation	nal costs by ap	proximately \$2	,000 per year.	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE		
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS
FUNDING SOURCE Bond	2008-09 \$2,660,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013		
	ψ2,000,000						
						JECT COST:	\$2,660,000

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WORKS/ENGINEERING	PRIORITY	19					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would build sidewalks along thoroughfares and other high provides and other roadways do not have sidewalks to provide sa funds will be used to construct sidewalks along City and State System a evaluated and prioritized on a technical merit scoring system and will be Public Works Department has identified and prioritized approximately 3 construction. The requested funding level will allow installation of approximately 10,0 depending on location, site considerations and costs.	fe travel for pede oadways. Projec e completed as fu 3.5 miles of sidev	strians. These ts will be unds permit. The walk					
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this program is to improve pedestrian safet appeal, as well as encourage walking as a viable alternate means of tra		nity charter and					
OPERATING IMPACT:	This program would increase annual maintenance and operation costs due to additional sidewalk to maintain and repair.	by approximately	\$1,800 per year					
FUNDS APPROVED TO		ΔΤΕ·	I					

	APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE										
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN Y	SUBSEQUENT YEARS						
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Powell Bill	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000						
NCDOT											
Unmet											
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,875,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	LOCAL TRAN	ISPORTATION	I IMPROVEME	NTS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY		20	
DESCRIPTION:	proposed impr	rovements may	include signal	upgrades, sigr	ddressing issue n upgrades, geo shoulder wideni	ometric interse			
	The following addressed:	is a list of antic	ipated transpor	tation improve	ments and estir	mated cost of	the pr	rojects to be	
	FY 08-09	Intersection In	nprovements, E	Design, and Ric	ht-of-Wav				
		Arlington Blvd			,		\$	50,000	
		•	/ Red Banks F	Sq.			\$	100,000	
		•	/ Greenville B				\$	100,000	
		•			e turn lanes, up	grade signal.	\$	150,000	
		East 5 th St. – a	also widen road	l, add curb and	l gutter, and sid	lewalks.	\$	100,000	
	FY 09-10	Intersection In	nrovements						
	110310			Rd - improve ti	irn lanes upora	ade signal	\$	300,000	
		•	lington Blvd. / Red Banks Rd improve turn lanes, upgrade signal. eade Circle / Cotanche St signal upgrade, install vehicle detection						
		equipment.							
	FY 10-11	Arlington Blvd	Arlington Blvd. / Evans St improve turn lanes, upgrade signal.						
		East 5th St. / Cotanche St install vehicle detection equipment.						150,000 10,000	
						nont.	\$	10,000	
	FY 11-12	Arlington Blvd	. / Greenville B	lvd improve t	urn lanes, upgr	ade signal.	\$	300,000	
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary in	etification of th	is project is to i	mprove traffic	safety and redu	ico congostion	`		
JUSTIFICATION.	The phinary ju	istilication of th	is project is to i	improve trainc	salety and reut	ice congestion	1.		
OPERATING IMPACT:	These projects	s will be eligible	for Powell Bill	funding and w	ill be completed	d by consultan	ts an	d	
		d will not increa				a by consultan		u	
	contractors an				100 00313.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DAT	<u>ГЕ:</u>		-	
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET	PLAN	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQ	JENT	YEARS	
	YEAR	YEAR							
	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Powell Bill	\$100,000	¢040.000	¢400.000	¢200.000					
Unmet	400,000	\$310,000	\$160,000	\$300,000					
	1	1							

TOTAL PROJECT COST:

\$1,270,000

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	TRAFFIC CAL	MING									
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	21				
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund Traffic Calming requests. The City of Greenville's <i>Neighborhood Traffic Calming Guidelines</i> were approved by City Council in October 2001. These guidelines are used to review new requests for traffic calming devices. Based on the success of projects in various neighborhoods, new requests for traffic calming have been flowing in for more work in other neighborhoods. Currently, the following locations have requested traffic calming and are scheduled for evaluation in FY 07-08 and construction in FY 08-09 (subject to available resources and funding): Charleston Village neighborhood Lake Ellsworth neighborhood St. Andrews Drive Grace Avenue										
	Upon evaluation, eligible projects will be completed in the order that the requests were received and based on available funds.										
JUSTIFICATION:	reducing vehic	The primary justification of this program is to improve public safety and neighborhood character by reducing vehicle speeds and volume. The alternative to these projects is to reduce speed limits and increase enforcement activity.									
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	These projects and will not inc				ill be installed b	by consultants a	and contractors				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	\$30,000		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DAT	<u>TE:</u>	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Powell Bill	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	A4	A4						
Unmet				\$30,000	\$30,000						
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$150,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	RAILROAD C	ROSSING SIG	SNAL IMPROV	EMENTS					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	22		
DESCRIPTION:	This project we maintained stre		omated warning	g devices and (gates for at-gra	de railroad cros	ssings on City		
	The proposed projects involve the installation of flashing signals, cantilevered gates, and if applicable, traffic signal pre-emption, depending on the specific characteristics of the crossing. Each year at-grade crossings are evaluated by the North Carolina Department of Transportation and assigned an investigative index. Based on the index, crossings are prioritized for the installation of warning devices. A cost sharing of 90% Federal and 10% local funds is used to cover the improvements.								
	This is not a reimbursement-type project. So, while the total project cost will be \$500,000, the total cost to the City will be capped at \$50,000.								
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary ju crossings.	stification for th	nese projects is	s to improve the	e safety of at-g	rade, railway/ro	adway		
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	These projects	s will increase r	maintenance co	osts by \$1,290	per year for ea	ch crossing.			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR						SUBSEQUENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Powell Bill NC DOT	\$50,000								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$50,000		

CITY OF GREENVILLE
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
PROJECT WORKSHEET

PROJECT TITLE:	STREET RES	URFACING									
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	23				
DEPARTMENT: DESCRIPTION:	This project would pave and stripe existing City streets. This project would resurface approximately seven miles of streets in various locations throughout the City including necessary street markings. All streets are evaluated and prioritized by condition prior to resurfacing. Presently, the City maintains 220 miles of streets with a useful life of about 12 years, depending on traffic and original construction. At that point, streets begin a rapid deterioration resulting in increased maintenance cost, poor ride quality, and unsafe conditions. At the current time, without a significant increase in our annual resurfacing expenditures, we are only resurfacing streets on a 31-year cycle.										
JUSTIFICATION:	smooth conditi necessitate rec resurfacing.	The primary justification for this project request is operating necessity to maintain streets in safe and smooth condition with minimum cost. Without this project, surface deterioration will accumulate and necessitate reconstruction of the entire street at a cost significantly higher than that of periodic resurfacing.									
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		This proposal will not change operations and maintenance personnel. Failure to resurface will increase maintenance and operational costs. This project is eligible for Powell Bill funding.									
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DAT	<u>re:</u> [-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Powell Bill	\$650,000	\$900,000	A 1 A 2 A A A A A A A A A A	A (A A A A A A A A A A	A (A A A A A A A A A A						
Unmet	150,000		\$1,000,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000						
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$5,100,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	REPLACEME	NT OF MAST	ARM SIGNAL	POLES						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	24			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace steel mast arm signal poles in the downtown central business district. This would include the replacement of existing mast arm poles at 12 intersections. It is proposed that four intersections would be upgraded per year over a three year period. Presently, the existing mast arm poles are in poor condition and should be scheduled for replacement to reduce potential liabilities.									
	The replacement of the mast arm poles should blend in with future streetscape improvements in the downtown area and could be a companion to a Center City infrastructure improvement project.									
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary ju costs and pote		nis project is to	install structura	ally sound pole	s and to reduce	e maintenance			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposed aesthetics and			ations and mai	ntenance perso	onnel, but it wil	improve			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Powell Bill	\$150,000	\$200,000								
Unmet	50,000		\$200,000							
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$600,000			

		CI	TY OF GREE	INVILLE							
					RAM						
		PRO	DJECT WOR	KSHEET							
PROJECT TITLE:	OXFORD RO	AD BRIDGE R	EPLACEMENT	AT BELLS B	RANCH (BRID	GE NO. 419)					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	25				
DESCRIPTION:	limits of 29 ton following a reg	is for single-axl	le vehicles and pection by NCI	34 tons for ser	mi-trailers. The	e posting occu	n maximum load rred in FY 90-91, usable, but				
	otherwise func Bridges qualify 80% of the cos inclusive of de structure quali	Currently, NCDOT offers assistance to local governments in replacement of structurally deficient or otherwise functionally obsolete bridges through the Federal Highway Bridge Replacement Program. Bridges qualifying for such assistance may be replaced by the involved municipality with NCDOT paying 80% of the costs and the City the remaining 20%. Total estimated cost of this project is \$500,000 inclusive of design fees, easement/right-of-way acquisition, and construction. Should this bridge structure qualify under the NCDOT program, the State will pay \$400,000 and the City \$100,000. For scheduling purposes, the design phase is proposed for FY 09-10 with construction to follow immediately in FY 10-11.									
	The Bridge Replacement Program generally requires the municipality to follow stringent guidelines in the design, construction, administration, and bookkeeping for the bridge replacement project. Reimbursement to the City occurs after payments are made to the contractor and NCDOT has been satisfied that the program guidelines have been met.										
JUSTIFICATION:			nis project requ			adway systen	n and, in				
	Alternatives to components o deteriorated bi annually. Clos	replacing the e r eventually clo ridge compone sing this major	e and structura existing bridge osing the structurn nts is a tempor collector street lisrupt emerger	include continu ure to continue ary situation re will significant	uing to repair/re d vehicular traf esulting in incre ly impact vehic	fic. Repair/repased mainten	placement of ance expenses				
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not increas	e operations a	nd maintenanc	e personnel, bi	ut will decreas	e maintenance				
		al costs by \$2,0									
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET	PLAN	EUTU	RE CIP PLAN	VEADS	SUBSEO					
	YEAR	YEAR	FUIU		ILARJ	SUBSEQ	UENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010 \$75,000	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
Unmet		φι 3,000	\$425,000								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$500,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	KING GEOR	GE ROAD BRI	DGE REPLAC	EMENT AT BE	ELLS BRANCI	H (BRIDGE NO	D. 421)			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	26			
DESCRIPTION:	limits of 21 ton occurred in FY		e vehicles and ng a regular bri	27 tons for leg	al gross weigh	t for semi-traile	maximum load ers. The posting s bridge was			
	heavier vehicle	project will pro es without limita nicular use. Th	ation to load. If	t will also allow	for a wider str	ucture so as to	support joint			
	Currently, NCDOT offers assistance to local governments in replacement of structurally deficient or otherwise functionally obsolete bridges through the Federal Highway Bridge Replacement Program. Bridges qualifying for such assistance may be replaced by the involved municipality with NCDOT paying 80% of the costs and the City the remaining 20%. Total estimated cost of this project is \$505,000 inclusive of design fees, easement/right-of-way acquisition, and construction. Should this bridge structure qualify under the NCDOT program, the State will pay \$404,000 and the City \$101,000. For scheduling purposes, the design phase is proposed for FY 09-10 with construction to follow in FY 11-12.									
	The Bridge Replacement Program generally requires the municipality to follow stringent guidelines in the design, construction, administration, and bookkeeping for the bridge replacement project. Reimbursement to the City occurs after payments are made to the contractor and NCDOT has been satisfied that the program guidelines have been met.									
JUSTIFICATION:		stification for th			-	badway system	and, in			
	components o deteriorated be annually. Close	replacing the e r eventually clo ridge compone sing this major and severely d	osing the structor nts is a tempor collector street	ure to continue ary situation re will significantl	d vehicular traf sulting in incre y impact vehic	ffic. Repair/rep ased maintena	placement of ince expense			
OPERATING IMPACT:		will not increas al costs by \$2,0		nd maintenance	e personnel, bi	ut will decrease	e maintenance			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	JENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Powell Bill Unmet		\$75,000		\$430,000						
				φ 4 30,000						
						JECT COST:	\$505,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	STORM DRAI	NAGE IMPRO	VEMENT PRO	JECTS							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	27				
DESCRIPTION:				•	•	ainage system. in need of repa	-				
	These projects involve the evaluation, design, and replacement of existing or construction of new storm drainage infrastructure. In FY 05-06, the consulting engineering firms of Rivers and Associates and The Wooten Company were selected to evaluate seven specific drainage systems within the City and to develop drainage improvement construction plans for each area. Some construction has already been completed.										
	FY 08-09 Dickinson Avenue/Chestnut Street Area \$ 1,218,000 Skinner Street/Beatty Street Area \$ 680,000 \$ 1,898,000 \$ 1,898,000										
	In 2004, a \$5.1 million Stormwater Drainage bond was passed as a debt service covered by the stormwater utility to fund these projects.										
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for these projects is to improve the drainage systems in order to maintain the required flow so as to reduce or eliminate flooding and prevent catastrophic street failures. The alternative to these projects is to allow the problems to go uncorrected and make repairs to deteriorated pipe only when there is a failure. This solution will likely lead to higher repair costs due to related damage to surrounding drainage systems. The areas experiencing flooding will only worsen as development continues and drainage systems continue to age.										
OPERATING IMPACT:											
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE Bond	2008-09 \$1,898,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
	÷:,000,000										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,898,000				

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROGI	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	STORM DRAI	N REPAIR: PU	JBLIC WORK	FACILITY & B	EATTY STREE	т				
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	28			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace 720 linear feet (LF) of deteriorated aluminized metal pipe within the Public Works yard, 300 LF of corrugated metal pipe, and 60" steel encasement pipe under the CSX Railroad. Approximately 370 LF of the Public Works pipe, damaged during Hurricane Floyd, was replaced with FEMA public assistance funds in early 2002. An additional 250 LF of Public Works pipe was replaced in 2003 as a result of failure during heavy rains. The additional requested funds would replace the remaining 720 LF within the Public Works yard as well as the 300 LF of deteriorated piping under Beatty									
	FY 08-09	Construction			\$420,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	to pass the sto	ormwater flows	it experiences.	The existing		deteriorated b	ot large enough eyond repair in			
OPERATING IMPACT:		umber of pipe					enance costs by flooding due to			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-				TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGETPLANFUTURE CIP PLAN YEARSYEARYEAR				YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE Stormwater Fund	2008-09 \$420,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$420,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	STORM DRAI		ENANCE IMPR	OVEMENTS							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	29				
DESCRIPTION:	the city there a replacement.	re aged and de Projects planne	eteriorated stor ed for construc	m drainage system tion over the ne	stems that are in ext five years a	ainage system. in need of repa re outlined belo	ir or ow.				
	These projects involve the evaluation, design, and replacement of existing or construction of new storm drainage infrastructure. The evaluation and design of some projects are anticipated to be performed by consulting firms and constructed by a contractor due to their size and complexity. Smaller projects will be addressed by utilizing a combination of outside and in-house resources.										
		Lakewood Pin	odivision Desigr es Subdivision division Constru	 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 550,000 							
	FY 09-10		odivision Consti es Subdivision	\$ 685,000 \$ 450,000							
JUSTIFICATION:	reduce flooding problems to go solution will like flooding issues	g and prevent of o uncorrected a ely lead to high o will go un-ado	catastrophic str and make repai ner repair costs	eet failures. T rs to deteriorat due to related a areas of local	The alternative ed pipe only wh damage to sur	ain the requirec to these projec nen there is a fa rounding syste rill likely worser	ts is to allow the ailure. This ms. Localized				
OPERATING IMPACT:		•	e operations and d operational c		personnel. No	ot undertaking t	hese projects				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-			NDED TO DA	<u>re:</u>	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR				SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE Stormwater Fund	2008-09 \$610,000	2009-2010 \$1,135,000	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
						JECT COST:	\$1,745,000				

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE	ENT PROGF	RAM					
PROJECT TITLE:	STORMWATE	R MANAGEM	ENT							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	30			
DESCRIPTION:	prioritize capita Planning involv Watershed Ma of the City's st field inspectior eliminate or re programs for v detect illicit dis	This project would develop master plans that address both water quality and quantity matters and prioritize capital and programmatic needs for effective stormwater management. Watershed Master Planning involves the evaluation and study of specific watersheds within the City's jurisdiction. Watershed Master Plans would develop a geographic information system (GIS) map and an inventory of the City's stormwater drainage infrastructure utilizing global positioning system (GPS) technology and field inspections. This information would be used to identify future stormwater capital projects that eliminate or reduce existing and future drainage, flooding, and water quality problems and to develop programs for water quality monitoring and flow measurements. This information would be utilized to detect illicit discharges and to evaluate the impact of construction activity within the basin areas. The following is the funding schedule for these projects:								
	Fornes Run Reedy Branch Lower Greens Hardee Creek School House Tar River Storm Drainag Total	Mill Run Branch / Parker Creek	\$ 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 \$ 450,000	FY 09-10 325,000 150,000 \$ 475,000	FY 10-11 150,000 150,000 \$ 300,000	FY 11-12 150,000 150,000 \$ 300,000	FY 12-13 150,000 150,000 \$ 300,000			
JUSTIFICATION:	City is required Planning will a	to identify sto sist in meeting	rmwater contro g these require	Elimination Sy ols and improve ments and will or management	stormwater qu provide a mea	uality. Watersh	ed Master			
OPERATING IMPACT:	There are no a	Inticipated incre	eases in mainte	enance or oper	ational costs.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE Stormwater Fund	2008-09 \$450,000	2009-2010 \$475.000	2010-2011 \$300,000	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Unmet	\$450,000	\$475,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,825,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	STORM DRAI	NAGE RETRO	FIT PROJECT	'S						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	31			
DESCRIPTION:	These projects	would improv	e stormwater q	uality within de	eveloped areas	in the City.				
	grant funds. T are approxima	Moyewood Stormwater Facility was originally designed and constructed as a dry detention basin with grant funds. This project would convert the current pond into a constructed stormwater wetland. There are approximately 200 acres of urbanized area that drain through this facility; therefore, this project has the potential to have a large impact on stormwater quality in this area.								
						cting a stormwa				
	would involve	approximately	2,500 linear fee	et of stream res	storation. This	of 5 th Street. The project would be				
	convergence c	of Reedy Branc	h and continue	down Greens	Mill Run to 5 th	Street.				
	FY 08-09	FY 08-09 Moyewood Stormwater Facility Design \$ 10,000 Construction \$ 70,000								
	FY 09-10 FY 10-11	Green Springs	s Park		Design Construction	\$ 65,000 \$ 235,000 \$ 380,000				
JUSTIFICATION:	Tar-Pamlico S September 10	tormwater Rule , 2004. Other I	e. The City's st	ormwater man be identified pr	agement progr	address require am became eff on of these proje	ective			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal	will not change	operations and	d maintenance	personnel.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE Stormwater Fund	2008-09 \$80.000	2009-2010 \$65,000	2010-2011 \$235.000	2011-2012	2012-2013					
	\$00,000	ψ00,000	φ233,000							
	- · ·		-		TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$380.000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF		RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	SOUTH TAR F	RIVER GREEN	IWAY						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	32		
DESCRIPTION:	Tar River. The 2002. Each pl would connect located in Gree This project ind approximately was obtained i environmental	This project would plan, design, and construct a joint use bikeway/greenway along the south side of the Tar River. The City of Greenville updated its Greenway Master Plan in 2004 and Bicycle Master Plan in 2002. Each plan includes the South Tar River Greenway/Bikeway. The greenway/bikeway project would connect the Town Common Park to the most northern terminus of the Green Mill Run Greenway located in Green Springs Park. This project includes preliminary engineering, environmental studies, design, and construction of approximately three miles of greenway/bikeway along the Tar River. A \$1.48 million federal earmark was obtained in November 2004. The City is performing the planning and preliminary design, environmental documents, and right-of-way acquisition. Construction would begin in FY 2007-2008 and completed in FY 2008-2009.							
	FY 08-09	Construction			\$ 2,200,000				
JUSTIFICATION:	bicycle/pedest	rian connectior		eational facilitie	es, neighborho	Tar River while ods, and Uptow t Priority.			
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>	This proposal (\$10,000 per ye		e personnel, b	ut will increase	maintenance a	and operational	costs by		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE		TE:	-		
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE Federal Grant	2008-09 \$2,200,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$2,200,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	GREEN MILL		NAY, PHASE I	I, SECTIONS 2	2 & 3					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	33			
DESCRIPTION:	Charles Boule completed. Th Section 1 of Pl	Right-of-Way \$ 40,000								
JUSTIFICATION:	CATION: The primary justification for this project is to establish a greenway corridor that will expand and enhance usage of existing parks, provide pedestrian transportation linkages, and provide outdoor recreational opportunities (walking, bicycling, jogging, roller blading, etc.) for the public. This project would provide a needed pedestrian and bicycle link between Evans Park and the University. The alternative to this proposal is not to build the Greenway. However, continual growth and escalation of land values will make future greenway development increasingly cost prohibitive. Funding for past greenway projects have been a combination of local and grant dollars. Opportunities for grants will also be pursued for this project under the Federal Transportation Enhancement Program and other sources.									
OPERATING IMPACT:	This proposal \$15,000 per ye		e personnel, b	ut will increase	maintenance a	and operational	costs by			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	CHEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Federal Grant	\$150,000	\$1,050,000								
			L		TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,200,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	PARKERS CR	REEK GREENV	VAY							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	34			
DESCRIPTION:	Greenville Aqu Run Greenway	This project would continue construction of the Parkers Creek Greenway from River Park North to the Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center. A \$1.6 million federal earmark for this project and Green Mill Run Greenway was included in the signed SAFETEA-LU bill. Funds not used for the Green Mill Run Greenway will be used for this project.								
	FY 08-09 FY 09-10	Right-of-Way \$ 50,000								
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this project is to establish a greenway corridor that will expand and enhance usage of existing parks, provide pedestrian transportation linkages, and provide outdoor recreational opportunities (walking, bicycling, jogging, roller blading, etc.) for the public. This project would provide a needed pedestrian and bicycle link between River Park North and the Greenville Aquatics and Fitness Center. The alternative to this proposal is not to build the Greenway. However, continual growth and escalation of land values will make future greenway development increasingly cost prohibitive. Funding for past greenway projects have been a combination of local and grant dollars. Opportunities for grants will also be pursued for this project under the Federal Transportation Enhancement Program and other sources.									
FUNDS APPROVED TO	<u>D DATE:</u>	-				<u>TE:</u>	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
Federal Grant General Fund	\$180,000	\$874,000								
Unmet	1	246,000								
		-,								
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,300,000			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	STREET SWE	EPING TRAN	SFER STATIO	N						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	35			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would construct a building to the Public Works Facility to eliminate the risk of contaminated stormwater runoff, increase the efficiency of operations, and ensure the safe and proper handling of street sweeping wash. Construction of this facility would reduce manpower and equipment hours required to load and haul sweepings deposited in the yard. The outlay is for construction of a roofed, open-sided facility on an elevated slab used to transfer sweepings to a container for later removal to the landfill.									
	FY 08-09	Construction			\$ 180,000					
JUSTIFICATION:	the National P is Minimum Co housekeeping	ollutant Discha	rge Elimination Number 6 - Mu ndustrial opera	System (NPD unicipal Good H tion, such as P	ES) Phase II S Housekeeping. ublic Works Fa	tormwater Reg As part of its g	s required under julations, which ood ake measures to			
OPERATING IMPACT:	\$1,000 per yea This is a comp		o the Truck & C				-			
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE Stormwater Fund	2008-09 \$180,000	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$180,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE		RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	COVERED V	EHICLE WASH							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	36		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would build a covered facility with three bays to wash debris from the City's refuse trucks, street sweepers, and other City vehicles. Vehicles are currently washed out in open areas and the run- off from these areas drain into the City's sanitary system. The proposed facility is needed to meet one of the six best maintenance practices required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II Stormwater Regulations, which is Minimum Control Measure Number 6-Municipal Good Housekeeping. As part of its good housekeeping practices, an industrial operation, such as the Public Works Facility, should take measures to ensure that it does not have contaminated runoff leaving the site.								
	FY 12-13	Construction			\$ 350,000				
JUSTIFICATION:	service vehicle properly equip a NPDES com	es while reducir ped and includ	ng their exposu e wash pits con nat protects sur	re to weather on nected to the	conditions. The sanitary sewer	onnel to wash d e proposed faci system. It wou ng contaminate	lity would be uld also provide		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This is a comp Public Works `	anion project to Yard Resurfacii		Car Wash Facil	ity, Sand and S	Salt Storage Fa	cility, and the		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Stormwater Fund Sanitation Fund									
Unmet					\$350,000				
						JECT COST:	\$350.000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	PUBLIC WOR	KS YARD RE	SURFACING						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEEI	RING			PRIORITY	37		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would resurface all paved surfaces within the Public Works compound, construct new concrete parking areas for heavy equipment, install oil separators, and complete minor pipe repairs and extensions.								
JUSTIFICATION:	In 2004, the Ci	itv obtained a N	NPDES permit	and began con	nolving with rea	quirements. On	e of those		
	requirements in the Public Wor	s to implement ks Facility, do available due to	good houseke not have conta the age and c	eping practices minated storm condition of the	s to ensure that water runoff lea asphalt surfact	t industrial oper aving the site. e. Compliance	rations, such as No alternative to		
OPERATING IMPACT:	This project is	not expected t	o increase pers	sonnel requirer	ments or mainte	enance costs.			
	This is a comp Public Works \			Car Wash Facil	lity, Sand and S	Salt Storage Fa	cility, and the		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
General Fund									
Stormwater Fund	\$300,000								
Sanitation Fund Unmet	- \$100,000								
Onnie	φ100,000				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$400,000		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	SAND, SALT,		IENT STORAG	E BUILDING					
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/ENGINEE	RING			PRIORITY	38		
DESCRIPTION:	This project would build a facility to store equipment and materials for snow removal operations and fund improvements to the Public Works yard that would reduce the risk of contaminated stormwater runoff in compliance with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements.								
JUSTIFICATION:	Construction of this facility would protect the sand and salt mixture from erosion and contamination as well as reduce the risk of contamination to stormwater runoff by placing the stockpiles under roof. This facility would also provide for protected storage of sand and snow equipment that would ensure the equipment is in good working order when needed for adverse weather conditions. In 2004, the City obtained a NPDES permit and began complying with requirements set by Federal and State mandates. One of those requirements is to implement good housekeeping practices to ensure that industrial operations, such as the Public Works Facility, do not have contaminated stormwater runoff leaving the site.								
OPERATING IMPACT:	\$1,000 per yea This is a comp	ar.	o the Truck & C						
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-]	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-		
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
Stormwater Fund Unmet			\$350,000						
			ψ330,000						
						JECT COST:	\$350,000		
							აკეიიი გ		

	CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET									
PROJECT TITLE:	FLEET PARTS	S ROOM EXP	ANSION							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOF	RKS/FLEET				PRIORITY	39			
DESCRIPTION:	parts vital to m Risk Managen needs to be re added at the re requirements.	This project would build a two-story 18'X20' addition to the existing parts room to increase storage for parts vital to maintaining emergency and essential equipment as well as the City's fleet. As noted by Risk Management and Fire/Rescue in a recent inspection, our current parts storage is overloaded and needs to be reorganized to meet OSHA, Safety, and Fire codes and regulations. This expansion will be added at the rear of the existing parts storage area and be two-story with an elevator to meet ADA requirements. The second phase of this request would install a roof and climate control on existing break area in Fleet Maintenance.								
JUSTIFICATION:	We have insuf	ficient space to	properly store	needed parts	and meet all O	SHA and safet	v regulations			
	and Fire codes compound as store/secure th a roof and clim	s. We do not ha the fleet grows nese parts in a nate control on	ave adequate p . This problem safe and efficie	parts for our exi cannot be corr ent manner. The eak area in Fle	sting fleet, and ected without h ne second phas	the problem containing the space	ontinues to e to est would install			
OPERATING IMPACT:	This addition s	hould increase	utilities by app	proximately \$80	00 per year.					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRC	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund Unmet	\$250,000									
L	1				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$250,000			

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM				
PROJECT TITLE:	FLEET MAIN		W BUILDING F	OR PAINT/BC	DY SHOP AN	D STORAGE			
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	RKS/FLEET				PRIORITY	40		
DESCRIPTION:	Fleet Maintena paint operation small to allow repaired indoor paint operation cleanliness du also provide a Additionally, th batteries to additionally	This project would build a new Paint/Body shop facility (60' x 70' under heat) to compliment the existing Thet Maintenance facility. This facility would provide adequate space for proper body and other repairs, aint operations, and proper indoor storage for supplies and materials. Current vehicle bays are too mall to allow the repair of larger vehicles indoors. This facility would allow larger equipment to be epaired indoors as well as tire service and welding and fabrication work to be done inside. Currently, aint operations and associated materials storage are restricted to one bay presenting problems with leanliness during the paint process and safety concerns about fire and explosion. This facility would also provide adequate storage for the large amount of tires that must be stocked and secured. additionally, this facility would have an overhang to provide covered storage for scrap metal and patteries to address environmental concerns as outlined in the City of Greenville Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.							
JUSTIFICATION:	This facility is necessary to address all current OSHA, fire, safety, productivity and enivronmental								
JUSTIFICATION.	regulations. Ensuring adequate workspace unencumbered by excess materials will address OSHA, fire, and safety concerns as well as improve productivity. The new paint bay will address ongoing questions about the effectiveness of the current ventilation system as well as fire-safety concerns regarding the storage of supplies. Adequate tire storage will address the safety and productivity concerns about storing tires in the mezzanine alleviating the need for 2-3 people to retrieve and store tires in that area. The building's overhang will address the concern regarding the storage of scrap metal and used batteries. Per the City of Greenville Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, these items must be stored under shelter to prevent contaminating rain water runoff going into the storm drain.								
OPERATING IMPACT:		•		se approximate these functions			will be some		
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-		
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE				
	BUDGET					0000000			
	YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN '	TEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS		
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund Unmet	2008-09	2009-2010 \$950,000	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013				
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$950,000		

		CAPITAL	TY OF GREE IMPROVEM DJECT WOF	ENT PROG	RAM			
PROJECT TITLE: MAINTENANCE GARAGE ROOF SYSTEM WITH SKYLIGHTS								
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOF	RKS/FLEET				PRIORITY	41	
DESCRIPTION:	This project we and leaking sk		ew roof system	on the Fleet M	laintenance fac	ility and replac	e all damaged	
JUSTIFICATION:	This project is	needed to repa	air ongoing lea	ks in roof and t	o provide bette	r light in work a	areas.	
OPERATING IMPACT:	A more efficier especially roof		new, insulated	d roof system w	vill likely decrea	ase operational	costs,	
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-	
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE			
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
General Fund Unmet		\$70,000						
	1				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$70,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	TRANSIT BUS	SES (GREAT)						
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/TRANSIT				PRIORITY	42	
DESCRIPTION:	Federal, 10% Area Transit s be purchased	State, 10% Loc ystem (GREAT	cal dollars. This). These buses o expand the e	s project provic s are heavy-dui xisting fleet an	des for two exp ty transit buses		or the Greenville on buses would	
JUSTIFICATION:	this project is r and modification	necessary. The	e alternative is outes will incre	to continue to a ase route on-tin	offer limited tra	meet the servionsit service. Se and it is estin	ervice expansion	
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		n buses would r nel costs by \$9					ce increasing	
FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: -								
APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	CIP PLAN YEARS SU		SUBSEQUENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
Federal State		\$600,000						
General Fund		75,000 75,000						
		. 0,000						
	-	-	-	-	TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$750,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET								
PROJECT TITLE:	INTERMODAL	TRANSPOR	TATION CENT	ER				
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS/TRANSIT				PRIORITY	43	
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the design, land acquisition, and construction of an Intermodal Transportation Center serving all public transportation needs. This project would address public transportation concerns in that all modes such as intercity buses, taxi service, PATS and ECU Transit will be more accessible and convenient to local GREAT riders as well as visitors to our area. It is envisioned that all modes of public transportation will come together at one location, allowing for smooth and seamless transportation opportunities for the public. Anticipated funding for this request is 80% Federal, 10% State and 10% Local dollars. It is anticipated that development partnerships will be formed with other private commercial or agency entities to offset construction and operation costs.							
		Design / Land Construction	Acquisition Total	Federal \$ 2,678,000 \$ 4,800,000		Local \$ 335,000		
JUSTIFICATION:	communities b delay planning	y designing an for the future f ould be funded	nd constructing transportation	an Intermodal needs of citize	Transportation ns. The design	g transportation Center. The alt , land acquisitio ants and earma	n, and	
OPERATING IMPACT:				uses for the City nately \$36,000		er expenses as	sociated with the	
FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE:								
APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE								
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUI	RE CIP PLAN	AN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YE		ENT YEARS	
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013			
Federal	\$2,678,000	\$4,800,000						
State	335,000	600,000			ļ	ļ		
General Fund Capital Reserve	335,000	300,000 300,000						
Capital Neselve		300,000			TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$9,348,000	

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE: POLICE - FIRE/RESCUE EXTERIOR BUILDING CAULKING											
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WORKS/BUILDINGS & GROUNDS PRIORITY 44						44				
DESCRIPTION:	This project w	ould remove o	ld caulk from s	eams in the bu	ilding's exterior	and recaulk.					
JUSTIFICATION:	Police-Fire/Rescue Building will be pressure washed in the spring of 2008. The building is now over 12 years old (moved in the summer of 1995) and the caulk in the seams is beginning to show signs of dry rot. The old caulk should be removed and recaulked to prevent moisture from seeping into the building.										
OPERATING IMPACT:	Operating cost	s would decrea	ase in the futur	e due to reduce	ed maintenanc	e and repair cost	S.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE:											
APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE											
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEA			NT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	000.000										
Unmet	\$60,000										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$60.000				
CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
--	---	----------------	------------------	-------------------	----------------	------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--
PROJECT TITLE:	WORK ORDE	R / ASSET MA	NAGEMENT	SOFTWARE							
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS				PRIORITY	45				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would purchase a work order / asset management system to manage City work requests, department work loads and costs associated with providing services by any department in the City. The system enables a department to track individual work requests from receipt to completion as well as determine the status of all uncompleted requests. It also automatically generates work orders for recurring maintenance. This helps to ensure that recurring requirements are not forgotten and are completed on time. The system allows costs to be assigned to every request thereby enabling managers to determine the cost of that type of work. This Activity Based Costing capability will as the database is grows allow budgets to be built based on accurate costs of providing that service. The system is also able to manage operational inventories. Thus providing the opportunity to monitor losses as well as the opportunity to lower on-hand inventory costs by purchasing more supplies using a just-intime delivery process. The systems can be integrated with financial management and GIS software to improve ability to report GASB-34 requirements.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Presently Publ	ic Work's work	order informat	iion is tracked r	manually in an	Excel spreadsł	neet.				
OPERATING IMPACT:	The operating	impact would b	be limited to an	nual software r	maintenance ar	nd training cost	s.				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
	APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE										
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet	\$70,000										
	<i></i>										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$70,000				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	MONITOR UN	DERGROUND	PETROLEUN		TION AT RIVE	R PARK NOR	TH				
DEPARTMENT:	PUBLIC WOR	KS				PRIORITY	46				
DESCRIPTION:	Monitoring the degradation of underground petroleum products that entered the soil when the site was an asphalt plant.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Monitoring is re	equired to mee	t North Carolin	a state pollutio	n regulations.						
OPERATING IMPACT:	Possible fines	if monitoring is	not performed	l.							
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	38,955										
Unmet											
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$38,955				



This page intentionally left blank.

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

			IDGET PLAN TEAR YEAR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS								
		2008	3-09	2009	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	то	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
2 3 4	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Comprehensive Wayfinding System (Phase III) (UM) West Greenville Redevelopment Project (B,UM) Center City Revitalization (B) Historic Park Theater Renovation (UM) West 5th Streetscape Design & Construction (UM)	1,594,450 1,285,050	473,000	1,350,000 625,000	160,000 250,000	875,000	1,250,000 2,900,000		1,250,000		1,250,000	2,944,450 2,785,050 - -	473,000 3,750,000 - 160,000 4,550,000
	SUBTOTAL	\$2,879,500	\$473,000	\$1,975,000	\$410,000	\$875,000	\$4,150,000	\$0	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$5,729,500	\$8,933,000
					FUNDING S	OURCE LEGEN	D						

BONDS CAPITAL RESERVE GRANTS GENERAL FUND LEASE PURCHASE B -CR -G -GF -LP -

NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION POWELL BILL SANITATION FUND STORMWATER UTILITY VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND

NCDOT -PB -SF SWU -VRF -

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	COMPREHEN	ISIVE WAYFIN	IDING SYSTEI	M (PHASE III)								
DEPARTMENT:	COMMUNITY				DIVISION	PRIORITY	1					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the third phase of the Comprehensive Wayfinding System which will extend the new system beyond the Center City to Greenville's key entry points, the medical district, and other important commercial and industrial corridors. One of the key work plans items for the Redevelopment Commission of Greenville during the 2007 - 2008 fiscal year has been the design of a Comprehensive Wayfinding System for the City of Greenville. It is expected that the design process will be complete in early 2008 and that installation of the Center City components of the system, (Phases I & II) will be completed during the summer of 2008.											
	FY 07-08 Design & Programming \$25,000											
	FY 08-09	Fabrication &	Installation			\$473,000						
	The design process, along with Phases I & II, will be funded through the 2004 General Obligation bond issue. Phase III of the project, which extends well beyond the geographic limits of the Center City and West Greenville Revitalization areas, must be funded in order to complete the system. It is recommended that the project be funded through the use of the General Fund.											
JUSTIFICATION:	As a regional h Greenville be a	eting of key attr nub for comme able to easily n stem serves ju	ractions and de rce, education, avigate the city	estinations with medical servic and make the	in Greenville's ces and industr	Center City and y, it is importan estinations. A (d other districts. It that visitors to Comprehensive					
OPERATING IMPACT:												
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	l	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-					
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund Unmet	\$473,000											
l					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$473,000					

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	WEST GREEN		VELOPMENT I	PROJECT							
DEPARTMENT:	COMMUNITY	DEVELOPME	NT/URBAN DE	VELOPMENT	DIVISION	PRIORITY	2				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund streetscape improvements, land acquisition, affordable housing developments, business retention and entrepreneurship programs as part of a wide range of redevelopment projects designed to make West Greenville a better place to live, work, shop, and recreate. The Redevelopment Commission programs and implements projects on an annual basis with final approval and funding by the Greenville City Council in accordance with the adopted Center City - West Greenville Revitalization Plan.										
JUSTIFICATION:	authority restin Redevelopmer All redevelopm	vitalization Plar ng with the City nt Commission ment projects pr nded through th	by the Redeve Council. Proje oposed by the	planned in cor elopment Comr cts are outlined Redevelopmer ral obligation bo	mission of Gree d each year in t nt Commission	enville, with fina the Annual Wo and approved	al approval rk Plan of the by the City				
OPERATING IMPACT:											
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	\$2,055,550		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
04' GO Bonds	\$1,594,450	\$1,350,000									
General Fund Unmet			1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000		\$6,250,000				
Uniner			1,200,000	1,200,000	1,200,000		ψ0,200,000				
p	•		-		TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$6,694,450				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	CENTER CITY	Y REVITALIZA	TION PROJEC	ст							
DEPARTMENT:	COMMUNITY	DEVELOPME	NT/URBAN DE	EVELOPMENT	DIVISION	PRIORITY	3				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund streetscape improvements, land acquisition, affordable housing developments, business retention and entrepreneurship programs as part of a wide range of redevelopment projects designed to make Greenville's City Center a better place to live, work, shop, and recreate. The Redevelopment Commission programs and implements projects on an annual basis with final approval and funding by the Greenville City Council in accordance with the adopted Center City - West Greenville Revitalization Plan.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Revitalization with the City C Commission. All revitalizatio	Plan by the Re council. Project on projects prop ough the use o	development C s are outlined e posed by the R	commission of each year in the edevelopment	Greenville, with e Annual Work	n final approval Plan of the Re nd approved by	the City Council				
OPERATING IMPACT:											
FUNDS APPROVED T	O DATE:	\$1,664,950	I	FUNDS EXPE	ENDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	\$121,690				
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	CHEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
2004 GO Bonds	\$1,285,050	\$625,000	\$875,000								
							00 -00 - 00 -				
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$2,785,050				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	ACQUISITION	N OF RENOVA		C PARK THEA	TRE							
DEPARTMENT:	COMMUNITY	DEVELOPME	NT/URBAN DE	EVELOPMENT	DIVISION	PRIORITY	4					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the purchase of the Park Theatre. Known today as the Park Theatre, but historically known as White's Theatre, this landmark in Greenville's Uptown Commercial District has served as an entertainment venue to generations of citizens since its opening in 1914. The theatre has been idle for the past decade, as it has passed through several ownership groups. The current ownership group has offered to work cooperatively with the City of Greenville and other interested parties to restore the theatre and make it available for community use.											
	FY 09-10 Theatre Acquisition \$160,000											
JUSTIFICATION:	describe the L community the	ty - West Green Jptown Comme eatre building c ailable in the a	rcial District as an provide a ve	Greenville's "e	entertainment c	enter". As suc	h, an operating					
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>		d that a not-for- is possible that										
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-					
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE General Fund	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
Unmet		\$160,000										
	<u>I</u>				TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$160.000					

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	WEST 5TH ST	TREETSCAPE	DESIGN AND	CONSTRUCT	ION						
DEPARTMENT:	COMMUNITY	DEVELOPME	NT/URBAN DE	EVELOPMENT	DIVISION	PRIORITY	5				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would fund the design and construction of functional and aesthetic improvements to streets in West Greenville sending a clear signal to residents and investors that West Greenville is ready for positive change. Streetscape improvements would include modification of sidewalks and streets to enhance pedestrian safety, lighting improvements, public transit stops, planting of scenic trees and vegetation, and stormwater improvements. This would also create the potential for civic art projects that celebrate the history and sense of place that make West Greenville special.										
JUSTIFICATION:	Through the use of '04 General Obligation bond funds, the Redevelopment Commission has completed a master plan that will serve as the guiding document for future streetscape improvements in West Greenville and the larger center city. Those same funds will be used for final design and construction of a pilot project that will improve the public right-of-way of West Fifth Street from Memorial Drive to Cadillac Street. It is important that the streetscape planning and construction process continue in accordance with the schedule adopted by both the Redevelopment Commission and the Greenville City Council.										
OPERATING IMPACT:		impact will be l completion of th will be accomp	e final design o	of the segment	of West Fifth S	Street from Cac					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund		AA-A-------------	AO O O O O O O O O O	A + - - - - - - - - - -	A 4 A-A -----		A 1 000				
Unmet		\$250,000	\$2,900,000	\$150,000	\$1,250,000		\$1,000,000				
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$4,550,000				

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

		BUD Ye	OGET AR	PL. YE				FUTURE CIP	PLAN YEARS				
		200	8-09	2009	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	201	2-13	TO	TAL
	DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET
2 3 4	LIBRARY Sheppard Memorial Library South Basement (UM) Main Library Roof (UM) Radio Frequency ID System (UM) Northwest Branch (UM) Southeast Branch (UM) SUBTOTAL	\$0	680,394 78,741 128,098 \$887,233	\$0	1,152,887 \$1,152,887	\$0	245,175 \$245,175	\$0	297,331 \$297,331	\$0	2,675,985 \$2,675,985	- - - - - -	680,394 78,741 245,175 1,280,985 2,973,316 \$5,258,611
						OURCE LEGEN	n						
			CR - G -	BONDS CAPITAL RESER GRANTS GENERAL FUNE LEASE PURCHA	RVE D		NCDOT - PB -	POWELL BILL SANITATION F STORMWATER	UND				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	FINISH THE S	OUTH BASEN	MENT AT SHE	PPARD MEMO	RIAL LIBRAR	Y					
DEPARTMENT:	SHEPPARD M	IEMORIAL LIE	BRARY			PRIORITY	1				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would finish the 6,100 square foot south basement at Sheppard Memorial Library. When the library was expanded and renovated in 2001, this area of the new addition to the building was left unfinished. This project would complete this portion of the building and make it fully available for library use.										
JUSTIFICATION:	During the first year after the expansion of the main library expansion (2001), there were a total of 157,344 visitors. By 2007, the number of visitors had increased by 77% to 278,643 people. This use is expected to grow in the future. The main library accounts for 63% of the total number of visitors to the total library system (main library, four branches and the bookmobile), so the addition of this space is crucial. The space would allow for additions to the collection and for patron study/work/wireless Internet seating. This space would also allow the creation of an activity room that can be used for computer classes or other programming.										
OPERATING IMPACT:	Since the spac maintenance. Because the s much cheaper	This is estimation of the second seco	ted to be \$2,50 / enclosed in th	0 per year.	-		ting cost will be sion will be				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	APPRO	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	<u>TE:</u>	-				
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR		RE CIP PLAN		SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	#000 00 i										
Unmet	\$680,394										
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$680,394				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET										
PROJECT TITLE:	REROOF POP		E MAIN LIBR	ARY						
DEPARTMENT:	SHEPPARD N	IEMORIAL LIE	BRARY]		PRIORITY	2			
DESCRIPTION:	This project would replace portions of the main library roof that have begun experiencing leaks. These areas include the Adult Stacks, the Magazine and Genealogy section and part of the Technology Center. These areas were not reroofed during the 2000-2001 expansion of the main library. These roof sections are out of warranty. A total of 13,500 square feet of roof would be replaced.									
JUSTIFICATION:	past year and age of the othe None of these	the book collect er sections of the roof sections here here here here here here here her	ction will be dar he building incl nave been rero	maged if portio uded in this pro ofed in over ter	ns of the roof is oject, leaks can n years. These		ctions. The			
OPERATING IMPACT:		. This would re	educe operating			e a new warrar age to the librar				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-			
			APPRO	OPRIATION SC	HEDULE					
	BUDGET		FUTU	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	ENT YEARS			
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013					
General Fund	¢70 744									
Unmet	\$78,741									
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$78,741			

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET												
PROJECT TITLE:	RADIO FREQ	UENCY ID FO	R MAIN, EAST	, AND CARVE		5						
DEPARTMENT:		MEMORIAL LIE	BRARY			PRIORITY	3					
DEFANTMENT.						FRIORITT	5					
DESCRIPTION:	This project would install Radio Frequency Identification Device (RFID) technology at the main library and two branches in Greenville. All books and other circulating material in the collection will be tagged with RFID devices and self-checkout stations would be installed. This technology would allow patrons to check out their own material, it would improve inventory control of the collection, and it would serve as a security system for the collection. Circulation staff would be able to assist multiple patrons with self- check out and material can be checked out much faster. This project would allow the library to meet increases in circulation of library material without adding circulation personnel. This project may qualify for Federal funding of up to \$50,000.											
JUSTIFICATION:	continues to ir RFID technolo check out mor addition, as R scanning tech	ncrease, and th ogy will reduce e items in a sh FID technology	e library will ne the need for mo orter period of becomes more ome more expe	ed to add more ore circulation time and patron e prevalent, rep	e staff to maint staff in the nea ns can check c placement part	r future becaus out their own ma s for the curren	level of service. se staff can aterial. In					
OPERATING IMPACT:	material. While can check out to check out th	e the exact ame books much q neir own materi d book theft-de	ount of staff tim uicker with RFI al. This would a	e saved canno D than with the also replace the	ot be ascertaine e current syster e 25 year-old s	ed exactly, it is	also be taught at the main					
FUNDS APPROVED TO	O DATE:	-		FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:						
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE							
	BUDGET		FUTUF	RE CIP PLAN	YEARS	SUBSEQU	IENT YEARS					
FUNDING SOURCE	YEAR 2008-09	YEAR 2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013							
General Fund												
Federal Grant												
Unmet			245,175									
<u> </u>					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	245,175					

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	NORTHWEST	BRANCH LIB	RARY								
DEPARTMENT:	SHEPPARD N	IEMORIAL LIE	BRARY			PRIORITY	4				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a branch library in the northwest portion of the City of Greenville, along NC route 33, adjacent to Greenfield Terrace Park and the new Boys and Girls Club. This is a prime location for a public library facility to address the present and future needs of this community. Recent retail developments in the area enhance the expected success of a new branch library. The new branch is projected to be approximately 5,200 square feet. The land for this project is available at no cost to the City of Greenville. The City has been given an executionary interest in 2.3 acres of land to be used for this project. The City's ownership interest in the 2.3 acre tract will vest if funds for the building of a library on the property are approved in the City Budget by 2011. If funds are not appropriated by that time, the land will revert to the Boys and Girls Clubs of Pitt County. It is proposed that design drawings be prepared in late fiscal 2008-2009 and the actual construction occur in fiscal 2009 – 2010.										
JUSTIFICATION:	The primary justification for this project is expansion of service. As the area increases in population, the purpose of this branch will be operating necessity to meet the needs of the growing community.										
OPERATING IMPACT:	OPERATING IMPACT: Operating cost for the new facility is estimated to be \$123,000 per year at the time of branch opening. This will be shared proportionately between the City of Greenville and the County of Pitt.										
FUNDS APPROVED TO	FUNDS APPROVED TO DATE: FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE:										
			APPRO	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET PLAN FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS SUBSEQUENT YEARS YEAR YEAR										
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund	¢400.000	¢4 450 007			L	L					
Unmet	\$128,098	\$1,152,887									
Land Donated											
					TOTAL PRO	JECT COST:	\$1,280,985				

CITY OF GREENVILLE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT WORKSHEET											
PROJECT TITLE:	SOUTHEAST	BRANCH LIBI	RARY								
DEPARTMENT:	SHEPPARD N	IEMORIAL LIE	BRARY			PRIORITY	5				
DESCRIPTION:	This project would add a branch library in the southeastern portion of the City of Greenville. This area of the City has shown continuous growth due to additional housing developments and annexations by the City. Current and future retail development in the area will also attract more people. This trend can be expected to continue in the future.										
	The new branch would provide approximately 12,000 square feet of library space.										
JUSTIFICATION:						ea increases in r e growing comm					
<u>OPERATING IMPACT:</u>			acility is estimat e and the Cour		000. This will b	be shared propor	tionately				
FUNDS APPROVED TO	D DATE:	-	I	FUNDS EXPE	NDED TO DA	TE:	-				
			APPRC	PRIATION SC	HEDULE						
	BUDGET YEAR	PLAN YEAR	FUTUF		YEARS	SUBSEQUE	NT YEARS				
FUNDING SOURCE	2008-09	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013						
General Fund Unmet				\$297,331	\$2,675,985						
				Ψ <u>2</u> 01,001	ψ <u></u> ,010,000						
					TOTAL PRO	DJECT COST:	\$2,973,316				

SUMMARY OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS BY DEPARTMENTS

	BUDGET YEAR		PLAN YEAR		FUTURE CIP PLAN YEARS								
	2008	3-09	2009-10		20	2010-11		0-11 2011-12		2012-13		то	TAL
DEPARTMENT / PROJECT TITLE	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	MET	UNMET	
VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND (VRF) Purchases SUBTOTAL	852,400 \$852,400	\$0	1,217,300 \$1,217,300	\$0	1,700,000 \$1,700,000	\$0	1,700,000 \$1,700,000	\$0	1,700,000 \$1,700,000	\$0	7,169,700 \$7,169,700	- \$0	
				FUNDING S	OURCE LEGEN	D	1	1	1				
		B - BONDS CR - CAPITAL RESERVE G - GRANTS GF - GENERAL FUND LP - LEASE PURCHASE			NCDOT - NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PB - POWELL BILL SF SANITATION FUND SWU - STORMWATER UTILITY VRF - VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUND								

D -	BOINDS	NCDUI -	NU DEPAR
CR -	CAPITAL RESERVE	PB -	POWELL B
G -	GRANTS	SF	SANITATIC
GF -	GENERAL FUND	SWU -	STORMWA
LP -	LEASE PURCHASE	VRF -	VEHICLE R

Vehicle Replacement Fund Summary

FY 2008 - 2009 Vehicle Replacements

Department			Year	Age in		Base			Line Item	Total Cost
Assigned	Vehicle Make Model	Old Vehicle Description	Mfg.	Years	New Vehicle Description	Cost	Options	Cost	Cost	Estimated
Fire/Rescue	Ford Ambulance	Ambulance	2000	8	Medium Rescue	215,000		0	215,000	215,000
PW/Building&Grnd	Ford 5610 Tractor	Tractor with mower	1884	24	Interstate mowing system	63,000		0	63,000	278,000
PW/Fleet	Komatsu FG25-8	Forklift	1988	15+	Forklift	125,000		0	125,000	403,000
PW/Sanitation	Ford 25CY rear loader	Rear load garbage trk	1995	13	25 CY rear loader	125,000	Stripe/Strobe	400	125,400	528,400
PW/Sanitation	Ford F700 dump truck	16" dump truck	1995	13	Knuckle boom truck	113,000	Stripe/Strobe	400	113,400	641,800
PW/Sanitation	Ford F700 dump truck	16" dump truck	1995	13	Dump Truck, 16'	52,000	Stripe/Strobe	400	52,400	694,200
PW/Sanitation	Ford F700 dump truck	16" dump truck	1995	13	Dump Truck, 16'	52,000	Stripe/Strobe	400	52,400	746,600
PW/Streets	Bomag Asphalt Roller	Roller	1966	32	Vibratory roller	65,000		0	65,000	811,600
PW/Streets	Ford F-600 8' dump	Dump Truck	1985	23	Dump Truck, 14'	40,400	Stripe/Strobe	400	40,800	852,400

FY 2009 - 2010 Vehicle Replacements

Department			Year	Age in					Line Item	Total Cost
Assigned	Vehicle Make Model	Old Vehicle Description	Mfg.	Years	New Vehicle Description	Base Cost	Options	Cost	Cost	Estimated
Fire/Rescue	Ford Ambulance	Ambulance	2001	8	Medium Rescue	226,000		0	226,000	226,000
PWD/B&G	GMC Topkick bucket	55' Bucket truck	1996	14	65' Bucket truck	130,000	Stripe/strobe	400	130,400	356,400
PWD/Sanitation	Ford leaf collector	20 CY leaf collector	1995	15	20 CY leaf collector	118,000	Stripe/strobe	400	118,400	474,800
PWD/Sanitation	Volvo Frt end loader	36 CY frt end loader	1997	13	36 CY frt end loader	194,000	Stripe/strobe	400	194,400	669,200
PWD/Sanitation	Ford 25 CY rear loader	25 CY rear loader	1997	13	25 CY rear loader	128,800	Stripe/strobe	400	129,200	798,400
PWD/Sanitation	Ford leaf collector	20 CY leaf collector	1997	13	20 CY leaf collector	118,000	Stripe/strobe	400	118,400	916,800
PWD/Sanitation	Ford 25 CY rear loader	25 CY rear loader	1998	12	25 CY rear loader	128,800	Stripe/strobe	400	129,200	1,046,000
PWD/Sanitation	Chevy 25 CY rear load.	25 CY rear loader	2000	10	25 CY rear loader	128,800	Stripe/strobe	400	129,200	1,175,200
Rec/Parks	Ford F-600, 10' body	Dump truck, 10' body	1993	17	Dump Truck, 10' body	41,700	Stripe/strobe	400	42,100	1,217,300



This page intentionally left blank.

